RAINY RIVER PROJECT

CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION PHASES
GEOCHEMICAL MONITORING PLAN

PER ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE APPROVAL
#5781-9VJQ2J Condition 10 (10)
#5178-9TUPD9 Condition 8 (12)

VERSION 3

Date	Rev. #	Revision(s)	Originator
June 4, 2015	1	Final Issued to NG	SW, Amec Foster Wheeler
October 14, 2015	2	Final Issued to NG	SW, Amec Foster Wheeler
January 15, 2016	3	Final Issued to NG	SW, Amec Foster Wheeler

January 2016
TC111504

Principal Contact: Site Environmental Manager
Rainy River Project
5967 Highway 11/71
P.O. Box 5
Emo, Ontario, Canada P0W IE0
Telephone: (807) 482-2501
Facsimile: (807) 482-2834

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

LIST OF TABLES

1.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND

New Gold Inc. (New Gold) is planning to construct, operate and eventually reclaim a new open pit and underground gold mine, the Rainy River Project (RRP) to produce doré bars (gold with silver) for sale. Physical works related to the RRP will consist primarily of:

- Open pit;
- Underground mine;
- Overburden, mine rock and low grade ore stockpiles;
- Primary crusher and process plant;
- Tailings management area;
- 230 kilovolt transmission line;
- Relocation of a portion of gravel-surfaced Highway 600; and
- Associated buildings, facilities and infrastructure.

During the construction phase of the project in broad terms the following activities will involve disturbance of overburden or rock:

- Pre-stripping of the open pit in preparation for production mining;
- Extraction of sand and gravel from the Roen Road Pit and production of crushed rock from the Tait Quarry and Outcrop 3 Quarry;
- Road construction including the East Access Road and realignment of Highway 600;
- Preparation and construction of water management structures / dams;
- Levelling and grade preparation for the plant site and foundation preparation for site buildings; and
- Excavation and establishment of diversion channels.

The operation phase of the project will include the following activities involving the disturbance of overburden or rock:

- Continued stripping of the open pit in support of production mining;
- Placement of overburden and rock in the mine stockpiles;
- Production mining from the open pit and eventually, underground mine

- Potential for ongoing extraction of sand and gravel from the Roen Road Pit and production of crushed rock from the Tait Quarry and Outcrop 3 Quarry; and

- Raising of existing water management structures / dams.

An extensive mine rock characterization study related to the future open pit has been conducted along with additional targeted investigations of the quarry sites (including Tait Quarry and Outcrop 3 Quarry), the plant site, and the Stockpile Pond Diversion Channel. In addition, a mine rock and overburden management plan was developed for the RRP Environmental Assessment (EA 05-09-02) and Closure Plan (EAIMS 13102):

- Final Environmental Assessment Report (Environmental Impact Statement), Version 2, Rainy River Project, Township of Chapple, Ontario (AMEC 2014a).

- Closure Plan, Rainy River Project, Version 1 (Amec Foster Wheeler 2015).

With respect to overburden materials, only the generally coarse grained Labradorean age Whiteshell till has been identified with a possibility of containing potentially acid generating (PAG) material, and then only when in the proximity of mineralized bedrock. Based on investigations to date, the till is expected to be encountered at depth (>5 m) which will generally limit the locations were this material will be encountered; although where shallow bedrock is present, limited near surface exposures could be present. In short, coarse till materials within approximately 3 m of bedrock surface represent some risk of acidic drainage and require consideration in terms of geochemical monitoring.

2.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF MONITORING PLAN

Through the environmental approvals process for construction and operation of the RRP, New Gold is required to prepare a number of plans for submission to the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change (MOECC). Version 1 of this document was prepared to satisfy the requirement per Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) 5781-9VJQ2J Condition 10 (10), for a geochemical monitoring plan to be followed to characterize non-ore mine rock (hereafter mine rock) and overburden resulting from the construction phase of the RRP. The ECA condition states the following:

> *The Owner shall submit a Geochemical Monitoring Plan to the District Manager for approval within thirty (30) days of issuance of this Approval. This plan shall assess the potential acid generating conditions of all materials extracted during the construction phase, either as mine waste rock or to be used for construction purposes, such that these materials can be handled appropriately.*

This approval was issued on May 8, 2015 and the plan was provided to the MOECC prior to June 7, 2015.

