



# Keeyask Generation Project Environmental Effects Summary Document

---

November 2012

*Prepared for:*

Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency

CEAR 11-03-64144

**Canada** 

# Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

PART A - KEYASK GENERATION PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PART B - KEYASK TRANSMISSION PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REPORT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Introduction

The Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (the Agency) invites the public to comment on the potential environmental effects of the Keeyask Generation Project and the proposed measures to prevent or mitigate those effects as described in this document.

Keeyask Hydropower Limited Partnership proposes the construction, operation and decommissioning of the Project, a 695 megawatt hydroelectric generating station to be located at Gull Rapids on the lower Nelson River, approximately 180 kilometres northeast of Thompson, Manitoba. The Keeyask Generation Project includes a powerhouse complex, spillway, dams, dykes, reservoir and supporting infrastructure (the Project). The federal environmental assessment also considers a proposal by Manitoba Hydro for a 22 kilometre transmission line to provide construction power to the Keeyask Generation Project and three 35 kilometre long transmission lines within a single corridor to transmit electricity from the Keeyask Generation Project to the existing Radisson Converter Station near Gillam, Manitoba as part of the Project.

This document is based on the Environmental Impact Statement for the Keeyask Generation Project submitted by the Keeyask Hydropower Limited Partnership in July 2012 and the Environmental Assessment Report submitted by Manitoba Hydro in November 2012. The environmental impact analyses are presented in two parts within this document. Part A includes the Executive Summary of the Keeyask Generation Project Environmental Impact Statement. Part B includes Executive Summary of the Keeyask Transmission Project Environmental Assessment Report.

The Agency, in requesting a review of the Environmental Effects Summary Document, is soliciting input from the public on whether the proponent has adequately identified the potential environmental effects and issues of concern in relation to the Project.

Comments received on this document will be considered by the Agency when preparing a comprehensive study report (CSR). The CSR will document the Agency's conclusions on the potential environmental effects, the proposed mitigation measures, and the significance of any remaining adverse environmental effects of the Project. These conclusions will take into account all comments received during the environmental assessment, including those from the public, Aboriginal groups, and expert federal reviewers.

The CSR will be made available for public review and comment once it is completed. The Minister of the Environment will take into consideration the CSR and all comments received during the public review period in taking his environmental assessment decision. This decision will be documented in an environmental assessment decision statement, setting out the Minister's opinion on whether the Project is likely to cause significant adverse environmental effects taking into account the implementation of mitigation measures.

Written comments in either official language must be submitted by December 21, 2012 to:

Keeyask Generation Project  
Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency  
Suite 101, 167 Lombard Ave  
Winnipeg, MB R3B 0T6  
Telephone: 204-983-5127  
Fax: 204-983-7174  
KeeyaskGeneration@ceaa-acee.gc.ca

All comments received will be considered public and will become part of the Canadian Environmental Assessment Registry project file.

**PART A - Keeyask Generation Project  
Environmental Impact Statement  
Executive Summary**

*Prepared by:*

The Keeyask Hydropower Limited Partnership



# Keeyask Generation Project Environmental Impact Statement

## Executive Summary





## Executive Summary

The Keeyask Hydropower Limited Partnership (consisting of Manitoba Hydro and investment entities representing Tataskweyak Cree Nation and War Lake First Nation acting together as the Cree Nation Partners, York Factory First Nation and Fox Lake Cree Nation) is

pleased to submit the Environmental Impact Statement for the Keeyask Generation Project.

This Executive Summary highlights findings and conclusions from the Environmental Impact Statement submission to regulatory authorities.

*Artist's renderings of principal structures.*



### Note To Readers

This Executive Summary uses concise language to summarize several complex subjects. Readers are referred to the full Environmental Impact Statement for a complete understanding of these subjects.

### Keeyask Generating Station

✓ <b>Capacity:</b>	695 megawatts (4,400 gigawatt hours average annual energy)
✓ <b>In-service Date:</b>	First generator: 2019 All generators: 2020
✓ <b>Construction Start:</b>	June 2014
✓ <b>Flooding:</b>	45 square kilometres
✓ <b>Keeyask Cree Nations Partners:</b>	Tataskweyak Cree Nation, War Lake First Nation, Fox Lake Cree Nation, York Factory First Nation
✓ <b>First Nations' Ownership:</b>	Up to 25%

## Keeyask Cree Nations and Manitoba Hydro: A Collaborative Working Relationship


The four Keeyask Cree Nations and Manitoba Hydro have been involved in a negotiating process that has led to a collaborative working relationship to develop the Keeyask Generation Project on the Nelson River.

This collaborative relationship has created a two-track approach in the production of the Environmental Impact Statement in which the Cree worldview basis of the Keeyask Cree Nations' evaluations of the environmental impact of the Project upon themselves is given equal weight and recognition to technical science.

This unique approach, in which the Keeyask Cree Nations have evaluated the effects of the Project on themselves

based on their worldview, has the Cree participating meaningfully in the evaluation of the Project in their ancestral homeland, and provides a realistic picture of what has been happening between Manitoba Hydro and the Cree in their efforts to reach a just and equitable agreement.

This approach is reflected in the Environmental Impact Statement and demonstrates the real efforts of both the Keeyask Cree Nations and Manitoba Hydro to reconcile their differing worldviews in a mutually beneficial and respectful way.



*This unique two-track approach has the Keeyask Cree Nations participating meaningfully in the evaluation of the Project in their ancestral homeland.*



# Table of Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2. Project Description</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>3. The Keeyask Cree Nations Evaluation Processes</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>4. Public Involvement</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>5. Environmental Effects Assessment</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>6. Cumulative Effects</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>7. Monitoring and Follow-up</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>8. The Project and Sustainable Development</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>9. Conclusion</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>10. Appendix</b>	<b>44</b>

---

The Environmental Impact Statement for the Keeyask Generation Project consists of this Executive Summary, the Response to the EIS Guidelines, the Keeyask Cree Nations Environmental Evaluation Reports, and a video (Keeyask: Our Story). The Environmental Impact Statement is supplemented by six supporting volumes. All documents are available in the Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship Public Registry. Except for the supporting volumes, they are also available on the Keeyask Hydropower Limited Partnership website ([www.keeyask.com](http://www.keeyask.com)). You may also order a CD through the website.

---





*The Project provides a broad spectrum of economic, social and environmental attributes important to the Keeyask Cree Nations, the local region, the province of Manitoba, Canada and energy consumers in U.S. markets.*

## 1. Introduction

The Keeyask Generation Project (the Project) is a proposed 695-megawatt plant on the lower Nelson River in northern Manitoba. The Project represents the culmination of a decade-long planning process involving Manitoba Hydro and four Cree Nations known collectively as the Keeyask Cree Nations: Tataskweyak Cree Nation and War Lake First Nation (working together as the Cree Nation Partners), Fox Lake Cree Nation and York Factory First Nation.

The Crown corporation and these Cree Nations have together formed the Keeyask Hydropower Limited Partnership (the Partnership) to develop this secure, renewable energy Project in an environmentally and socially responsible manner. The Project is aligned and grounded in the sustainable development principles of Canada, Manitoba, Manitoba Hydro and the Cree worldview.


Working as partners, Manitoba Hydro and the Keeyask Cree Nations have assessed the Project using both technical science and Aboriginal traditional knowledge, along with information gained through extensive public and government consultation and involvement. The Keeyask Cree Nations have also undertaken and submitted their own Project environmental evaluations. This integrated and collaborative approach

maximizes the Project's potential benefits and avoids, reduces or mitigates potential adverse effects associated with a large hydroelectric development.

The Project provides a broad spectrum of economic, social and environmental attributes important to the Keeyask Cree Nations, the local region, the province of Manitoba, Canada and energy consumers in U.S. markets.

As Partners, the Keeyask Cree Nations brought the Cree worldview and Members' perspectives to Project planning and design, a process that will continue throughout Project construction and operation. Manitoba Hydro and each of the Keeyask Cree Nations negotiated Adverse Effects Agreements well in advance of Project construction that address known and foreseeable adverse effects through traditional and cultural programs and other measures. Project construction will provide Keeyask Cree Nations Members with both employment and business opportunities, and potential to expand and strengthen local capacity. The Keeyask Cree Nations also have the opportunity to become equity owners in the Project, which has the potential to provide them with ongoing investment income.





*The Keeyask Generation Project will produce a fraction of the greenhouse gas emissions produced by a natural gas or coal station of the equivalent size.*


For Manitoba Hydro, the Project will further optimize the Crown corporation's investment in the Nelson River system where five large hydroelectric generating stations produce over 70 percent of Manitoba Hydro's electricity. The Project will provide Manitoba homes and businesses, as well as customers in U.S. export markets, with renewable energy that results in net global greenhouse gas reduction.

During eight-and-a-half years of construction, the Project will provide a major stimulus to the provincial economy

and, once operating, generate an ongoing revenue stream for many decades.

During the planning and design process, the Keeyask Cree Nations and Manitoba Hydro identified and addressed concerns to avoid, reduce and mitigate Project environmental effects. The Project offers the lowest reservoir-level option among the technically and economically feasible options studied, resulting in the least amount of flooding and operating within a small, one-metre reservoir range. Special precautions have been taken to reduce impacts on fish, particularly lake sturgeon,

*Special precautions have been taken to reduce impacts on fish, particularly lake sturgeon, and other sensitive aquatic and terrestrial species and habitats.*







Joint Keyask Development Agreement signing ceremony, May 2009.

*“The energy produced by our rivers can be a resource that is clean and renewable, if managed with care.”*

Victor Spence,  
Tataskweyak Cree Nation Member

and other sensitive aquatic and terrestrial species and habitats. Project effects and mitigation measures will be carefully monitored and adaptive-management plans are in place to address issues that might arise in the future.

In the words of Victor Spence, a Tataskweyak Cree Nation Member, “Our water resources represent a major part of the wealth of our people. The energy produced by our rivers can be a resource that is clean and renewable, if managed with care.” The Keeyask Generation Project has been planned and designed in this spirit of promise and responsibility.

### The Partnership and Ownership

The Keeyask Hydropower Limited Partnership will own and operate the Project under terms outlined in the *Joint Keyask Development Agreement* that Manitoba Hydro and the four Keeyask Cree Nations signed in May 2009. The signing followed independent ratification votes by each Keeyask Cree Nation supporting its Chief and Council to proceed with the Agreement and individual Adverse Effects Agreement.

Manitoba Hydro and three Keeyask Cree Nations investment entities are limited partners in the Partnership. There is one general partner, 5900345 Manitoba Ltd. a corporation owned by Manitoba Hydro. It will manage and operate the Partnership and be liable for all its debts.

It will contract Manitoba Hydro to design, construct and run the station as part of Manitoba Hydro’s integrated power system. Manitoba Hydro will also provide financing for Project construction.

Manitoba Hydro will subcontract virtually all services and supplies to build the Project. Several construction, services, labour and materials contracts will first be offered to the Keeyask Cree Nations as direct-negotiated contracts. The general civil, electrical and mechanical contracts will be publicly tendered.

Manitoba Hydro, the general partner and each of the Keeyask Cree Nations’ investment entities will invest in the equity of the Partnership. Manitoba Hydro and the general partner will own at least 75 percent of the equity and the Keeyask Cree Nations, through their respective investment entities, can in total own up to 25 percent.

The Partnership will sell all of the Project’s production to Manitoba Hydro, which is responsible for providing transmission facilities as required during construction and operation of the

#### Potential Keeyask Partnership Ownership



Project. In the fall of 2012, Manitoba Hydro will submit a separate project description and related environmental impact statement for the Keeyask Transmission Project to provide construction power and generation outlet transmission from the Project to the Radisson Converter Station.

### **The Partnership's Roles and Responsibilities in the Environmental Assessment**

The *Joint Keeyask Development Agreement* clearly outlines the arrangements for the Partners' participation in developing the Project and includes an Environmental and Regulatory Protocol that sets out roles and responsibilities for the Partnership's environmental assessment. The Protocol establishes committees for collectively developing the assessment process and for strategic decision-making among the Partners. It has been followed throughout the assessment process and will continue to be implemented throughout the formal regulatory approvals process.

### **The Regulatory Framework**

The Project is subject to an environmental assessment under the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* and *The Environment Act* (Manitoba) and must meet combined federal and provincial regulatory requirements before it can be built. Provincial and federal regulators are working together in the environmental review process.

In July 2011, the Partnership provided a Project Description to federal authorities. In December 2011, the Partnership submitted the Environment Act Proposal Form and Scoping Document for the environmental assessment of the Project. In February 2012, the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency issued draft Guidelines and, after reviewing public and departmental comments, the Agency issued Final Environmental Impact Statement Guidelines for the Project in March 2012.

The Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency will be responsible for the comprehensive study report on the Project that is to be submitted to the federal Minister of Environment.

Manitoba's Minister of Conservation and Water Stewardship is expected to direct the Clean Environment Commission to conduct public hearings to provide the Minister with recommendations on the Project.

*The Project must meet combined federal and provincial requirements before it can be built.*





Gull Rapids, site of the proposed Keeyask Generation Project.

*Keeyask's renewable energy will be integrated into Manitoba Hydro's power system and used to meet growing energy demands in Manitoba and for export.*

## 2. Project Description


The Keeyask Generation Project involves development of a 695-megawatt hydroelectric generating station and supporting infrastructure at Gull (*Keeyask*) Rapids on the lower Nelson River in northern Manitoba. The Project is about 730 kilometres northeast of Winnipeg and 180 kilometres northeast of Thompson. If built, Keeyask will be the fourth largest generating station in Manitoba.


Keeyask's renewable energy will be integrated into Manitoba Hydro's power system and used to meet growing energy demands in Manitoba and for export. The Project's average annual electricity

production will be about 4,400 gigawatt-hours, enough to power approximately 400,000 homes.

Subject to regulatory approval, Project construction will begin in 2014, with first power produced in 2019 and construction and site rehabilitation completed in 2022 – an eight-and-a-half-year process.

The Project will be built within the Split Lake Resource Management Area immediately upstream of Stephens Lake between two existing Manitoba Hydro generating stations developed in the late 1950s and early 1970s. By road, the nearest community west of the Project is Split Lake, home of Tataskweyak Cree





*Cross section of a typical powerhouse with a vertical shaft turbine.*

Nation. The nearest communities to the east are Bird, home of the Fox Lake Cree Nation, and Gillam, the location of Manitoba Hydro's northern operations centre and a Fox Lake Cree Nation reserve. War Lake First Nation, at Ilford on the Hudson Bay rail line, and York Factory First Nation at York Landing on Split Lake, are located southwest of the Project.

### Principal Structures

Principal structures will consist of a powerhouse with seven turbines, other equipment for generating electricity and a service bay complex, a seven-bay spillway, three dams, two dykes and a reservoir. The spillway will manage surplus river flows, and the dams and dykes will contain the reservoir created upstream of the principal structures.