The plan was subsequently revised and re-issued as Version 2, to address the requirements of ECA 5178-9TUPD9 issued on September 1, 2015 as follows:

> *The Owner shall submit a Geochemical Monitoring Plan to the District Manager for approval within thirty (30) days of issuance of this Approval. This plan shall assess the potential acid generating conditions of all materials extracted during the construction and operations phases, either as mine waste rock or to be used for construction purposes, such that these materials can be handled appropriately.*

The document has subsequently been revised and re-issued as Version 3, to address several comments provided by MOECC dated December 11, 2015.

This plan is intended to fulfill New Gold's obligation to appropriately identify and manage PAG mine rock and overburden for the RRP during construction and preproduction phases of the project. Construction of the RRP will occur over a period of approximately 30 months (second quarter 2015 through 2017).

Accordingly, this plan has been developed to monitor all overburden and rock materials extracted by the project where PAG material has been identified or could be present and incorporates existing investigation findings where available. The plan also includes guidance on minimum monitoring requirements for any excavation of rock or overburden to be conducted at the site during construction.

It is envisioned that the geochemical monitoring plan will evolve over the life of the RRP and be revised, as additional site specific data is collected and interpreted. Additional monitoring requirements related to underground mining will be developed at a later date and prior to initiation of underground mine development.

For the purposes of this Geochemistry Monitoring Plan, suitably trained and supervised personnel are defined as appropriately trained New Gold geologists or engineers, or another person suitably trained and supervised in the action or procedure by such New Gold geologist or engineer.

3.0 ACID ROCK DRAINAGE STANDARDS AND CRITERIA

3.1 Applicable Standards in Ontario

The approach and methodology for the collection and characterization of overburden and Mine rock materials is based upon the requirements described under the Ontario *Mining Act*; namely guidance found within the document:

- DRAFT Guidelines and Recommended Methods for the Prediction of Metal Leaching and Acid Rock Drainage at Mine sites in British Columbia (Price 1997) which has been updated in the document Prediction Manual for Drainage Chemistry from Sulphidic Geologic Materials (MEND 2009).

The MEND (2009) document represents best practice and industry standard approaches and methodologies for metal leaching / acid rock drainage (ML/ARD) sampling and characterization in Canada.

The standards for characterization, segregation and management of PAG rock for the RRP have been established based on site specific studies and best practices that are detailed in the Closure Plan and in accordance with Ontario standards.

3.2 PAG Rock Criteria

For the RRP a threshold of neutralization potential ratio of less than two (NPR <2) has been established previously to identify PAG rock:

- Mine Rock and Overburden Management Plan, Rainy River Project (AMEC 2014b).

For the open pit a further categorization of PAG rock has been developed on the basis of inferred time to acid onset (time to neutralization potential; NP depletion) as identified in Table 1.

Table 1: NP Threshold Values for Open Pit PAG Subdivision

PAG Class	Depletion of NP Years	Available NP* Threshold kg $CaCO_3$/t
PAG 1	<5	12.5
PAG 2	5 to 15	19
PAG 3	>15	>19

*Available NP = Measured Sobek NP minus 10 kg $CaCO_3$/t to account for assumed unavailable

4.0 OVERBURDEN GEOCHEMICAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The overburden geochemical monitoring plan includes the following:

- Overburden excavation / management activities exempt from monitoring;
- A minimum monitoring requirement applicable to any excavation;
- Monitoring requirements for the Roen Road Pit; and
- Monitoring requirements where the potential for management of PAG overburden (inferred PAG in Whiteshell till) has already been identified.

In the event Whiteshell till material is identified it will be managed as PAG material, with tracking and documentation of appropriate onsite disposal.

4.1 Overburden Excavation Activities Exempt from Monitoring

The intent of the Geochemical Monitoring Plan is to identify and monitor overburden materials that represent a risk of ARD if disturbed and exposed to air. The following activities are considered low risk and are therefore exempt from overburden monitoring requirements:

- Topsoil stripping; and
- Narrow strip excavations (such as utilities and footings) where excavated overburden material will be backfilled in place.