### Supporting Infrastructure

Supporting infrastructure will consist of permanent facilities used to construct and operate the Project and temporary facilities required only to construct the principal structures.

Permanent supporting infrastructure will include a north and south access road (the north access road is being constructed under the Keeyask Infrastructure Project), a transmission tower spur, communications tower, some borrow areas, excavated-material placement areas, boat launches, a portage and public-safety measures. Once the Project is constructed, the north and south access roads will be connected with the Project and will be integrated into the provincial highway network.

Temporary support infrastructure will include: the main camp, contractor work areas, a landfill, water- and sewage-

treatment facilities, an explosives magazine, cofferdams, rock groins and an ice boom.

Borrow areas and placement areas for excess excavated materials will be developed, including roads to these areas. Some borrow areas will be required for both construction and operation purposes and others will be decommissioned and rehabilitated after Project construction.

The Project will also include the operation and decommissioning of components of the Keeyask Infrastructure Project, such as construction camp facilities and a security gatehouse.

### Project Parameters

The Project will use approximately 18 metres of the 27-metre drop in elevation (hydraulic head) available between Split Lake and Stephens Lake, including a 12-metre elevation drop through Gull Rapids.

The reservoir level will operate within a narrow one-metre range. The full supply level is 159 metres and the minimum operating level is 158 metres.

### Project Activities

Project activities will include: constructing, operating and maintaining permanent facilities; constructing, operating and decommissioning temporary facilities required to construct the Project; and operating and decommissioning the construction camp and work areas previously licensed and constructed as part of the Keeyask Infrastructure Project.



*The low-level reservoir option avoids impacting open-water levels on both Split Lake and Clark Lake and reduces impacts at Birthday Rapids upstream.*

### **Alternative Approaches for Developing the Project**

Since the early 1990s, a joint process was undertaken to optimize the Project design, initially by Manitoba Hydro, then with Tataskweyak Cree Nation, and over the past decade including War Lake First Nation, York Factory First Nation and Fox Lake Cree Nation. During the planning and design phase, many potential effects were either avoided or minimized based on decisions related to reservoir size, level and operating range, site selection, general arrangement of principal structures, and turbine design.

The selected site at Gull Rapids provides a number of economic, technical, social and environmental advantages.

#### **Reservoir Level and Site Selection**

Several alternatives for developing this reach of the Nelson River were examined, including options to construct generating stations at both Birthday Rapids and Gull Rapids. Larger generation options would have caused additional flooding on Split Lake, home of Tataskweyak Cree Nation and York Factory First Nation. For example, one high-level reservoir option at Gull Rapids would have initially flooded 180 square kilometres, four times

greater than the proposed Project, which will initially flood 45 square kilometres – the least amount among viable options studied for this site. The low-level reservoir option avoids impacting open-water levels on both Split Lake and Clark Lake and reduces impacts at Birthday Rapids upstream. The high-level option would have produced 1,150 megawatts of power versus the current Project, which will produce 695 megawatts.

#### **Turbine Design**

Because the turbines are being designed to minimize potential for injury and mortality to fish as they move downstream, over 90 percent of fish passing through the generating station are expected to survive.



*Keeyask turbines are designed to minimize fish injury and mortality.*

### 3. The Keeyask Cree Nations Evaluation Processes



More than fifty years of dam building has already greatly impacted the Keeyask Cree Nations' prime resource area and traditional territory. Now, with more changes coming, the Keeyask Cree Nations want Manitoba Hydro and the regulators to fully understand their close and enduring relationships with the lands, waters and animals where the Project will be built.

The Partnership submitted a video call *Keeyask: Our Story* to present a more personal view in the Cree oral tradition.

The Keeyask Generation Project Environmental Impact Statement includes one volume, the *Keeyask Cree Nations' Environmental Evaluation Reports* with an individual report submitted by each of the Keeyask Cree Nations. The Cree Nation Partners (i.e., Tataskweyak Cree Nation and War Lake First Nation) provided its *Keeyask Environmental Evaluation Report* to describe its Members' understanding of expected Project impacts on them and to explain their independent decisions to be Project proponents. York Factory First Nation provided its report, *Kipekiskwaywinan* (Our Voices), and Fox Lake Cree Nation is expecting to provide its *Environment Evaluation Report*.

#### The Cree Worldview

The Cree worldview brings an important perspective to Project environmental evaluation. It centres on *Askiy*, the word used by the Ininewak for the whole of

the land, water (*nipi*), animals (*aweassisak*), plants including medicines (*muskikeya*), people (*Ininewak*), all other creatures and the interrelatedness of all things. All things are alive, have a spirit and are a part of *Askiy*. *Askiy* and all things come from something greater than us – *Manitou*. Cree culture, spirituality and history are part of *Askiy*. *Kakenaw kakona ota askiy nikanatentennan* – everyone and everything on *Askiy* is sacred.


Embedded within Cree society is a philosophy called *mino-pimatisiwin*, a fundamental Cree value, which means living a good and honourable life. Human well-being is dependent upon the well-being of *Askiy*. The people are responsible to care for and nurture the well-being of *Askiy* so, in return, it can provide for future generations.

#### Independent Evaluation Processes

As Partners, the Keeyask Cree Nations have jointly planned and assessed the Project with Manitoba Hydro and are directly involved in the regulatory approvals process. They have also developed and undertaken their own independent, community-specific processes to review and approve the Project. These processes have been underway for more than a decade with financial support from Manitoba Hydro and have included extensive and ongoing consultations with Members and Project environmental evaluations based on their



Dance troupe, Joint Keeyask Development Agreement signing ceremony, May 2009.



*The Cree worldview brings an important perspective to Project environmental evaluation. It centres on Askiy, the word used by the Ininewak for the whole of the land, water, animals, plants including medicines, people, all other creatures and the interrelatedness of all things.*

own worldview, values and experiences with past hydroelectric developments.

The Cree Nation Partners developed the Mother Earth Ecosystem Model that established a vision statement, a set of core beliefs, land-use planning objectives and a description of their relationships with *Askiy*.

York Factory First Nation undertook studies that documented traditional economic and land-based activities and community history; examined existing and potential socio-economic and environmental conditions; established a socio-economic baseline and sustainability indicators; and established and clarified community goals and future priorities.

Fox Lake Cree Nation is completing a draft community-history report and associated video. It includes a compilation of oral histories and a draft traditional knowledge report that defines twelve recommendations associated with the Keeyask Generation Project and other developments affecting its Members.

### Helping Shape Project Compensation and Planning

The Keeyask Cree Nations' evaluation processes have helped them and their Members to evaluate the Project and its impacts on their communities and to independently decide on their support for the Project. The outcomes of these processes have shaped the content of the *Joint Keeyask Development Agreement* and form the basis of the Adverse Effects Agreements negotiated with each of the Keeyask Cree Nations.

The Adverse Effects Agreements encompass agreed-upon mitigation measures for effects that are foreseen or are foreseeable through due diligence. The core of each Adverse Effects Agreement is a set of Offsetting Programs to provide appropriate replacements, substitutions or opportunities to offset unavoidable adverse Project effects on the practices, customs and traditions integral to the First Nations' distinctive cultural identity. These programs were developed





*Keeyask Cree Nation Elders on field tour of proposed reservoir areas, 2004.*

by each of the Keeyask Cree Nations based, in part, on their perspectives about potential Project effects. Among other things, they include programs to provide access to alternative resource use locations in areas the Project does not affect, Cree language programming and cultural programming.

The Keeyask Cree Nations' evaluation processes have also shaped Project plans and the overall environmental assessment process. Their involvement and participation resulted in modifying the design, size, and location of the Project and in naming the Project "Keeyask," the Cree word for gull. Plans were also made for clearing the reservoir, waterway management, ice monitoring, navigation and hazard marking, and reclamation of disturbed sites.

### **Keeyask Cree Nations Perspectives on the Project**

The Keeyask Cree Nations know that the effects of past developments cannot be undone. The way forward lies in enabling the river and land that has sustained the northern Cree for thousands of years to do so again. After long deliberation, the Keeyask Cree Nations have chosen to support the Project for the benefit of present and future generations.

### **Cree Nation Partners Accept Certain Unavoidable Effects**

The Cree Nation Partners concluded that, like previous hydroelectric developments, the Project will have certain major, unavoidable effects. Knowing this, they are nevertheless hopeful because they believe the Adverse Effects Agreements



*The Cree involvement and participation resulted in modifying the design, size and location and in naming the Project 'Keeyask', the Cree word for gull.*



*The south side of the south channel of Gull Rapids.*

and benefit provisions in the *Joint Keeyask Development Agreement* will enhance their culture by providing opportunities to engage in the customs, practices and traditions integral to their distinctive Cree cultural identity. Similarly, they are hopeful that other benefits – employment, business opportunities and potential income opportunities from the sale of the Project's power – will sustain them physically and culturally and that their homeland ecosystem, although transformed by the Project, can be sustainable, harmonious and balanced.

**York Factory First Nation Cautious, Adaptable and Looking to the Future**

York Factory First Nation Members are cautious (*ayakohmisewin*) about what lies ahead but, as they have had to do many times before, they see the need and importance of adapting while maintaining their culture, teachings and way of life. They are approaching the Keeyask Partnership with hope and are determined to keep their values and are intent on participating in mitigation, monitoring, follow-up and adaptive management. They especially want to provide opportunities for their youth and future generations who will inherit the larger outcomes of the Project and the Partnership.



**Fox Lake Cree Nation Taking Advantage of Opportunities**

Fox Lake Cree Nation and its Members are continuing to grow and move forward while maintaining their culture, traditional knowledge and ways of being. By understanding and reuniting with their history, values and language, Fox Lake Cree Nation is better able to take control and to self-determine its future. Through their involvement in the Project, Fox Lake Cree Nation Members want to ensure a repeat of the past will never occur again and hope to be better prepared to work to mitigate the potential negative impacts of the Project. Fox Lake Cree Nation intends to take full advantage of positive opportunities resulting from the Project, while protecting and maintaining their Treaty and Aboriginal rights.



*The Project is creating training and job opportunities that will be transferable to other projects.*



## 4. Public Involvement

Extensive public involvement has been a cornerstone in the Project development process to arrive at a technically feasible, economically viable and environmentally sustainable generation project.

In addition to the involvement of the Keeyask Cree Nations with their own Members, the Partnership undertook two broader public-involvement processes:

- A Public Involvement Program that targeted general audiences to present Partnership-approved Project information at key stages and invite public input; and
- Discussions with federal and provincial government agencies with a regulatory interest in the Project.

### Targeted and General Audiences

The Partnership's Public Involvement Program has completed two rounds of public involvement to learn about people's Project-related interests and concerns and to share initial results from the regulatory environmental assessment process.

Round one, held between June and December 2008, introduced the proposed Project in an effort to learn about any related issues or concerns, informed the public about the process and requirements for the environmental assessment, and determined how different groups wished to be consulted in future rounds. Round two,



*Newsletters and other print and display materials have been an important part of the Public Involvement Program to keep audiences informed about the Project.*

### Public Involvement Program

#### Round One: 2008 Project Description and Issue Identification

##### Purpose & Scope

- Initiate dialogue about the proposed Project
- Provide a description of the Project
- Identify issues and concerns
- Inform the public about the process/requirements and schedule for EA
- Determine how interested/affected parties would like to be involved in PIP
- Document what was heard

#### Round Two: 2012 Preliminary Environmental Assessment Results

##### Purpose & Scope

- Describe Project features and changes since Round One
- Discuss initial findings (biophysical, socio-economic)
- Obtain input on possible mitigation measures
- Document what was heard

#### Round Three: To Follow Final Environmental Impact Statement Review

##### Purpose & Scope

- Discuss format and content of EIS
- Communicate supplemental information
- Document what was heard



*Extensive consultations to explain the Project's environmental impacts were held with organizations, groups and individuals in northern communities and at open houses in Winnipeg, Thompson and Brandon.*

*Effects on fish and animal species such as sturgeon, caribou and moose, and potential employment and training opportunities were specific topics raised most often.*

held between February and May 2012, described Project features and changes after round one and obtained feedback on the initial effects assessment and proposed methods to mitigate Project effects.

The audiences for the Public Involvement Program include potentially affected Aboriginal and other northern Manitoba communities and groups, other interested organizations and the general public. In northern Manitoba, meetings were sought with Aboriginal and other communities within the Churchill-Burntwood-Nelson area that were affected by past hydroelectric developments. Meetings were also held with Shamattawa First Nation, Manitoba Keewatinowi Okimakanak, Keewatin Tribal Council and Northern Association of Community Councils. Open houses were held in Thompson, Winnipeg and Brandon and workshops were held with non-governmental organizations and recreational and resource users.

Manitoba Hydro, acting on behalf of the Partnership, is also undertaking consultations with certain Aboriginal groups via bilateral discussions. These groups include Nisichawayasihk Cree Nation, a partner with Manitoba Hydro in the Wuskwatim Generation Project; Cross Lake First Nation (Pimicikimak Cree Nation), which has rights under the Northern Flood Agreement; and the Manitoba Metis Federation.

Issues identified in the first two rounds generally fell into seven categories: project planning; training, employment and business; physical environment; aquatic and terrestrial environment; socio-economic and heritage resources; resource use; and the consultation process. Effects on fish and animal species such as sturgeon, caribou and moose, along with potential employment and training opportunities were specific topics raised most often.

Round three of the Public Involvement Program will be conducted after the Environmental Impact Statement has been submitted, to discuss its format and content.

### **Discussions with Federal and Provincial Agencies**

Meetings with federal and provincial agencies began as early as 2005. Informal meetings were held between 2008 and 2011 to introduce the Project and to learn about the environmental review process.

Federal agencies included: the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency, the Major Projects Management Office of Natural Resources Canada, Transport Canada, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Environment Canada, and Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada.



The Keeyask Hydropower Limited Partnership operates a website ([www.keeyask.com](http://www.keeyask.com)) to make information about the Partnership and Project easily accessible.

Provincial agencies included: Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship; Manitoba Culture, Heritage and Tourism; Manitoba Aboriginal and Northern Affairs; Manitoba Local Government; Manitoba Health; Manitoba Infrastructure and Transportation; and Manitoba Innovation, Energy and Mines.

Technical meetings about aquatic effects began September 2009 between the Partnership, Fisheries and Oceans Canada and Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship's Fisheries Branch and are ongoing. In 2011, meetings with Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship were held regarding licensing under *The Water Power Act* and with Transport Canada

regarding licensing under the *Navigable Waters Protection Act*. Meetings were also held with the provincial and federal governments' Section 35 Consultation Steering Committee.

### How Public Involvement Has Shaped the Project

During the Public Involvement Program, other Aboriginal groups, other communities, interested groups and the general public raised many similar issues already identified by the Keeyask Cree Nations. These issues and perspectives helped confirm the focus of the environmental assessment studies and the search for effective mitigation measures.

Particular interest in adverse effects on fish, especially sturgeon, indicated the importance of the mitigation works that the Partnership was planning. Similarly, concern for effects on caribou and moose populations reflected concerns that had been identified by the Partnership and supported the design of monitoring and mitigation measures to minimize effects.

Interest in participating in Project employment and business opportunities supported measures already in place, such as the current collective agreement that includes hiring preferences for qualified Aboriginal and northern workers, and employee retention measures like cultural awareness training and counselling services available for Project workers.

*Extensive public involvement has been a cornerstone in the project development process.*



**Valued Environmental Component (VEC):** is an element of the environment that has scientific, social, cultural, economic, historical, archaeological or aesthetic importance. The value may be determined on the basis of cultural ideals or scientific concern.



*Soil sampling is one of the tools used in assessing potential environmental effects of the Project.*

## 5. Environmental Effects Assessment

The Partnership has concluded an assessment of the potential environmental effects of the Project in accordance with guidelines issued by regulatory authorities. This assessment has been prepared with the direct involvement of each of the Partners and input from the Public Involvement Program.

The assessment first described the existing environment and then compared its predicted future condition with and without the Project. After predicting potential environmental effects of the Project, the Partnership identified ways to avoid or mitigate adverse effects. The effects that remain after mitigation are called residual effects. The Partnership also undertook a cumulative effects assessment, considering the effects of the Project in combination with the effects of other past, current and potential future projects.

The Partnership studied a full range of topics under two general categories of environmental components: namely, the biophysical environment and the socio-economic environment. From these, 38 components were selected for more detailed assessment as valued environmental components (18 biophysical and 20 socio-economic), based on their scientific and cultural importance and potential to be affected by the Project. The assessment predicted the residual effects of the

Project on each valued environmental component. The significance of adverse residual effects on valued environmental components was assessed according to guidance provided by regulatory authorities.

The predicted residual effects of the Project on each of the valued environmental components are summarized below for the biophysical and the socio-economic environments. More detail on potential effects on each valued environmental component is provided in the Appendix.

The Partnership recognizes this approach to environmental assessment differs from the Cree worldview, which places equal importance on all components of the environment, as all parts are important and interrelated. Given this distinct worldview, results of the technical scientific assessment may not be readily accepted by all of the Partners. Accordingly, an emphasis has been placed on monitoring and adaptive management which is also consistent with guidance provided by regulatory authorities.

### Effects on the Biophysical Environment

The Project will have effects on the physical, aquatic and terrestrial environments, all of which have been substantially altered by previous hydroelectric developments. Eighteen valued environmental components were



*Coastal caribou near the Hayes River.*

selected for the biophysical assessment and, following mitigation, none of the residual adverse effects exceeded the regulatory test for significance.

### **Physical Environment**

The analysis of effects to the physical environment included the following components: climate, air quality and noise; physiography; surface water and ice; groundwater; water temperature and dissolved oxygen; and erosion, sediment, and debris. Although no valued environmental components were identified for the physical environment, the analysis and characterization of the effects to the physical environment formed the pathways and foundation for the analysis of effects to aquatic, terrestrial and socio-economic valued environmental components.

### **Climate, Local Air Quality and Noise**

The Project will reduce future greenhouse gas emissions by displacing the need for electricity produced by coal or gas thermal generation facilities. The Project will produce fewer greenhouse gases in a century of operation than an equivalent coal-fired generating station would produce in 100 days and a gas-fired station in half a year.

The Project will have small and short-term effects on local air quality and noise during the construction period. The effects of the Project on air quality and noise during operation will be minor; however the sound of water flowing through Gull Rapids will no longer be heard.

### **Physiography**

The Project will directly impact the physiography in the local area through the creation of a reservoir, clearing of land, development of new structures and the south access road, and related construction activities at borrow areas and excavated material placement areas. Including the reservoir, the Project will have a footprint of about 134 square kilometres during construction and 138 square kilometres during operation.

### **Surface Water, Ice and Groundwater**

The Project's reservoir surface area will initially be approximately 93 square kilometres, of which 45 square kilometres will be newly flooded area and 48 square kilometres will consist of existing Nelson River area in which water levels will rise. The reservoir is predicted to expand by seven to eight square kilometres over the first three decades of Project operation due to ongoing shoreline erosion and peatland disintegration.


Immediately upstream of the generating station, water levels will be raised about 15 metres above existing water levels and Gull Rapids will be submerged. During open-water conditions, the resulting backwater effect will extend 41 kilometers upstream (about three kilometres downstream of the outlet of Clark Lake). The increasing water level will affect Birthday Rapids, reducing flow velocities in this fast-water area. During operation, technical studies predict there will be no effect on Split Lake water levels in open-



*Weighing a lake sturgeon before release.*



## Water Surface Profiles



*Water levels in the reservoir may be stable, or may fluctuate up to one metre on a daily or weekly basis.*



*Ice formation on Gull Lake.*

water conditions, and only small changes during winter with low flows which occur (about once every 20 years). Water levels in the reservoir may be stable, or may fluctuate up to one metre on a daily or weekly basis.

Changes in the distribution of flow are expected to occur in the river channel for up to three kilometres downstream of the Project. A portion of the current south channel of Gull Rapids downstream of the dam will be dewatered.


The winter ice cover upstream of the generating station will be different from that which presently forms. The ice cover will form earlier and extend farther upstream than presently occurs. A thinner and smoother ice cover, like the ice on Split Lake or Stephens Lake, will develop on the reservoir in place of the thick, rough ice that is formed in the river at present. A thinner and smoother

ice cover is also expected to form downstream of the Project to the inlet of Stephens Lake, in place of the rough, thick ice that currently develops.

Groundwater levels are expected to rise in existing and newly formed islands in the reservoir and in the vicinity of the new reservoir shorelines.

### **Water Temperature and Dissolved Oxygen**

Dissolved oxygen concentrations in the main body of the reservoir and a large part of the flooded area are predicted to meet Manitoba water quality objectives. During summer, water temperatures will be warmer and dissolved oxygen will be intermittently low in newly formed off-current bays away from the main body of the reservoir. Low dissolved oxygen concentrations will occur in off-current



- A** Water levels will increase approximately 41 km (25.6 mi.) upstream of the generating station almost to the outlet of Clark Lake. Water levels on Split Lake will not be affected during open-water conditions.
- B** Shoreline expansion in the bay immediately upstream of Birthday Rapids is predicted to occur with or without the Project.
- C** Approximately 45 km<sup>2</sup> (17.4 mi<sup>2</sup>) of flooding will occur immediately, primarily in the low-lying areas adjacent to Gull Lake. During the first 30 years of operations, the reservoir will expand by 7-8 km<sup>2</sup> due to erosion of shorelines and peatland disintegration.
- D** The water level on Gull Lake will be raised approximately 7 m (23 ft.).

*Water surface profiles for existing environment, Project environment and flooded area (50th percentile).*

bays in winter due to lack of mixing with the main flow and the presence of an ice cover.

### **Erosion, Sediment and Debris**

The flooded area is largely comprised of low-lying peatlands that will disintegrate, resulting in floating peat and breakdown of peat shorelines. As the peat shorelines break down, additional underlying mineral materials will be exposed and will erode over time. The rate of erosion of shorelines and the input of organic and mineral sediment into the water is expected to be greatest in the first year of operation. Within 15 to 30 years the amount of organic sediment entering the water will be substantially reduced, while mineral sediment loads will stabilize at a lower long-term rate.

Most of the mineral sediments resulting from shoreline erosion are expected to be deposited in nearshore areas. Generally, the concentration of suspended mineral sediment in the reservoir is expected to be lower with the Project than in the existing environment. While organic sediment entering the water will be greater with the Project, it is predicted that the overall amount of organic suspended sediment in the reservoir will be very low after the first few years of operation and will continue to be very low.

The Partnership will implement plans and measures to mitigate effects including: the Reservoir Clearing Plan to remove trees and woody vegetation before the reservoir is flooded; the Waterways Management Program to collect debris from the



*A sub-adult lake sturgeon.*



*Gillnetting near Split Lake.*

*Increases in suspended solids will generally be small and only be detectable in Stephens Lake.*

reservoir once it is impounded; and a Sediment Management Plan to monitor the effects of construction activity on suspended sediment concentrations in the river so that actions can be taken if target levels are exceeded.

### **Aquatic Environment**

The analysis of effects to the aquatic environment considered the following components: water quality, habitat for aquatic biota, aquatic plants and algae, aquatic invertebrates (including zooplankton and benthic invertebrates), fish, and fish quality (including mercury in fish). Water quality and four fish species (lake sturgeon, pickerel, jackfish and lake whitefish) were selected as valued environmental components. The Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada has assessed lake sturgeon populations as endangered, and they are being considered for protection under the federal *Species at Risk Act*.

### **Water Quality**

The Project's main effect to water quality during construction will be the input of suspended solids due to construction in the river. Increases in suspended solids will generally be small and only be detectable in Stephens Lake. For a few months during two years of construction, work in the river will release enough sediment to cause increases to extend downstream past the Kettle Generating Station. However, the increase in the concentration of total suspended solids is not expected to be large enough to harm plants and animals living in the river.

During initial years of Project operation, effects to water quality will occur in the newly flooded areas but will diminish over 10 to 15 years. In the main part of the reservoir and part of Stephens Lake, total suspended solids concentrations will decline due to settling of sediments in the reservoir. Overall, water quality will always be suitable for aquatic life in the main part of the reservoir, and will be suitable at most locations and most times of the year in the flooded area.

### **Fish**

Construction and operation of the Project has the potential to affect lake sturgeon, lake whitefish, pickerel and jackfish.

Effects of construction activities such as inputs of total suspended solids, blasting, and dewatering of construction areas will be mitigated through management measures, including avoiding spawning periods. Within Stephens Lake, the loss of Gull Rapids as spawning habitat is the largest effect to fish populations. During the construction phase, this loss will likely reduce the number of pickerel, lake whitefish and sturgeon being produced. During the operation phase, replacement spawning habitat will be provided at several locations, including the tailrace of the generating station and in Stephens Lake. Stocking during both construction and operation phases will replace lost production of lake sturgeon.



*Pickerel (walleye).*

Over time, productive habitat for fish species such as jackfish, pickerel and lake whitefish will develop in the reservoir. However, during the first years after flooding, spawning habitat for pickerel and lake whitefish will likely be limited in the downstream areas of the reservoir so spawning shoals will be constructed near existing spawning sites.

Water level increases may make Birthday Rapids less suitable for lake sturgeon spawning and may affect the occurrence and distribution of habitat for other life stages. If monitoring shows that habitat to support all life stages of lake sturgeon is no longer available, replacement habitat will be developed.

Lake sturgeon are receiving special attention. A new program will stock fry, fingerlings and yearlings in the area directly affected by the Project, as well as in the broader region, while the Project is being constructed and for an extended period after it goes into operation. Stocking is a proven method for increasing lake sturgeon numbers

and has been an important feature of many recovery programs.

Plans are also in place to address fish passage. Although habitat will be available both upstream and downstream of the generating station to meet all life history requirements for these fish species, upstream and downstream fish passage will be provided to maintain existing connections among fish populations.

Overall, in the long term, the numbers of pickerel, jackfish and lake whitefish are expected to remain similar to the present day environment in the Keeyask reservoir and Stephens Lake, though there may be short term declines during construction and initial years of operation. While lake sturgeon may experience some short-term declines in recruitment during construction, in the long term their numbers in the reservoir and Stephens Lake are expected to be at least comparable to those of today because habitat will be available to support all life stages. In addition, a long-term conservation-stocking program will increase sturgeon numbers in the region.

### **Terrestrial Environment**

The analysis of effects to the terrestrial environment considered the following components: ecosystems and habitat, terrestrial plants, invertebrates, amphibians and reptiles, birds, and mammals. Valued environmental components were organized within these broader components as follows: ecosystems and habitat (ecosystem diversity, intactness, and wetland function), terrestrial



*Preparing a lake sturgeon for tagging with an acoustic transmitter as part of studies near Stephens Lake.*

*A long-term conservation-stocking program will increase sturgeon numbers in the region.*





*Coring a tree to determine age.*

plant (priority plants), birds (Canada goose, mallard, bald eagle, olive-sided flycatcher, common nighthawk, and rusty blackbird), and mammals (caribou, moose and beaver). The olive-sided flycatcher, rusty blackbird, and common nighthawk are protected under the *Species at Risk Act*.

While boreal woodland caribou have been protected under the *Species at Risk Act*, their current range as designated by Environment Canada and Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship, does not extend to the Keeyask area.

#### **Ecosystems and Habitat**

A combination of mitigation measures will reduce effects on ecosystems and habitat components. During the planning phase, boundaries of excavated materials placement areas and borrow area boundaries were adjusted to avoid sensitive terrestrial areas and habitats. Once construction is completed, portions of these areas will be rehabilitated, and the entrance to some access trails and cutlines will be blocked and re-vegetated.

Land clearing, flooding and higher groundwater levels along the reservoir shoreline due to flooding are predicted to remove or alter up to approximately 94 square kilometres of terrestrial habitat (includes areas that are unlikely to be used).

Ecosystem diversity will be affected in that there will be area losses for some priority habitat types. In terms

of intactness, there will be a slight reduction in the regional amount of undisturbed core area.

Some losses of wetlands will occur, but there will be no net loss of particularly important wetlands because wetland development is planned to replace the loss of high quality, off-system marsh wetlands.

Overall, the effects on ecosystems and habitat valued environmental components are expected to be adverse but regionally acceptable.

#### **Terrestrial Plants**

No very rare plant species are known to occur or are expected to occur in the Project area. Effects to most plant species of particular interest to the Keeyask Cree Nations will be low due to their widespread distribution. For the remaining species, the Project is predicted to have minor effects on their known locations and/or available habitat.

#### **Birds**

Most birds that use habitat close to the construction site will be disturbed by construction activity such as noise, dust, presence of vehicles and people, and blasting. To reduce avoidance by birds of nesting habitat and prevent disruption of established nests in the construction area, clearing and blasting activity will be restricted to the extent practicable during the bird-breeding season from April 1 to July 31. Wherever practicable, vegetated

buffers will also be established around lakes and creeks to minimize disturbances.

Flooding from the reservoir will reduce the quality of Canada goose migratory staging habitat and there will likely be small losses



*Olive-sided flycatcher.*



*Bald eagle.*



*Canada goose.*

of breeding habitat for Canada goose and mallard. Waterfowl may be exposed to greater risks of harvesting by construction workers, but implementation of an access management plan during construction will reduce potential effects. During operation, increased access to the area may increase harvest. Blocking some access trails and cutlines and re-vegetating them will help to reduce access-related waterfowl losses.