Excess material from stripping (excavations) that requires removal with final placement at surface including stockpiles, is subject to minimum monitoring overburden requirements described in Section 4.2.

4.2 Minimum Overburden Monitoring Requirements

During construction, any excavation of overburden (with the exception of exclusions identified in Section 4.1) is subject to the following requirements to be conducted by suitably trained and supervised personnel:

- Review of excavation plans to confirm size, location and depth of planned excavation.
- Review of available geology information in proximity to the planned excavation to assess the presence of mineralized bedrock.

- Review of available surficial geology information in proximity to the planned excavation especially in terms of depth to bedrock and previously mapped or logged surficial geology.

- Where data is sufficient to reasonably rule out the possible presence of Whiteshell till or identify bedrock at more than 2 m below the maximum excavation depth, this will be supported by at least one documented inspection of the open excavation during the work to confirm Whiteshell till was not encountered.

- Where data is insufficient to reasonably rule out the possible presence of Whiteshell till within the planned excavation, the excavation will be monitored visually at a frequency or tonnage commensurate with progress to identify and document if Whiteshell till is encountered in the excavation.

- Where Whiteshell till is identified in the excavation, it will be handled and managed as PAG overburden material unless investigation is completed to confirm the material is non-potentially acid generating (NPAG) material.

- In the absence of a testing program, Whiteshell till will be visually segregated on the basis of textural contrast with the finer overlying material (consistent with previous investigations and testing results) and managed accordingly as PAG material.

- Quantities of Whiteshell till managed as PAG will be recorded and their storage in PAG management areas documented.

Note it is presently considered that the Whiteshell till material will be limited in extent in planned excavations and as a result, it is not cost effective to sample, analyse and segregate PAG from NPAG material for this unit. In the event that quantities dictate otherwise, a standard operating procedure and testing program will be developed to support such segregation. Such a testing program, if implemented, could take the form of sampling of the Whiteshell till material with ABA screening by carbon and sulphur analyses. Alternately testing could involve temporary stockpiling of the Whiteshell till material with sampling and routine ABA analysis.

4.3 Roen Road Pit Overburden

Amec Foster Wheeler has identified no concern regarding the potential for presence of PAG material within sand and gravel of the Roen Road Pit. On this basis, monitoring for the Roen Road Pit will include visual inspection at a frequency commensurate with the excavation progress and the risk of exposing PAG material. In the event any concerns are identified through visual inspection, additional testing and evaluation will be completed; and if appropriate, the minimum monitoring requirements identified in Section 4.2 will be applied.

4.4 Open Pit Overburden

The monitoring plan for overburden within the open pit will follow all requirements identified in Section 4.2 with the exception that previous investigations have already identified the presence of possible PAG material as Whiteshell till. Monitoring can be guided by available geological and geochemical investigations already completed.

4.5 Preparation of Mine Rock Stockpiles

Ditching and related activities required for the construction of the East and West Stockpiles will follow all requirements identified in Section 4.2.

5.0 MINE ROCK GEOCHEMICAL MONITORING PLAN

The mine rock monitoring plan includes the following:

- A generic monitoring requirement for excavation of bedrock where insufficient or no previous characterization has been conducted to confirm or refute the presence of PAG rock;
- A minimum on-going monitoring requirement applicable to any blasting and excavations with a low risk of PAG as defined by previous investigations and sampling; and
- Specific monitoring requirements for previously investigated project components.

Sampling conducted in support of this geochemistry monitoring plan will be carried out by suitably trained and supervised personnel following accepted technical practices.

For sampling of blast hole cuttings which are integral to both production and environmental sampling, the following approach is defined:

- For each blast hole to be sampled, a channel sample of cuttings will be collected by a suitably trained and supervised person, and placed in a labelled plastic rock bag; and
- Following sample collection, the sample will be either immediately placed into the sample queue for analysis under chain of custody, or placed on the drill hole marker (red hat placed in the drill collar) and picked up twice daily by a member of the New Gold geology team for placement in sample queue and chain of custody for analysis.