Off-system marsh wetlands will be developed to provide some replacement habitat for species such as Canada goose, mallard and rusty blackbird. Permanent and long-term loss of some waterbird nesting habitat will be mitigated by enhancing existing colonial waterbird nesting islands, creating new sites, and installing nesting platforms. Other mitigation measures include erecting bald eagle nesting structures, to replace nests disturbed by the Project, retaining trees in some flooded back bays as olive-sided flycatcher habitat, and enhancing borrow areas as potential nesting sites for common nighthawk.

The effects on Canada geese, mallards, olive-sided flycatchers, rusty blackbirds and common nighthawks are expected to be adverse but regionally acceptable because of the widespread availability of the type of habitat affected and implementation of mitigation measures. The effect on bald eagles is expected to be regionally acceptable as the adverse construction effects are small and operation effects are neutral overall.





*Moose in the regional study area.*



*Coastal caribou crossing a lake in the Keeyask region.*

### **Mammals**

Three groupings of caribou are found in the region: barren-ground caribou, coastal caribou (a forest-tundra migratory woodland caribou ecotype) and summer resident caribou (a type of woodland caribou whose exact range and herd association is uncertain).

During construction, noise, blasting, vehicle traffic and the presence of humans have the potential to disturb caribou, moose and beaver in the vicinity of the construction area, but these disturbances are of particular concern for caribou. Limiting clearing and blasting activity to the extent practicable during the calving period from May 15 to June 30 will mitigate effects to caribou calving areas.

Mammals such as moose could be vulnerable to harvesting by construction workers, but implementation of an access management plan, including a prohibition on firearms on the construction site, will help to address this effect.

Moose and caribou could also be adversely affected by increased long-term resource harvest and predation due to increased access. Once construction is completed, blocking and re-vegetating some access trails and cutlines will reduce the potential for these effects. In addition, Tataskweyak Cree Nation has prepared a Moose

Harvest Sustainability Plan for the Split Lake Resource Management Area which includes the Keeyask site and surrounding areas.

Flooding of the reservoir and clearing for infrastructure such as the camp and access roads will result in habitat loss, alteration and fragmentation for caribou, moose and beaver. A small long-term regional loss of caribou calving and rearing habitat is also expected. Potential effects to some areas of caribou calving habitat were avoided by siting and/or modifying boundaries of excavated materials placement areas, temporary and permanent access roads and borrow areas. Rehabilitation of roadside ditches using native plant species and maintaining vegetated buffers around wetlands and creeks will reduce effects.

Overall effects to moose and caribou are expected to be adverse but regionally acceptable because habitat loss is small compared to its widespread regional availability, and there is a negligible change to intactness and mortality. Habitat losses to beaver are somewhat larger, but are regionally acceptable because beaver are resilient to Project-related effects. Beaver will continue to create their own habitat, compensate for population reductions, and adjust to some changing conditions in the reservoir.

## Effects on the Socio-Economic Environment

The Project will have effects on the socio-economic environment, including effects on resource use and heritage resources. Twenty valued environmental components were selected for the socio-economic assessment and, following mitigation, none of the residual adverse effects exceeded the regulatory test for significance.

Six communities are located in the vicinity of the Project: Split Lake, home to Tataskweyak Cree Nation; Ilford, home to War Lake First Nation; York Landing, home to York Factory First Nation; Fox Lake/Bird, home to Fox Lake Cree Nation; Gillam, Manitoba Hydro's key operations and service center and home to Fox Lake Cree Nation Members and their urban reserve; and Thompson.

Each of the Keeyask Cree Nations has documented the history of their people and their stories illustrate how changes since first contact have had a profound effect on their relationships with the environment, changing their way of life and culture. Among all the changes that have occurred, hydroelectric development over the past 55 years has been one of the most profound. In total, more than 35 major generation, conversion and transmission projects have been undertaken by Manitoba Hydro in northeastern Manitoba affecting the traditional territories of the Keeyask Cree Nations, their communities and Members. These Cree Nations continue

to be a key part of the region's population and economy and adhere to the Cree worldview that emphasizes respect for and stewardship of *Askij* (the land, water and living things).

Resource use, including hunting, fishing, trapping, and gathering for both domestic/subsistence and commercial purposes, continues to be important to the Aboriginal people who live in this region.

### Economy

Expenditures to build and operate the Project will generate substantial employment and business opportunities and labour income. Several measures have been taken to enhance Project-related employment and business opportunities available to the Keeyask Cree Nations, Aboriginal northerners and other northerners during Project construction.

Direct negotiated contracts will provide substantive, short-term business opportunities for the Keeyask Cree Nations, which will increase their business capacity, provide revenues for community-based businesses, and improve employment opportunities for Keeyask Cree Nations Members working on the Project.

Preference measures are included in the Burntwood-Nelson Collective Agreement for employing qualified Keeyask Cree Nations Members, other Aboriginal and other northern residents. Measures to retain workers at the site will include cultural training, counselling services, on-site Keeyask Cree Nations liaison



*The Keeyask Project will provide a range of opportunities for jobs during construction and when the station is operating.*





*Keeyask Cree Nations Members are estimated to fill between 235 and 600 person-years of construction employment on the Keeyask Project.*

workers and an Aboriginal union representative. Aboriginal people from the regional study area are estimated to fill between 550 and 1,700 person-years of construction employment, which is between 13 and 40 percent of total construction employment. Keeyask Cree Nations Members are estimated to fill between 235 and 600 person-years of this employment.

During Project operation, 46 new full-time positions will be based at the Keeyask Generating Station and Gillam and Keeyask Cree Nations Members could fill some of these. In addition, targets have been established to increase employment for Keeyask Cree Nations Members in Manitoba Hydro's operational workforce.

Each of the Keeyask Cree Nations also has the opportunity to invest in the equity of the Project and the potential to receive ongoing income from this investment.

### **Infrastructure and Services**

Population change and the effect on physical infrastructure during construction are expected to be minimal since workers will be hired through the job referral service and cannot be hired at site. In addition, there is limited local accommodation available. However, lifestyle changes associated with Project employment and potential worker-interaction issues related to the non-local construction workforce are expected to increase social-services demands in Keeyask Cree Nations communities, Gillam and Thompson.

Keeyask's operational workforce will be based in Gillam with a population of about 1,200, based on the 2006 census. Operation workers and a small number of indirect workers along with their families who move to Gillam will create new demand for community infrastructure and services. A land-use-planning process involving the Town of Gillam, Manitoba Hydro, and Fox Lake Cree Nation is addressing these needs.

### **Personal, Family and Community Life**

#### **Public Safety and Worker Interaction**

Concern has been expressed about potential adverse interactions between non-local construction workers and local residents during construction, particularly in Gillam, Thompson and Split Lake. For Gillam, these concerns relate to the community's proximity to the south

access road and construction camp, along with potential cumulative effects from other proposed Manitoba Hydro projects in the vicinity. Fox Lake Cree Nation has concerns related to experience with earlier hydroelectric developments.

Issues related to worker interaction include: increased disposable income and the potential for inappropriate spending on alcohol and drugs; the temporary, transient nature of a non-local construction workforce; and increased road traffic with the potential for related increases in traffic incidents.


Interaction with non-local construction workers could increase demand for emergency services and social services in Gillam and Split Lake. Demand for emergency services could also increase in Thompson from non-local workers visiting the community during their leisure hours.

Several mitigation measures will be in place to address possible worker-interaction issues, including cultural training for all construction workers, and a lounge and recreational facilities to encourage workers to spend leisure hours at the main camp. Public visits to the camp will be restricted. A shuttle will transfer incoming and outgoing workers between Gillam, Thompson and the site.

Ongoing dialogue with the Gillam and Thompson RCMP during construction will assist in identifying worker interaction issues. Discussions between Manitoba Hydro, the Town of Gillam,

Fox Lake Cree Nation and Tataskweyak Cree Nation will also begin before construction starts to determine the best means of tracking and addressing worker-interaction issues and concerns across all Manitoba Hydro proposed projects in the vicinity of Gillam.

**Typical Time Course of Mercury Concentrations in Predatory Fish After Reservoir Flooding in Northern Manitoba**



### **Methylmercury and Health**

Increased methylmercury levels, especially in jackfish and pickerel in Gull Lake and to a lesser extent in Stephens Lake, are expected during the period after impoundment.

These levels are estimated to peak about 3 to 7 years after impoundment and then return to current levels over about 30 years.

*The Waterways Management Program as well as waterways public safety measures will address overall safety for water- and ice-based travel upstream and downstream of the Project.*

Efforts have been made to develop mitigation measures so that Keeyask Cree Nations Members can continue to safely eat nutritious country foods from the land and waters during this time period. Most notable are programs in the Adverse Effects Agreements, which allow for harvesting healthy fish and other country foods from areas unaffected by the Project. Mitigation measures also include developing a risk-communications strategy for the Keeyask Cree Nations, Gillam and other users of Gull and Stephens lakes.

#### **Travel, Access and Safety**

The Project will potentially affect both water-based and road-based travel during construction and operation.

During construction, for safety reasons, boat and snowmobile users of the Nelson River will be restricted from traveling close to the main construction site. The Waterways Management Program as well as waterways public safety measures will address overall safety for water- and ice-based travel upstream and downstream of the Project. Once operating, the reservoir is expected to improve water and ice-based travel, although slush ice along reservoir shorelines may be encountered.

In addition, the Reservoir Clearing Plan has been developed to minimize potential for debris in the reservoir following impoundment. Technical studies predict no changes to open-water levels on Split Lake during operation, and only small changes during winter periods with low flows that occur about once every 20 years.



Substantial Project-related traffic during construction on Provincial Trunk Highway 6, Provincial Road 391 and Provincial Road 280 has the potential to affect road travel. Manitoba Infrastructure and Transportation is upgrading Provincial Road 280 to improve safety and accommodate



increased traffic; however, traffic volumes remain a concern for the Keeyask Cree Nations. During construction, the new north and south access roads will be private roads with security-gates restricting traffic and an Access Management Plan controlling access. Once the Project is complete and the north and south access roads are integrated into the provincial highway network, travel time between Thompson and Gillam will be reduced by about 45 minutes.

#### **Culture and Spirituality and the Way the Land Looks (Aesthetics)**

The way the landscape looks (aesthetics) will be changed by the construction of the physical works associated with the Project, by the loss of Gull Rapids, and by flooding and ongoing erosion. While some aspects of the Project will be rehabilitated, the landscape will be permanently changed. Measures to help the Keeyask Cree Nations to deal with these effects include ceremonies to mark the changes and counselling for workers at the site. The Keeyask Cree Nations' Adverse Effects Agreements include programs to improve Members' connection to the land and their culture.

Participating as Partners also provides the Keeyask Cree Nations with meaningful involvement in Project decision-making and influence over how the Project has been planned and will be constructed and operated. Through this approach, the Keeyask Cree Nations are able to bring the Cree worldview and implications for Cree culture and

spirituality into Project decision-making and post-Project monitoring.

#### **Land, Resource Use and the Resource Economy**

No reserve or Treaty Land Entitlement land is required for the Project.

Many measures already identified will assist travel for fishing, hunting and gathering in the area and facilitate adjustments to new conditions by resource users. The Offsetting Programs established under the Adverse Effects Agreements will provide Keeyask Cree Nations Members with opportunities to undertake domestic resource-use activities while expressing their respect for *Askij* and passing on skills and this worldview to younger generations. These programs were negotiated to meet the specific needs of the Keeyask Cree Nations.

The resource economy is comprised of cash and in-kind income from commercial and domestic resources. No change in cash income is expected with respect to mining. Reductions to cash income from commercial fishing and trapping will be addressed through compensation agreements. Effects on tourism in the region are expected to be small due to shifts in the patterns of resource use that may infrequently increase competition for resources in local areas. Potential reduction of in-kind income from domestic resources acquired in the vicinity of the Project is expected to be offset by opportunities to harvest country food through Offsetting Programs of the Adverse Effects Agreements.

*Participating as Partners also provides the Keeyask Cree Nations with meaningful involvement in Project decision-making and influence over how the Project has been planned and will be constructed and operated.*





*Artifacts collected during archaeological excavations (2003-2010) include items such as buckles, beads, projectiles and pottery.*

*Heritage resources will be salvaged to enable long-term preservation of portable artifacts and to enhance public and local heritage awareness.*

### Heritage Resources

An extensive program has been undertaken to identify heritage resources that the Project will disturb during construction or through flooding once the Project is in operation. Heritage resources will be salvaged to enable long-term preservation of portable artifacts and to enhance public and local heritage awareness through education kits, interpretive displays and other forms of cultural media.

A cemetery, prepared and consecrated for the reburial of human remains found during construction and operation of the Project, including a memorial marker, will be developed in an area selected by Tataskweyak Cree Nation, in consultation with the other Partners. Keyask Cree Nations Members will be involved in identifying and contributing to impact management measures at important spiritual and heritage sites.



*Archaeological shoreline survey at Split Lake.*



*The 12-metre elevation drop through Gull Rapids makes the site ideal for the 695 megawatt Keeyask hydroelectric generating station, which will produce enough energy to power 400,000 homes.*

## 6. Cumulative Effects of the Project

The cumulative effects assessment of the Project responds to guidelines provided by regulatory authorities. It describes the incremental adverse environmental effects likely to result from the Project in combination with the effects of other past, present and future projects or human activities.

The cumulative effects assessment focuses on valued environmental components that will be adversely affected by the Project, based on the effects assessment summarized in Section 5 of this Executive Summary.

The Partnership recognizes that the valued environmental component approach as required by the regulatory process does not capture the broader concept of the Cree worldview, which places equal importance on all components of the environment, as all parts are important and interrelated. Further, a cumulative effects perspective is inherent to the Cree worldview, which considers the effects of the Project in the context of everything that has happened in the past and everything that is anticipated to happen in the future.

### **Other Projects and Activities Considered**

---

Past and current projects and activities considered in the cumulative effects assessment include the following Manitoba Hydro generation-related developments:

- Churchill River Diversion;
- Lake Winnipeg Regulation;
- The five existing generating stations on the Nelson River;
- The new Wuskwatim Generating Station on the Burntwood River;
- The Kelsey Re-Runnering Project; and
- The Keeyask Infrastructure Project.

Other regional developments such as transmission lines, rail lines and highways, including upgrades to Provincial Road 280 were also considered along with mining, commercial forestry and commercial fishing.