Any unanticipated sampling challenges will be documented by New Gold geologists or engineers, along with the means to mitigate and avoid in the future if applicable.

5.1 Generic Bedrock Geochemical Monitoring (Areas Not Investigated)

During construction, any blasting and/or excavation of bedrock sources not previously investigated (Sections 5.3 to 5.6 provide monitoring requirements for previously investigated areas) is subject to the following requirements that are to be conducted under the direction of suitably trained and supervised personnel:

- Review of excavation plans to confirm size, location and depth of planned excavation into bedrock.

- Review available bedrock geology and geochemical information in proximity to the planned excavation especially in terms of lithology, potential for sulphide mineralization, inspection of any detailed core logs and availability of previous geochemical testing.

- Conduct a reconnaissance geological inspection of surface outcrop or exposed subcrop and submit grab rock samples for geochemical testing (including visual worst case sampling) of at least one sample from each distinct lithological unit.

- Where excavations are to extend to more than 3 m below bedrock surface or where surficial cover limits surface inspection and sampling; drilling and sampling will be completed with sample selection, review and interpretation of results.

- Where investigations are completed and indicate a low potential for PAG rock, geochemical monitoring will proceed as described in Section 5.2.

- Where PAG rock is confirmed to be present or where screening investigations cannot be completed due to construction time constraints; sampling and analysis of blast hole cuttings or excavated rock will be completed for each blast hole drilled or at most every 2,000 m^3 of material produced.

- Segregation and management of PAG materials will be directed by the testing results as interpreted by suitably trained and supervised personnel or per a plan prepared by suitably trained and supervised personnel.

- Quantities of PAG rock managed will be recorded and their management in appropriate PAG management areas documented.

5.2 Minimum Bedrock Monitoring Requirements (Low Risk of PAG)

Bedrock excavations that have been identified at the lowest risk for the presence of PAG material on the basis of previous geochemical investigation and sampling (Section 5.1), will be subject to the following minimum on-going monitoring by suitably trained and supervised personnel:

- For each active excavation, suitably trained and supervised personnel will routinely confirm continuity of observed geology with that described in characterization investigations, and conduct a more formal visual inspection with collection of a representative sample of each distinct lithology at every 100,000 cubic metres (m^3) of material moved; and

- Photograph and document conditions at the time of the detailed assessment.

If unexpected sulphide mineralization not observed in prior investigations is encountered, suspect material will be left in place or stockpiled pending further assessment by suitably trained and supervised personnel.

5.3 Crushed Rock from Quarries

Available ML/ARD investigations for the Tait Quarry and Outcrop 3 Quarry have determined that there is low potential to encounter PAG material in development of these sources. Therefore, these sources will be subject to the minimum monitoring requirements specified in Section 5.2.

In the event additional quarries or aggregate sources are identified or the quarry designs change significantly with respect to aerial extent or depth, the requirements specified in Section 5.1 will apply.

5.4 Open Pit Mine Rock

Segregation of mine rock will be based on management of acid generation potential. Metal leaching in the absence of acidic conditions appears to be of minimal concern for the RRP mine rock wastes based on all testing to date.

Open pit mining at the RRP will follow the standard practice of an open pit operation, with a conventional drill and blast, load and haul cycle. The mine rock produced by the pit excavation will be segregated into either PAG or NPAG rock. The methodologies used will be the same that are employed for ore / waste grade control during operations.

Prior to the blast, blast hole cuttings will be sampled and analysed to confirm the geochemistry of the mining block. Mine rock blast holes will be spaced on a 7.0 m x 7.5 m pattern and a 10 m bench height. Sample collection protocols will follow those used for grade control, with subsamples collected from the cuttings surrounding the completed blast holes.

Blast hole subsamples will be analysed for total sulphur and inorganic carbon using an onsite instrumentation to generate surrogate AP and NP values and guide definition of PAG and NPAG rock for appropriate management.

During mine planning the ARD block model will be merged with the mine plan to classify the mine rock into the following categories and schedule its blasting and excavation from the pit:

- Ore;
- Low Grade Ore;
- NPAG mine rock;
- PAG 1 waste;
- PAG 2 waste; and
- PAG 3 waste.