The cumulative effects assessment was expanded to consider effects of the Project that overlap with other foreseeable





*Transmission projects and the Conawapa Generation Project are included in the cumulative effects assessment.*

future projects and activities. Based on this evaluation, the Partnership included the following future developments in the cumulative effects assessment:

- The Bipole III Transmission Project, including the Keewatinoow Converter Station, with potential construction from 2013 to 2017;
- The Keeyask Transmission Project with potential construction in mid-2014 to mid-2015 and in early 2017 to 2020;
- Gillam Redevelopment between 2013 and 2019; and
- The potential Conawapa Generation Project that, if developed, could be under construction in early 2017 for completion in 2027.

### **Effects on Biophysical Environment**

The Project is located on the Nelson River, a river that has been substantially altered over the past 55 years by the development of the Lake Winnipeg Regulation, the Churchill River Diversion and construction of five generating stations. Hydroelectric development has replaced large rapids with dams, changed stretches of the river into reservoirs and diverted flows from the Churchill River into the lower Nelson River. These changes have altered the aquatic environment, and have negatively affected species such as lake sturgeon that require large rapids habitat. The terrestrial environment has also been altered, due to loss of lands to flooding, damaged riparian areas, and the construction of

other projects, such as roads, rail lines and transmission lines.

After considering the effects of past and current activities, which are summarized in Section 5, and of future projects and activities, the Partnership has not identified cumulative effects on the biophysical environment requiring further mitigation. Accordingly, no residual adverse cumulative effects on the biophysical environment will exceed the regulatory test of significance.

Most adverse Project residual effects on aquatic valued environmental components occur only in the Keeyask reservoir and Stephens Lake, and usually only during construction and the first years of Project operation. These effects overlap with the effects of existing hydroelectric developments, such as the Churchill River Diversion, Lake Winnipeg Regulation and the operation of the nearby Kettle Generating Station. They do not generally overlap with future developments on the Nelson River, such as the potential Conawapa Generating Station, or with future transmission projects considered in the cumulative effects assessment.

However, there is potential for a cumulative adverse effect to water quality for one to three months per year over two years if construction of the Project and Conawapa occur at the same time. This short-term, small effect could occur due to the simultaneous release of suspended sediments to the Nelson River during some construction activities at the

Project site and further downstream at the Conawapa project site, which could potentially be under construction in early 2017. It is expected any cumulative effect of sediment from concurrent construction of the Keeyask and Conawapa projects will have no measurable adverse effects to aquatic animal and plant life at Conawapa and further downstream because inputs from both projects will be managed to maintain levels that would not have harmful effects.

In the terrestrial environment, cumulative adverse effects with other future projects are expected during construction and operation of the Project. Cumulative adverse effects of the Project on ecosystem and habitat valued environmental components and priority plants are predicted to remain in the nil to moderate range, depending on the specific habitat type, indicator or species. Cumulative adverse effects of the Project on bird valued environmental components, including species at risk (olive-sided flycatcher, common nighthawk, and rusty blackbird), and on caribou and beaver are expected to be minimal. Cumulative adverse effects of the Project on moose in combination with the effects of the identified future projects are focused on access issues and sustainability of moose harvest, and are considered manageable with the implementation of the Tataskweyak Cree Nation moose harvest sustainability plan throughout the Split Lake Resource Management Area.



*Archaeological excavation at Pointe West site.*

### **Effects on Socio-Economic Environment**

The Project is located close to communities that have been greatly affected by past hydroelectric and other developments. Each of the Keeyask Cree Nations has documented the history of its people, and the profound effect that hydroelectric development over the past 55 years has had on its relationships with the environment, changing its way of life and culture.

This Executive Summary (Section 5) summarizes effects of the Project on socio-economic valued environmental components in combination with the effects of past and current projects.

In addressing the Project's effects on socio-economic valued environmental components, the Partnership has recognized that each of the Keeyask Cree Nations has entered into an agreement with Manitoba Hydro to address adverse effects of the Project on each respective Cree Nation and its Members.

The Partnership has identified several instances where other future projects and

*The Project is located close to communities that have been greatly affected by past hydroelectric and other developments.*





*View north across Gull Rapids, with the south channel in the foreground and the middle channel in the background. Once the Project is complete, both sets of rapids and much of the island between them will form part of the Keeyask reservoir.*

activities considered in the cumulative effects assessment have the potential to result in cumulative adverse effects of the Project on socio-economic valued environmental components. Assuming that appropriate mitigation and monitoring measures are carried out as part of these future projects, no residual adverse cumulative effects on the socio-economic environment will exceed the regulatory test of significance.

Potential cumulative adverse effects of the Project have been identified for valued environmental components of infrastructure and services, personal, family and community life, and heritage resources. This is linked primarily with the construction workforce associated with each identified future project and the larger potential for effects from visits by non-local construction workers to Gillam (and possibly other local communities) and related adverse interaction opportunities.

Manitoba Hydro is committed to working with local communities, including the Keeyask Cree Nations, the Town of Gillam and others so that these future projects are planned, constructed and developed in a coordinated way that minimizes adverse effects. This includes the implementation of incremental mitigation and monitoring, as required, to minimize adverse socio-economic effects and, where appropriate, the negotiation of agreements with affected Keeyask Cree Nations and others prior to the start of construction of these future projects. As with Keeyask, these agreements will address known and foreseeable adverse effects of these future projects on these communities, and seek to enhance potential benefits.



## 7. Monitoring and Follow-up

An Environmental Protection Program has been developed to mitigate, manage and monitor environmental effects during the Project construction and operation phases.

While descriptions of the existing environment are based on measurement and observation, descriptions of effects and mitigation designed to address adverse effects are predictions based on technical scientific studies and analysis, professional judgement and Aboriginal traditional knowledge. Monitoring will determine if these predictions are correct and if mitigation measures are working as expected. If unexpected effects are detected, the program will also define processes for determining appropriate adaptive management programs and practices.

The Environmental Protection Program covers the “who, what, when, where and how” of protecting and monitoring the environment. Manitoba Hydro has a contractual responsibility for implementing the program delegated by the Partnership. The Program will consist of three types of plans:

**1. Environmental Protection Plans,** to provide detailed, site-specific environmental protection measures to be implemented by the contractors and construction staff to minimize environmental effects from construction of the generating station and the south access road;

**2. Environmental Management Plans,** focused on specific environmental issues, such as sediment management, access management, fish habitat and heritage resources; and

**3. Environmental Monitoring Plans,** to describe monitoring the effects of construction and operations on the biophysical, physical and socio-economic environments using both technical science and Aboriginal traditional knowledge.

Each plan includes an implementation strategy that, as required, may include contractual arrangements, training, compliance inspections and communication of results.

The Keeyask Cree Nations will be directly involved in monitoring implementation by leading the Aboriginal traditional knowledge monitoring program and working side-by-side with scientists as part of the technical science-based monitoring and participating in the Partnership’s Monitoring Advisory Committee. Manitoba Hydro will oversee monitoring activity to confirm that work is in accordance with the finalized, regulator-approved plans.



*Laboratory analysis of samples.*



*Collecting a benthic invertebrate sample with an Ekman dredge.*



## 8. The Project and Sustainable Development

Sustainable development has been an underlying principle of the Project from the earliest discussions with the Keeyask Cree Nations and it has been carefully planned and designed to ensure social, economic and environmental sustainability.

The Project addresses environmental, social and economic goals, principles and guidelines of relevant sustainable-development legislation and other initiatives currently in force, including the *Federal Sustainable Development Act* (2008) and *The Sustainable Development Act* (Manitoba)(1998).

### Social Sustainability

---

The Keeyask Cree Nations, whose ancestors lived sustainably in the lower Nelson River region for thousands of years, are directly involved in the planning and assessment process of the Project and have provided an important foundation for its sustainable-development focus. In their Environmental Evaluation Reports they have shared their perspectives about how past hydroelectric projects have affected their communities and their desire to restore harmony and balance with *Askij* and to enhance their culture and traditions.

To achieve these goals, the Keeyask Cree Nations have been influential in identifying and advocating measures to

reduce the Project's environmental effects. Each of their Adverse Effects Agreements provides continued access to healthy country foods and programs to maintain and strengthen traditions, language and culture. They will also have a direct role in Project monitoring and follow-up activities, including implementing community-specific Aboriginal traditional knowledge programs. They will also conduct appropriate activities at major Project milestones, including rituals and ceremonies to show respect and give thanks to *Askij*.

Project participation has and will continue to provide the Keeyask Cree Nations with employment and business opportunities. They will have a continuing role in Partnership governance, serving on the Board of Directors and on various Partnership Committees. They also have opportunities to invest in the Project and to receive long-term income from their investment.

### Economic Sustainability

---

The Project will contribute to economic sustainability at the national, provincial and regional levels.

The Project is an innovative model for First Nation and corporate partnership in renewable resource development. It will provide employment, business opportunities and income that will



stimulate the provincial economy while increasing tax revenue to federal and provincial governments. The province will also realize ongoing revenue from waterpower rights over the Project's life.


More than 4,000 person-years of Project employment over eight-and-a-half years will help build skills, capacity, job experience and economic benefits for Manitobans, but especially will help northern workers to sustain employment once the Project is complete. This complements employment training for the Project that has already benefitted hundreds of workers, resulting in long-lasting skilled labour, transferable to other jobs in the future.

To reflect true and sustainable Project costs, expenditures for the many measures taken to avoid or mitigate adverse effects and enhance social benefits have been integrated into the Project design. By doing this these external social costs become absorbed as internal corporate costs.

The Project will contribute to regional economic sustainability for the Keeyask Cree Nations, other regional First Nations and northern residents, and the Town of Gillam, which will benefit from long-term population growth with well-paid operational jobs at the generating station.

Project-related economic activity will stimulate opportunities for regional commercial and industrial businesses.

*The Keeyask Cree Nations have been influential in identifying and advocating measures to reduce the Project's environmental effects. Each of their Adverse Effects Agreements provides continued access to healthy country foods and programs to maintain and strengthen traditions, language and culture.*



Source: Derived from data provided by Manitoba Hydro, 2011. Note: Employment opportunities in 2021 (Q3 and Q4) are for the decommissioning of temporary supporting infrastructure. Estimated construction schedule is subject to change by contractor.





*Lake sturgeon exhibiting pre-spawning behavior.*

### **Environmental Sustainability**

Equal consideration to both technical-scientific studies and Aboriginal traditional knowledge has created a thorough and comprehensive planning and environmental assessment process.

With over a decade of study to understand and decrease environmental effects, the Project has been planned to avoid or reduce long-term effects. This has primarily been accomplished by reducing the size of the Project from a 1150-megawatts station requiring a high-level reservoir that would flood 180 square kilometres, to a smaller 695-megawatt station with a low-level reservoir that floods 45 square kilometres. In creating the lowest reservoir-level/lowest-effect design that is both technically and economically feasible, the project is able to reduce flooding by 75 percent while maintaining 60 percent of the generating capacity of the high-level design.

Consistent with federal and provincial climate-change policy, the Project will significantly displace coal-or-gas-generated electricity that could produce over 200-times more greenhouse gas.

Special attention has been paid to sensitive species and habitats, specifically lake sturgeon, which has been assessed as endangered by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada and is being considered for protection under the *Species at Risk Act*. A combination of mitigation measures including habitat enhancement, and a large-scale stocking program are intended to not only maintain existing stocks but to increase the population size in the region.

With over a decade of study to understand and decrease environmental effects, the Project has been planned to avoid or reduce long-term effects.

## 9. Conclusion

In voting to approve the Joint Keeyask Development Agreement, the Keeyask Cree Nations expressed the hope – a realistic hope based on careful evaluation – that the Project will help to restore harmony and balance to relationships and their lives; and that the Project will provide opportunities for current and future generations while respecting and caring for *Askij*.

The Project will cause numerous and widespread environmental and social effects, some of which would have had the potential to be significant. However, using past experience, Aboriginal traditional knowledge and leading scientific and engineering techniques, the Partnership has mitigated, remediated and/or compensated for these effects, such that the Partnership is confident the Project should proceed.

The Project will also produce substantial environmental, social and economic benefits, all of which are consistent with the principles of sustainability established by the Governments of Canada and

Manitoba. The Project will contribute to reductions in greenhouse gases and increases in lake sturgeon populations; it will provide training and employment for hundreds of Aboriginal and northern workers; it will enable the Keeyask Cree Nations Partners to build capacity and profit from construction contracts and their investment as equity partners; and it will produce clean renewable energy for Manitobans and export markets. As such, the Partnership believes the Project should be granted regulatory approval to proceed.

# 10. Appendix - Environmental Effects Analysis: Summary of Potential Effects, Mitigation/Enhancement and Residual Effects

## Aquatic Valued Environmental Components

Potential Effect on VEC	Mitigation/Enhancement	Residual Effect
<p><b>Water Quality</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Construction activities such as the discharge of effluents and the dewatering of cofferdams will result in local increases in parameters such as total suspended solids (TSS), nutrients, metals, and pH</li> <li>• Installation and removal of cofferdams and river diversion could result in larger TSS increases in the river downstream of the construction site</li> <li>• After the station is in operation, there will be short-term (10-15 years) increase in levels of nutrients, metals and TSS, and declines in dissolved oxygen during winter, mainly in nearshore off-current areas of the reservoir</li> <li>• During operation, TSS concentrations will be lower than existing conditions in the mainstem of the reservoir and south western portion of Stephens Lake</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Management methods such as treating and testing effluents prior to discharge, controlling surface runoff, and developing effective methods to prevent and manage accidental spills will be used during construction</li> <li>• Implementing protocols in a sediment management plan during instream construction will maintain TSS levels within pre-determined limits</li> <li>• No specific mitigation for water quality is planned during the operation phase</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Measurable increases to TSS and other parameters are expected in the vicinity of the construction site</li> <li>• For several open water seasons during construction, there will be small increases in TSS levels further down the Nelson River</li> <li>• During operation, most effects to water quality will be confined to flooded areas, though TSS will decline in the main body of the reservoir and further downstream</li> <li>• Overall, water quality will always be suitable for aquatic life in the main part of the reservoir, and be suitable at most locations and at most times in the flooded area</li> </ul>



## Aquatic Valued Environmental Components (Continued)

Potential Effect on VEC	Mitigation/Enhancement	Residual Effect
<b>Pickereel / Jackfish / Lake Whitefish</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction activities such as the installation of cofferdams, dewatering of Gull Rapids, blasting, and water withdrawals will disturb fish</li> <li>Impoundment of the reservoir will create a large area of newly flooded terrestrial habitat, which over time will evolve into productive aquatic habitat</li> <li>Creation of the reservoir will disrupt existing spawning habitat (pickereel and lake whitefish)</li> <li>The loss of Gull Rapids will reduce the amount of spawning habitat in Stephens Lake, though these species spawn in other areas as well</li> <li>A large off-current bay created during reservoir impoundment has shallow outlets that would freeze to the bottom in winter and trapped fish could die</li> <li>Fish in pools downstream of the spillway could become trapped and die after the spillway operation ceases</li> <li>The presence of the generating station will alter downstream fish movements and block upstream movements. This change is not expected to affect fish abundance in the reservoir or Stephens Lake</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adverse effects of construction will be addressed by adhering to instream construction timing windows, following blasting guidelines, screening water intakes, and salvaging fish prior to dewatering</li> <li>Spawning shoals will be created for lake whitefish and pickereel in the reservoir, a spawning structure will be created below the tailrace of the generating station, and a spawning reef will be created for lake whitefish downstream in Stephens Lake</li> <li>Channels will be constructed to connect the large off-current bay in the reservoir to deeper sections of the reservoir</li> <li>Channels that connect spillway pools to Stephens Lake will be constructed to prevent fish stranding</li> <li>A trap/catch and transport program for upstream fish passage will be implemented. Downstream fish passage will be provided via the turbines and spillway, which incorporate design features that reduce the risk of injury and mortality</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>During construction, a reduction in available spawning habitat in Stephens Lake may result in fewer pickereel and lake whitefish being produced for a few years</li> <li>After the reservoir is formed, pickereel and whitefish populations are expected to increase over time due to more habitat</li> <li>After the station is in operation, pickereel and whitefish populations are expected to be the same as they are today in Stephens Lake</li> <li>Jackfish populations are expected to remain stable in the reservoir and Stephens Lake in the long-term, though short term declines may occur in the reservoir</li> </ul>

## Aquatic Valued Environmental Components (Continued)

Potential Effect on VEC	Mitigation/Enhancement	Residual Effect
<p><b>Lake Sturgeon</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Construction effects listed above also apply to lake sturgeon</li> <li>• During construction, rising water levels in Gull Lake may cause sturgeon to move either upstream or downstream</li> <li>• During construction, sturgeon may not spawn in Gull Rapids due to construction activity</li> <li>• Reservoir creation will alter existing spawning habitat - sturgeon may continue to use this habitat or move to spawning habitat further upstream</li> <li>• After reservoir creation, existing young-of-the-year rearing habitat will be lost. It is unclear whether other suitable habitat will be available</li> <li>• In the reservoir, preferred habitat for sub-adult lake sturgeon will be altered, but there will be a general increase in the amount of foraging habitat for both sub adults and adults</li> <li>• Spawning habitat in Gull Rapids, the only spawning location for fish in Stephens Lake, will be lost when the generation station is built</li> <li>• Fish could become stranded after spillway operation ceases</li> <li>• The presence of the generating station will alter downstream movements and block upstream movements of fish. This change is not expected to affect sturgeon abundance</li> <li>• The access road will increase access by domestic harvesters and potentially increase lake sturgeon harvest</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Construction mitigation measures listed above also apply to lake sturgeon</li> <li>• Stocking will be used to mitigate population losses due to reduced spawning and movement to other locations that may occur during construction</li> <li>• After the generating station is in operation, monitoring will determine whether lake sturgeon spawn in fast-water habitat in the reservoir. If not, suitable habitat will be modified to make it attract spawning fish</li> <li>• Monitoring will determine if suitable young-of-the-year habitat occurs in the reservoir and, if not, suitable habitat will be created</li> <li>• Spawning habitat will be constructed downstream of the generating station based on designs that have been successful elsewhere</li> <li>• Trap/catch and transport will allow for the transport of sturgeon that have moved downstream past the generating station to be moved back upstream, while avoiding depleting the remnant population in Stephens Lake</li> <li>• Downstream fish passage is described above</li> <li>• A lake sturgeon conservation awareness initiative will be developed to inform domestic resource users of the vulnerability of the lake sturgeon populations in the Keeyask reservoir and Stephens Lake and of the various enhancement programs being developed to increase numbers</li> <li>• A regional conservation stocking program in the Kelsey to Kettle generating station reach of the Nelson River will increase the regional population of lake sturgeon. Stocking in the Project area will compensate for any temporary reductions in sturgeon production while habitat mitigation measures become fully functional</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• During construction, potential movement of adults from the reservoir could cause in a shift in the age structure of the population</li> <li>• Stocking is expected to prevent a decline in abundance due to construction effects</li> <li>• During the operation period, no long-term adverse effects to lake sturgeon numbers in the area directly affected by the Project are expected due to mitigation measures that provide habitat for all life history stages both above and below the generating station, and an extensive stocking program</li> <li>• An overall increase in the number of sturgeon in the Kelsey Generating Station to Kettle Generating Station reach of the Nelson River is expected due to stocking</li> </ul>

## Terrestrial Valued Environmental Components

Potential Effect on VEC	Mitigation/Enhancement	Residual Effect
<b>Ecosystem Diversity / Intactness / Wetland Function / Priority Plants</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Habitat will be lost and altered, the amount of core habitat area will be reduced, the sizes of large core habitat areas will be reduced, priority plants will be lost and priority plant habitat will be lost and altered</li> <li>These effects are due to:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clearing, borrow area development, excavated material placement, physical disturbance, altered hydrology and the associated indirect effects on habitat</li> <li>Reservoir flooding, reservoir expansion, changes to the water regime, higher groundwater and the associated indirect effects on habitat</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Many effects have been avoided or minimized through the planning and design process</li> <li>Access to some existing trails and cutlines will be blocked and re-vegetated</li> <li>A portion of borrow area N-6 will be withdrawn from use to minimize effects on a sensitive habitat area</li> <li>Priority habitats will be rehabilitated in some construction areas that are not needed for operation</li> <li>Measures will be applied to prevent and/or reduce erosion, siltation and other effects to off-system marshes</li> <li>New marshes will be developed</li> <li>If plant surveys identify very rare species, the site will be avoided or the plants will be transplanted</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ecosystem diversity effects are expected to be adverse but regionally acceptable because no ecosystem types are lost, the proportion of the habitat types is not expected to change substantially, and the area losses for all of the priority habitat types will be below 10% of historical area</li> <li>Intactness effects are expected to be adverse but regionally acceptable because no very large core areas will be lost and amount of core area should remain over 80% of regional land area</li> <li>Wetland function effects are expected to be adverse but regionally acceptable because no globally, nationally or provincially significant wetlands will be affected, there is no net loss of off-system marshes, and losses of remaining native wetlands will be below 10% of historical area</li> <li>Effects on priority plants are expected to be adverse but regionally acceptable because there are no predicted effects on very rare plants. Effects on most species of particular interest to Keeyask Cree Nations are expected to be low because of their widespread distribution. Effects on the remaining priority species are expected to be low because low percentages of their known locations and/or available habitat are affected by the Project</li> </ul>



## Terrestrial Valued Environmental Components (Continued)

Potential Effect on VEC	Mitigation/Enhancement	Residual Effect
<b>Canada Goose / Mallard / Bald Eagle / Olive-sided Flycatcher / Common Nighthawk / Rusty Blackbird</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Habitat will be lost and altered through clearing and flooding</li> <li>Construction activities (e.g. noise) will cause some species to avoid the construction area</li> <li>Increased traffic and access will increase harvest and vehicle mortality of some species</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vegetated buffers will be established around lakes, creeks, and other waterbodies to reduce construction noise</li> <li>Land clearing will be avoided to the extent practicable during the sensitive breeding season (April 1 to July 31)</li> <li>Access to cut lines will be blocked and re-vegetated as soon as they are no longer needed</li> <li>New wetland habitats will be created</li> <li>A construction Access Management Plan will be implemented to minimize waterfowl harvest</li> <li>During the fall or winter, bald eagle nests will be removed from trees that may fall into the reservoir</li> <li>Nesting structures will be installed for bald eagles and mallards</li> <li>Some standing-dead trees will be retained for olive-sided flycatcher breeding habitat</li> <li>Some flat open areas will be retained for common nighthawk breeding habitat</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The effect on Canada goose is expected to be adverse but regionally acceptable because of the large amount of staging habitat in the region and lack of locally/regionally important breeding habitat being affected</li> <li>The effect on bald eagles is expected to be regionally acceptable as the adverse construction effects are small and operation effects are neutral overall</li> <li>The effect on mallard is expected to be adverse but regionally acceptable because there is limited breeding habitat being affected and staging habitat is not limiting</li> <li>The effects on olive-sided flycatcher, rusty blackbird and common nighthawk are expected to be adverse but regionally acceptable because breeding habitat losses are small and such habitat is abundant in the wider area</li> </ul>
<b>Beaver</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Habitat will be lost and/or altered</li> <li>Increased access will increase predation and harvest</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Beavers will be trapped by licensed trappers in the area where the reservoir will be created</li> <li>A 100 metre buffer will be maintained or established at most creeks, streams and ponds to protect shoreline habitat</li> <li>Beaver bafflers will be installed along culverts and control structures that are repeatedly blocked by beaver activity</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Effects are expected to be adverse but regionally acceptable because beaver will continue to create their own habitat, compensate for population reductions, and adjust to some changing conditions in the reservoir. The Nelson River will continue to be unsuitable beaver habitat because of fluctuating water levels</li> </ul>

## Terrestrial Valued Environmental Components (Continued)

Potential Effect on VEC	Mitigation/Enhancement	Residual Effect
<b>Caribou</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Habitat, including calving islands, will be lost and/or altered through reservoir clearing and flooding</li> <li>Construction disturbance may cause altered movements</li> <li>Improved access may increase predation, harvest and vehicle mortality</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Access road routing and construction activities have been planned to avoid important calving habitat</li> <li>Areas that could become calving islands in the reservoir will not be cleared of vegetation</li> <li>Blasting will be limited to the extent practicable during the calving season (May 15 to June 30)</li> <li>Other mitigation measures include prohibitions on firearms in the construction camps; signage on roadways; rehabilitation of construction sites; re-vegetating ditches with plants that are not normally eaten by caribou; and access to some existing trails and cutlines will be blocked and re-vegetated</li> <li>A plan for Manitoba Hydro's northern developments is being developed to facilitate coordination of caribou mitigation and monitoring activities with government authorities and existing caribou management boards</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Effects are expected to be adverse but regionally acceptable for several reasons. Habitat loss in the area will be small (less than 1%). Changes to intactness and mortality are negligible. Altered movements and distribution are likely limited to habitat near the Project infrastructure, and will have little effect on landscape level movements and distribution</li> </ul>
<b>Moose</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Habitat will be lost and/or altered</li> <li>Construction activities (e.g. noise) may cause sensory disturbance and may cause moose to alter their movements</li> <li>Improved access will increase predation, harvest and vehicle mortality</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The development of new wetlands will partially offset losses of some moose habitat</li> <li>Tataskweyak Cree Nation will implement a moose harvest sustainability plan for the Split Lake Resource Management Area</li> <li>Other mitigation measures include prohibitions on firearms in the construction camps; rehabilitation of construction sites; re-vegetating ditches with plants that are not normally eaten by moose; and access to some existing trails and cutlines will be blocked and re-vegetated</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Effects are expected to be adverse but regionally acceptable for several reasons. Habitat loss in the area will be small (less than 1%). Changes to intactness and mortality are negligible. Altered movements and distribution are likely limited to habitat near the Project infrastructure, and will have little effect on landscape level movements and distribution</li> </ul>

## Socio-Economic Valued Environmental Components

### ECONOMY

Potential Effect on VEC	Mitigation/Enhancement	Residual Effect
<b>Employment Opportunities</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction of the Project will create 4,200 person-years of employment</li> <li>Operation of the Project will create 46 permanent jobs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pre-project training undertaken between 2001 and 2010 to develop construction skills</li> <li>The collective bargaining agreement (BNA) governing the Project provides preferences for northern Aboriginal and northern Manitoba workers on the Project</li> <li>The Keeyask Cree Nations can hire their Members whether living on-reserve or elsewhere in the province to work on directly-negotiated contracts (without going through the job referral process)</li> <li>Targets have also been established for Keeyask Cree Nations Members to work across the province with Manitoba Hydro</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are between 550 and 1,700 person years of northern Aboriginal employment expected during the construction phase resulting in a positive effect on employment</li> <li>The Joint Keeyask Development Agreement provides a target of 182 operation jobs for Keeyask Cree Nations Members across the Manitoba Hydro system over the next 20 years, resulting in a positive long-term benefit</li> </ul>
<b>Business Opportunities</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction of the Project will create opportunities for northern Manitoba businesses, particularly joint venture contracts with Keeyask Cree Nations-owned businesses</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Partnership has identified contracts to be directly negotiated with businesses (DNCs) in which the Keeyask Cree Nations are majority owners in joint venture companies</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Keeyask Cree Nations-owned businesses will gain substantial contract opportunities through the direct negotiated contracts outlined in the Joint Keeyask Development Agreement, resulting in a positive effect on business opportunities</li> <li>Other local businesses may also find opportunities, either directly associated with the Project or as a result of economic spinoffs</li> </ul>
<b>Income</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increased employment opportunities will result in increased income opportunities</li> <li>Potential investment income for the Keeyask Cree Nations through the terms of the Joint Keeyask Development Agreement</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Note the enhancements under 'Employment'</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Effects on Income are expected to be positive due to substantial employment opportunities during construction; and the opportunity for long-term jobs in Hydro operational positions</li> <li>Investment income can result in substantial benefits to the Keeyask Cree Nations communities over the long-term</li> </ul>



## Socio-Economic Valued Environmental Components (Continued)

Potential Effect on VEC	Mitigation/Enhancement	Residual Effect
<b>Cost of Living</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An increase in worker populations may increase spending in the area, resulting in increased demand</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No mitigation or enhancement measures are planned</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An increase in demand may be offset in part by more businesses competing to provide goods and services or expanding their range of goods and services; however, little tangible effect on cost of living is expected</li> </ul>
<b>Resource Economy</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited effect on cash and in-kind income from domestic resource use</li> <li>Loss of income for some local commercial trappers</li> <li>Closure of Stephens Lake small (single-licence) fishery</li> <li>Limited effect on lodges and outfitters</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Offsetting Programs in each Keeyask Cree Nations' Adverse Effects Agreement (AEA), including guidelines and principles for participants</li> <li>Compensation for loss of income to commercial trappers</li> <li>Compensation for loss of income to Stephens Lake fishery operator</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited residual effects on resource economy are expected due to existing Keeyask Cree Nations' Adverse Effects Agreement Offsetting Programs and compensation provided</li> </ul>

## Socio-Economic Valued Environmental Components (Continued)

### INFRASTRUCTURE

Potential Effect on VEC	Mitigation/Enhancement	Residual Effect
<b>Housing</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An in-migration of workers during construction could potentially increase demand for housing and temporary accommodation in nearby communities</li> <li>The addition of 46 permanent jobs during operation phase will increase demand for housing in Gillam</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction workers will be housed in a construction camp</li> <li>Manitoba Hydro is developing plans for new housing in Gillam for its permanent staff as part of the Gillam Land Use Planning Process</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Project's adverse effect on increased housing demand is expected to be small during the construction phase</li> <li>The Project is expected to result in an ongoing demand for temporary accommodation during construction</li> <li>Housing is expected to be available for additional Keeyask operational staff living in Gillam</li> </ul>
<b>Infrastructure and Services</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>More workers, particularly during the construction phase, could increase the demand on infrastructure and services</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On-going communication with local service providers to allow for effective and timely planning of service delivery, including the RCMP</li> <li>The Gillam Land Use Planning Process will consider increased need for infrastructure and services</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some residual effects are expected on infrastructure and services due to existing programming already in place</li> </ul>
<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An increase in workers, especially during construction, will increase demands on transportation infrastructure</li> <li>Once the Project goes into operation, the north and south access roads will become part of the provincial highway system</li> <li>The existing section of PR 280 from the north access road to Gillam will be abandoned by Manitoba Infrastructure and Transportation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PR 280 is being upgraded including widening, grading and curve shaping</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project effects on transportation infrastructure are expected to be adverse during construction due to the increased use of road, rail and air networks</li> <li>Over the long-term, effects are positive as travel time between Gillam and Thompson will be shortened by about an hour due to PR 280 being re-routed along the north access road, across the generating station and along the south access road</li> </ul>
<b>Land</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No Keeyask Cree Nations reserve land or treaty land entitlement is required</li> <li>The Project will be built on Provincial Crown land</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No mitigation is required</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are no expected residual effects on reserve land or land selected for treaty land entitlement</li> </ul>

## Socio-Economic Valued Environmental Components (Continued)

### PERSONAL, FAMILY AND COMMUNITY LIFE

Potential Effect on VEC	Mitigation/Enhancement	Residual Effect
<b>Governance, Goals and Plans</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An increased demand on Keeyask Cree Nations' community leadership to be actively involved in Project activities/committees</li> <li>An increased demand on Gillam leadership</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provisions within the Joint Keeyask Development Agreement include participation in the Keeyask Hydropower Limited Partnership Board, advisory committees on employment and ongoing monitoring</li> <li>Gillam Land Use Planning Process is currently underway</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project effects on governance, goals and plans are expected to be positive as ongoing involvement by Keeyask Cree Nations leadership and Future Development teams build capacity within the Keeyask Cree Nations communities and incorporate Keeyask Cree Nations perspectives into project activities</li> </ul>
<b>Community Health</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An increase in workers (construction) and population (operation) will put greater demands on health and social services</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Emergency medical and ambulance services, as well as a health clinic will be available for workers at the camp</li> <li>See measures under Infrastructure and Services and Public Safety and Worker Interaction</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Effects on community health services are expected to be adverse during construction due to potential increased demand</li> </ul>
<b>Mercury and Human Health</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An increase in mercury levels in fish could potentially affect the health of people eating fish from Gull and Stephens lakes; and could potentially reduce the amount of fish eaten, particularly for the Keeyask Cree Nations communities</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Through the Keeyask Cree Nations' Adverse Effects Agreements Offsetting Programs, Keeyask Cree Nations Members will be able to eat fish from 'off-system' unaffected lakes</li> <li>A communication strategy and information materials providing recommended guidelines regarding the safe consumption of fish (and other country food) will be provided to local and Keeyask Cree Nations communities and health-service providers</li> <li>Mercury levels in fish and other wildlife will be monitored and results shared with local resource users and health-service providers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mercury and human health effects during the operation phase are expected to be adverse; however, Tataskweyak Cree Nation and War Lake First Nation will have plenty of healthy fish available through their Adverse Effects Agreement Offsetting Programs. York Factory First Nation and Fox Lake Cree Nation also have Adverse Effects Agreement Offsetting Programs</li> <li>Other people who regularly eat fish will be instructed to restrict consumption of large pickerel (walleye) and jackfish (northern pike) from Gull and Stephens lakes, likely for 25-30 years after the Project goes into service</li> <li>Particular attention is given to toddlers and women of child-bearing age who should avoid eating pickerel (walleye) and jackfish (northern pike) from both Gull and Stephens lakes</li> <li>The health of people following these guidelines should not be affected</li> </ul>



## Socio-Economic Valued Environmental Components (Continued)

Potential Effect on VEC	Mitigation/Enhancement	Residual Effect
<b>Public Safety and Worker Interaction</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The presence of non-local construction workers may result in adverse interactions with local community members</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Measures at the main camp include cultural training, lounge and recreational facilities</li> <li>A Construction Access Management Plan and camp rules, will restrict worker activities</li> <li>A shuttle will be operated to take workers to and from Gillam and Thompson airports</li> <li>Ongoing dialogue with RCMP</li> <li>Coordinated discussions in advance of the Project among Manitoba Hydro, the Town of Gillam, Fox Lake Cree Nation and Tataskweyak Cree Nation to determine the best mechanism for tracking and addressing worker interaction issues and concerns across all Manitoba Hydro proposed projects in the vicinity of Gillam</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>During construction, public safety and worker interaction effects are expected to be adverse due to potential adverse worker interactions</li> <li>There is the potential for small adverse effects during the operation phase due to the increased population in Gillam</li> </ul>
<b>Travel, Access and Safety</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Project will change local waterways, thereby affecting water and ice-based travel</li> <li>Increased construction traffic on PR 280 has the potential for safety issue for users of the road</li> <li>After construction, PR 280 will be re-routed along the north access road, across the generating station and along the south access road to Gillam</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Reservoir Clearing Plan will eliminate most of the vegetation that otherwise would interfere with boat travel</li> <li>The Waterways Management Program includes a boat patrol team responsible for collecting floating debris that does enter the waterway and marking/maintaining safe travel routes among other activities</li> <li>Boat launches, safe landing sites and safety shelters will be provided</li> <li>A portage with docks will assist boaters to get around the Project once it goes into operation</li> <li>Safe ice trails will be established and monitored</li> <li>Safety measures around the construction site during construction (e.g., warning signage, buoys and booms)</li> <li>Safety measures at the generation station once built (railings, fencing and siren system) during operation</li> <li>Manitoba Infrastructure and Transportation is undertaking road improvements to PR 280 prior to construction start</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>During construction, Project effects on travel, access and safety are expected to be adverse</li> <li>Boaters will not be able to access the area around Gull Rapids or move past Gull Rapids while the Project is being constructed for safety reasons</li> <li>Once the Project goes into operation, Project effects are positive as boaters will use the new portage and associated docks to get around the Project infrastructure</li> <li>In addition, enhancements under the Waterways Management Program are long-lasting benefits to all users of the area</li> </ul>

## Socio-Economic Valued Environmental Components (Continued)

Potential Effect on VEC	Mitigation/Enhancement	Residual Effect
<b>Culture and Spirituality</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Project will result in the loss of the rapids, flooding of land, and changes to the cultural landscape causing great sorrow to local Aboriginal people</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate ceremonies and rituals consistent with local culture and spirituality will be conducted at key Project milestones</li> <li>The Keeyask Cree Nations' Adverse Effects Agreement Offsetting Programs provide important initiatives to maintain and enhance Cree culture</li> <li>Other initiatives include a video of the existing environment, an interpretative display, and other cultural training for operation staff</li> <li>Counselling services will be available at the Project site during construction</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Culture and spirituality effects are expected to be adverse and long-term due to the permanent loss of the rapids, and changes to the cultural landscape due to the presence of Project infrastructure and creation of the reservoir</li> <li>The Keeyask Cree Nations' Adverse Effects Agreement Offsetting Program and participation as Project partners address these residual effects</li> </ul>
<b>The Way the Landscape Looks (Aesthetics)</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The local landscape will be permanently changed, scenic views and Gull Rapids will be lost</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Reservoir Clearing Plan will greatly reduce unsightly debris</li> <li>Decommissioned construction sites will be rehabilitated</li> <li>Ceremonies and rituals will assist in addressing the long-term loss of landscape elements</li> <li>Enhancement measures include a park/rest area at the boat launches, commemorative plaque, nature trails for workers at site, a video and an interpretative display</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project effects on the way the landscape looks (aesthetics) are expected to be adverse and long-term due to the permanent change in the physical landscape, views and loss of rapids; and Gull Lake will become a reservoir</li> <li>The Keeyask Cree Nations' Adverse Effects Agreement Offsetting Programs and participation as Project partners have addressed these permanent effects</li> </ul>

## Socio-Economic Valued Environmental Components (Continued)

### RESOURCE USE

Potential Effect on VEC	Mitigation/Enhancement	Residual Effect
<b>Domestic Fishing</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Changes to access and navigation on local waterbodies may affect domestic fishing</li> <li>• Elevated mercury levels will affect fish consumption preferences</li> <li>• Domestic fishers may notice increased recreational fishing due to population increases in Gillam</li> <li>• Shifting patterns of fishing activity may result in pressure on a wider area</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Through the Adverse Effects Agreement Offsetting Programs, Keeyask Cree Nations Members will be able to fish at 'off-system' unaffected lakes</li> <li>• Communication of local fish consumption recommendations will help domestic fishers make informed decisions with regard to fish harvest and consumption</li> <li>• Waterways Management Program and Reservoir Clearing Plan will aid in access and travel safety on local waterbodies</li> <li>• A fish harvest sustainability plan will assist in the long-term sustainability of fish resources in the Split Lake Resource Management Area</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Domestic fishing effects are expected to be neutral</li> <li>• Improved community-wide opportunity to conduct domestic fishing through the Keeyask Cree Nations' Adverse Effects Agreement Offsetting Programs</li> <li>• Some Keeyask Cree Nations Members may experience changes to fish consumption preferences</li> <li>• Some domestic fishers will experience a change in their resource use experience due to the presence of the Project, resulting in increased pressure for resources in new areas</li> </ul>
<b>Domestic Hunting and Gathering</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Changes in access and navigation on local waterbodies may affect domestic hunting and gathering</li> <li>• Clearing and flooding will result in a loss of plant harvest areas and may affect local cabins</li> <li>• Hunting activity may increase due to increased population in Gillam</li> <li>• Shifting patterns of hunting and gathering activities and resultant pressure to a larger area</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Keeyask Cree Nations' Adverse Effects Agreement Offsetting Programs will provide access to alternative hunting and gathering areas</li> <li>• Waterways Management Program and Reservoir Clearing Plan will aid in access and travel safety on local waterbodies</li> <li>• Measures in the Keeyask Cree Nations' Adverse Effects Agreement are available to recover losses to personal property or cabins</li> <li>• The Construction Access Management Plan will restrict access to the Project area by the public which will reduce potential pressure on resources</li> <li>• A moose harvest sustainability plan will assist in the long-term sustainability of the moose population in the Split Lake Resource Management Area</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Domestic hunting and gathering effects are expected to be neutral</li> <li>• Improved community-wide opportunity to hunt and gather through the Keeyask Cree Nations' Adverse Effects Agreement Offsetting Programs</li> <li>• Some domestic hunters and gatherers will experience a change in their resource use experience due to the presence of the Project, resulting in increased pressure for resources in new areas</li> </ul>



## Socio-Economic Valued Environmental Components (Continued)

Potential Effect on VEC	Mitigation/Enhancement	Residual Effect
<b>Commercial Trapping</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project local disturbances (noise, dust, safety issues) may affect commercial trapping activity</li> <li>Shifting patterns of resource use due to Adverse Effects Agreement Offsetting Programs may disturb commercial trappers</li> <li>New access roads and navigable forebay will improve access</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Compensation agreements with trapline holders</li> <li>The Construction Access Management Plan addresses construction disturbances for trappers</li> <li>Tataskweyak Cree Nation's Adverse Effects Agreement Access Program includes guidelines and principles that will minimize potential effects of the Offsetting Programs on trappers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Commercial trapping effects are expected to be neutral</li> </ul>
<b>Heritage Resources</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project construction may disturb or destroy seven known archaeological sites (and probably sites not discovered to date)</li> <li>Forty-three sites found and investigated as part of the Project's archaeological program will be flooded when the reservoir is created</li> <li>Ongoing shoreline erosion may result in the loss of additional heritage resources</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An extensive program has been undertaken to identify sites; the seven known sites will undergo an archaeological salvage to recover and record valuable cultural information</li> <li>The shoreline will be monitored should erosion expose any unknown sites; controlled artifact collection will occur if required</li> <li>A consecrated cemetery for the reburial of human remains will be provided on the north side of the Nelson River</li> <li>A Heritage Resources Protection Plan (HRPP) will be developed to protect heritage resources that may be discovered during construction</li> <li>Tataskweyak Cree Nation's Adverse Effects Agreement Offsetting Programs provide for a Cultural Centre Museum and Oral Histories Program that may include the display and interpretation of heritage resources</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project effects on heritage resources are expected to result in the potential loss of unknown sites due to flooding of the reservoir and ongoing shoreline erosion, along with the loss of the cultural link to those sites</li> <li>Through the Project's archaeological program, thousands of artifacts have been found and recovered; and the Project's Heritage Resources Protection Plan will provide a level of protection during construction</li> </ul>

## The Keeyask Cree Nations



**Tataskweyak Cree Nation** logo represents our culture and that which sustains our way of life. The beaver is the economic foundation of our culture. The wolf represents the extended kinship among our clan. The moose, the forest and the fish provide for us with food, clothing, and shelter. The fish bones represent the environmental changes in our waters that have occurred due to development. The Canada goose, which arrives annually in the spring, represents new growth. The river which is the giver of all life, sustains us all. All of these things are interrelated in *Askij*.



**War Lake First Nation** logo represents the moose, northern pike and the eagles that frequent our area. The feathers in the Chieftain's headdress represent all the families that grew up on Mooseocoot (Moose-Nose) Lake, before we became a First Nation and moved to where we live now at War Lake (Ilford). Behind the moose, the sun is setting over the lake, to mark the end of another beautiful day.



**The York Factory First Nation** logo shows a tipi located on the shore of a body of water with a sunset as backdrop set in a circle. The tipi represents the traditional relationships of the people of the First Nation with *Askij*, while at the same time representing the modern community of York Landing (Kawechiwasiik), located at the mouth of the Aiken River on Split Lake, where the First Nation was relocated in 1957.

The circle is embraced by two Eagle feathers. The eagle is a sacred bird and represents power, strength and loyalty.

There is a reflection of the tipi in the water, but upon closer inspection you realize the reflection is that of Kischewaskahekan (the "Big House" at York Factory). The reflection reminds us of the First Nation's long relationship with the Hudson's Bay Company post at York Factory, and the First Nation's ties to its traditional lands and former settlements at the Hudson Bay coast.



**The Fox Lake Cree Nation** logo represents the Makeso Sakahikan Inninewak and our inter-relationships with *Askij*. A local community member designed the current logo in 2004. It was modified using the original Fox Lake logo, which was only the Fox. Incorporating the medicine wheel represents our culture and the teachings of how First Nations view the world. Living mino-pimatisiwin is what we will continue to strive for as a community.

## Keeyask Generation Project Environmental Impact Statement Material and Information

---

The Environmental Impact Statement for the Keeyask Generation Project is submitted to Canada and Manitoba by the Keeyask Hydropower Limited Partnership, which consists of Manitoba Hydro and four Cree Nations (referred to collectively as the Keeyask Cree Nations or KCNs): Tataskweyak Cree Nation (TCN) and War Lake First Nation (WLFN), acting collectively as the Cree Nation Partners (CNP), York Factory First Nation (YFFN), and Fox Lake Cree Nation (FLCN).

In summary, the Environmental Impact Statement for the Project consists of:

- **A video, Keeyask: Our Story**, which presents the Keeyask Cree Nations' history and perspectives related to hydroelectric development. Presented through the lens of their holistic Cree worldview, it explains the journey taken by the Keeyask Cree Nations as they evaluated their concerns about the Project, the nature of their participation as Partners, and the decisions they ultimately made to support the Project;
- **This Executive Summary**
- **A Response to EIS Guidelines** issued by Canada March 30, 2012 in response to an application by the Partnership for environmental approvals under the government regulatory environmental assessment process. This response includes findings and conclusions<sup>1</sup>, with charts, diagrams, and maps to clarify information in the text, and a concordance table to cross reference requirements of the EIS Guidelines with information in the EIS; and
- **The Keeyask Cree Nations' Environmental Evaluation Reports** providing each of the Keeyask Cree Nation's own environmental evaluation of the effects of the Project on their community and Members and including Aboriginal traditional knowledge relevant to the Partnership's response to the Environmental Impact Statement Guidelines.

<sup>1</sup> Technical supporting volumes are also provided, as developed by the Manitoba Hydro environmental team in consultation with the Keeyask Cree Nations and their Members, to provide details on the Project Description and on the research and analysis of the following topics: Public Involvement Program, Physical Environment, Aquatic Environment, Terrestrial Environment, Socio-economic Environment, Resource Use, and Heritage Resources.



**Mailing Address**

Keyyask Hydropower Limited Partnership  
360 Portage Avenue  
Winnipeg, MB R3C 0G8

**Email Address**

[keyyask@hydro.mb.ca](mailto:keyyask@hydro.mb.ca)

**Website Address**

[www.keyyask.com](http://www.keyyask.com)





**PART B - Keeyask Transmission Project  
Environmental Assessment Report  
Executive Summary**

*Prepared by:*

Manitoba Hydro

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## 1.0 PURPOSE

This Environmental Assessment Report and its associated Environmental Assessment Proposal Form was filed by Manitoba Hydro as part of the process to secure an Environment Act License under *The (Manitoba) Environment Act* for the Keeyask Transmission Project. This Environmental Assessment Report provides supporting documentation to the Keeyask Generation Project Environmental Impact Statement submitted to the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency. The primary function of the Keeyask Transmission Project (the Project) is to provide construction power and generation outlet transmission capacity for the proposed Keeyask Generating Station, located in northern Manitoba along the Nelson River at Gull Rapids upstream of Stephens Lake. The Project is located about 180 km northeast of Thompson (Manitoba) within the Split Lake Resource Management Area (Map 1-1).

The Keeyask Transmission Project is considered a Class 2 development under *The (Manitoba) Environment Act*; the Minister of Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship is the approving authority. There are a number of other provincial permits and authorizations that will be required to develop the transmission lines and associated infrastructure. Manitoba Hydro will secure all applicable permits and authorizations for each stage and portion of the Project.

## 2.0 PROJECT COMPONENTS

The Keeyask Transmission Project components are illustrated in Maps 2-2. The site locations and routes reflect the preferred siting resulting from a review and evaluation of alternative sites and routes. The main Project components are described below.

### **Construction Power Transmission Line and Station**

A new Construction Power Transmission Line (138 kV ac and approximately 22 km long) will extend from the existing 138 kV KN36 transmission line to a new 138 kV to 12.47 kV Construction Power Station to be located north of the proposed Keeyask Generating Station.

The purpose of the Construction Power Transmission Line and Station is to provide power for the construction activities of the Keeyask Generation Project. The Construction Power Transmission Line will be left in place during operation, as will a portion of the Construction Power Station, to provide a contingency function for a “black start”<sup>1</sup> emergency backup to diesel generation units at the Keeyask Generating Station.

---

<sup>1</sup> Black start is the process of restoring a power station to operation without relying on the external electric power transmission network or grid.

## **Unit Transmission Lines**

Four 138 kV ac Unit Transmission Lines will transmit power from the seven generators located at the Keeyask Generating Station to the new Keeyask Switching Station. Three lines will be double circuit and one line single circuit to accept power from the seven generating station turbines. The four lines, each approximately 4 km long, will be located in a single route.

## **Keeyask Switching Station**

A new Keeyask Switching Station will accept power from the generating station via four Unit Transmission Lines from the generating station transformers and transfer that power to three Generation Outlet Transmission (GOT) Lines. The switching station will be located on the south side of the Nelson River. The purpose of the switching station is to provide the terminal facilities for the electrical connection to the Keeyask Generating Station, and to provide flexibility in switching load between incoming Unit Transmission Lines from the generating station to the Generation Outlet Transmission Lines going to Radisson Converter Station.

## **Generation Outlet Transmission Lines**

Three 138 kV ac Generation Outlet Transmission Lines will transmit power from the Keeyask Switching Station to the existing Radisson Converter Station 138 kV ac switchyard. The three transmission lines, each approximately 38 km long, will be located along a single route. Manitoba Hydro plans to build one of these Generation Outlet Transmission lines (KR1) to serve as a backup construction power line during generating station construction and the line will be partially salvaged back to the Keeyask Switching Station and utilized as a Generation Outlet Transmission Line.

## **Radisson Converter Station Upgrades**

The existing Radisson Converter Station, situated approximately 6 km northeast of the town of Gillam (Map 1-1), will be upgraded to include new breakers and termination facilities for the four Generation Outlet Transmission Lines.

## **3.0 PROPOSED PROJECT SCHEDULE**

It is currently anticipated that the Keeyask Transmission Project Environmental Assessment Report will be filed with regulators in October 2012. This will be followed by a regulatory-review period that is currently planned for completion by July 2013. No construction will begin until all regulatory approvals and property reservations are completed. The earliest clearing and construction would start is November 2013; the exact start date is subject to regulatory approval of the Keeyask Generation Project. The Keeyask Construction Power 138 kV Transmission Line and Station is proposed to be in service by July 2015.

Construction of the Keeyask Switching Station is expected to begin in March or April of 2017. Right-of-way clearing and facility construction for the GOT 138 kV Transmission Lines between the Switching Station and Radisson Converter Station and the four 138 kV Unit Transmission Lines to the proposed Keeyask Generating Station, is scheduled to be completed by July 2019. The Keeyask Switching Station planned in-service date is October 2019. This timing corresponds with the proposed in-service date for the proposed Keeyask Generating Station.

#### **4.0 SITE SELECTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROCESS**

Manitoba Hydro used a Site Selection and Environmental Assessment (SSEA) process to determine the most appropriate sites and routes for the Project components. The overarching objective in this Site Selection and Environmental Assessment approach is to avoid adverse effects wherever practicable through routing and siting choices and to maximize environmental management opportunities at each stage of development and implementation of the Project, from pre-licensing through post-construction.

Integral to the process were two rounds of public involvement which were used to gather public comment on preliminary siting and routing choices. Manitoba Hydro has funded two self-directed studies on the Project that are being carried out by Fox Lake Cree Nation and Tataskweyak Cree Nation. Manitoba Hydro is also in discussions with the Manitoba Metis Federation to develop a workplan for a Traditional Land Use and Knowledge study in the Keeyask region.

The Site Selection Environmental Assessment process involves the selection and evaluation of preferred routes for the Unit, Generation Outlet and Construction Power Transmission Lines, as well as the Construction Power Station and Keeyask Switching Station sites. This evaluation and selection of preferred routes and station sites is based on feedback received from the Public Involvement Program as well as the result of assessments conducted by technical specialists comprising the Study Team. The Study Team selected and evaluated specific biophysical and socio-economic Valued Environmental Components (VECs) that could potentially be affected by the Project. VECs are defined as part of the environment that is considered important by the proponent, public, scientists and government involved in the assessment process. Importance may be determined on the basis of cultural values and scientific concern. Potential environmental effects of the Project were assessed primarily using 15 VECs. The identified VECs facilitated assessment of the interactions between the Project components and specific valued components of the environment.

The Project Study Area selected through the SSEA evaluation process for the Keeyask Transmission Project is broad to facilitate the identification of several alternative transmission routes and station sites. The northern border of the Study Area extends approximately from the Radisson Converter Station in the west to an area a few kilometres northeast of Gull Lake (north of the Nelson River near the proposed Keeyask Generating Station; Map 2-2); the southern



boundary is approximately 4 to 6 km southeast of the Kelsey to Radisson (KN36) transmission line.

## **5.0 ASSESSING RESIDUAL EFFECTS ON VALUED ENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENTS**

Biophysical and socio-economic environmental components were evaluated as the SSEA progressed in an iterative manner towards selection of Preferred Routes and Station Sites. The Project transmission lines and station sites were assessed by members of Manitoba Hydro's Study Team, as identified in the preface to each technical report.

Some potential effects were avoided altogether through siting and routing. Where potential adverse effects could not be avoided, specialists and Manitoba Hydro staff discussed mitigation measures that would either eliminate, or reduce, potential adverse effects on each VEC that was foreseeably adversely affected by one or other Project component. After taking into account mitigation measures that were to be adopted, the likely remaining residual effects of the Project on each VEC were evaluated for their regulatory significance. Determining the regulatory significance of the residual effects required the Study Team's opinions on the characterization of the effect (direction or nature, magnitude, duration and geographic extent), the likelihood of the effect actually occurring and the expected results of development and implementation of follow-up management plans to address uncertainties. The frequency, reversibility and ecological context of the Project-related effect on a VEC were also considered, where appropriate, in the determination of the significance of the effect.

The assessment of the potential effects and appropriate mitigation measures led to the determination that the residual effects of the Project are not significant. The overall conclusion of the Environmental Assessment Report with respect to residual effects is summarized as follows:

- Physical Environment:
  - The Project will require about 1,000 ha of land. The Project will not alter natural drainage patterns.
- Aquatic Environment:
  - There would be no measurable effect on fish habitat from the Keeyask Transmission Project.
- Terrestrial Environment:
  - Plants, Habitat and Ecosystems: There would be a small increase in the fragmentation of plant habitat and a moderate or no effect on ecosystem diversity.

- Wildlife: Alteration/removal of a small amount of wildlife habitat would occur at tower foundation sites for the Generation Outlet and Construction Power Transmission Lines and at the Keeyask Switching Station and Construction Power Station sites. There is some small potential for collisions of birds with transmission lines. Changes in the local abundance and distribution of game species such as moose and caribou would be associated with the development of linear facilities, increased presence of people and enhanced hunting opportunities. These changes are expected to be small in magnitude.
- Socio-economic Environment:
  - Expenditures to build and operating the Project will generate employment and business opportunities. Measures will be put in place to enhance local Aboriginal and Northern employment and business opportunities.
  - Concern has been expressed about potential adverse interactions between non-local construction workers and local residents during construction. Manitoba Hydro will be having discussions related to this concern with several parties including the Town of Gillam, Fox Lake Cree Nation and Tataskweyak Cree Nation. Discussions will be held prior to beginning construction to determine the best means of tracking and addressing worker-interaction issues.
  - Preferred routes and sites for Project infrastructure have been selected to minimize potential effects on land and resource use and culturally important landscapes.
- Heritage Resources:
  - There are no residual effects expected on known sites in the Study Area.

Prior to issuance of this Environmental Assessment Report, the proposed mitigation measures were revisited for the specific VECs to assure that potential residual effects are minimized or avoided to the extent practicable. Taking into account the mitigation measures proposed for the VECs and the proposals for monitoring and, where recommended, adaptive management, the Study Team and Manitoba Hydro staff have concluded that the potential adverse effects of the Project will not be significant.

These same VECs were revisited in the analysis of the cumulative effects of the Project. While there is some overlap in time with several other projects and some limited spatial overlap, the conclusions were that, taking into account the proposed mitigation measures, the anticipated cumulative effects of the Project will not be significant from a regulatory perspective.

## **6.0 NEXT STEPS**

Before initiating any construction, including rights-of-way clearing, Manitoba Hydro will prepare an Environmental Protection Plan for approval by Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship. The Environmental Protection Plan will describe how Manitoba Hydro will protect the environment during Project construction, operation and maintenance. Application of the Environmental Protection Plan will assure that all personnel, from contractors to Manitoba Hydro management, are diligent in protecting the environment.

Should transmission lines or station facilities be decommissioned at some future date, Manitoba Hydro has identified environmentally acceptable means for salvaging equipment and restoring affected sites and rights-of-way.

### Keeyask Transmission Project

#### Project Infrastructure

Project Study Area


#### Infrastructure

- Converter Station (Proposed)
- Converter Station
- Generating Station (Proposed)
- Generating Station
- Bipole III (Proposed 500 kV HVDC Line)
- Bipole I and II (Existing 500 kV DC Line)
- Transmission Line

#### Landbase


- Community
- Provincial Highway
- Provincial Road
- Active Railway
- Abandoned Railway
- Resource Management Area
- First Nation
- Watercourse
- Waterbody

Coordinate System: UTM Zone 15N NAD83  
 Data Source: MBHydro, Stantec, ProvMB, NRCAN  
 Date Created: October 03, 2012



1:1,000,000

## Project Study Area in Northern Manitoba





## Keeyask Transmission Project

### Project Infrastructure

- Generation Outlet Lines (200m ROW)
- Construction Power Line (KN36) (60m ROW)
- Construction Power Line (Temporary) (60m ROW)
- Unit Lines (265m ROW)
- Construction Power Station
- Keeyask Switching Station
- Project Study Area


### Infrastructure

- Converter Station
- Generating Station (Proposed)
- Generating Station
- Bipole I and II (Existing 500 kV DC Line)
- Transmission Line
- South Access Road (Proposed)
- North Access Road
- Keeyask Principal Infrastructure Axis
- Initial Flooded Area (159m Elevation)
- Dewatered Area

### Landbase

- Community
- Provincial Road
- Municipal Road
- Active Railway
- Abandoned Railway
- Watercourse
- Waterbody

Coordinate System: UTM Zone 15N NAD83  
 Data Source: MBHydro, ProvMB, NRCAN  
 Date Created: October 03, 2012



## Preferred Routes and Station Sites