The distribution of these material types will be determined for each blast (dig limits) and compared against the results of the blast hole sampling for confirmatory purposes prior to haulage. Dig limits will be defined using the same protocols as those used for grade control. Blast hole sampling results for ARD parameters (NP and AP derived from the carbon and sulphur analysis) will be provided to the mine geology department for calculation of NPR values for each blast hole and incorporation of this information into the grade control database. This information will be used to determine the dig limits of the mining blocks as: ore, low grade ore, or waste. Waste block dig limits will be further subdivided into NPAG, PAG 1, PAG 2 and PAG 3. Results from the mapping of these ore and waste categories will be transferred to the mine survey so that the dig limits can be mapped onto each individual blast pattern.

To track the removal and placement of mine rock, daily shift reports will be completed that describe the materials moved (by truck counts) from the pit to the stockpiles, or other locations on site. This information will be maintained in a database and used to cross check material inventories/volumes generated from the ARD block model and mine plan, plus as-built surveys of the mine rock stockpiles.

On a monthly basis, a comparison of the blast hole Leco analysis for C and S will be compared against the replicate samples analysed for ABA parameters (Section 6.0 and 7.2). These results will be used to improve the segregation of PAG and NPAG rock based on the on-going surrogate analyses. Based on analytical experience, the replicate ABA analysis may be reduced to a subset of ABA indicator parameters as supported by review of the on-going results.

The information collected from these confirmatory steps will be compiled and reported annually and reviewed to validate/correct the ML/ARD predictions and the ARD block model results. Where applicable, improvements to optimize the mine rock management plan will be identified and implemented. The frequency of duplicate checks and verification against the block model may be reduced as experience is gained with on-going sampling.

The above description reflects monitoring in close proximity to ore where segregation is expected to be complex. For regions of the RRP open pit away from the ore, the complexity in mine rock management may be substantially less. It is expected that experience gained with the block model and on-going testing will support establishing a reduced frequency of blast hole testing particularly in certain areas of the pit. Based on this experience, a standard operating procedure will be developed to guide blast hole sampling density requirements and methods that may vary by area within pit development.

Management of PAG rock within the pit will be in accordance with the open pit mine rock management plan.

Only NPAG rock defined on the basis of methods outlined above would be deemed suitable for use as site construction aggregate, with the exception that PAG may be used under the following condition as agreed to through the Environmental Assessment process:

- Environmental Commitment #25: PAG material would only be used for fill material in areas where it can be maintained in a saturated state to exclude oxygen and inhibit Sulphide oxidation. These uses may include underground backfill and construction of the upstream portion of the TMA dams.

5.5 Plant Site Regrading

PAG rock has been identified as likely to be present within portions of the planned construction excavation areas in rock at the plant site. All excavated rock in support of plant site construction will be considered PAG and managed accordingly; or alternatively will be subject to segregation on the basis of screening analysis of blast hole cuttings. The specific sampling strategy for the screening analysis may be supported by available characterization data.

5.6 Diversion Channels

PAG rock has been identified within planned excavation limits of only the Stockpile Diversion Channel and none of the other diversion channels proposed at the RRP. All excavated rock in support of the Stockpile Diversion Channel will be considered PAG and managed accordingly; or alternatively will be subject to segregation on the basis of screening analysis of blast hole cuttings. The specific sampling strategy may be supported by available characterization data.

The presence of PAG rock within localized sections of the Stockpile Diversion Channel has a potential to lead to poorer quality drainage or add metal loadings to water draining through this channel. The following provisions have been made to manage this concern during the construction and operation of this diversion channel:

- Overblasting of the base of the channel section with suspected PAG rock by 1.3 m during construction, and lining the channel base of this section with 1 to 1.2 m of compacted local clay;

- Visual walkover and photo documentation inspection of rock sidewalls and channel twice annually (spring and late summer or fall) noting evidence of changes in rock or water condition (e.g. iron staining related to ARD);

- Annual sampling of water quality under low flow conditions (e.g. summer or early fall) to assess ARD and metal related loadings, and trends at the Stockpile Diversion Channel discharge; and

- Annual water sampling of water at the exit from the channel to include at a minimum a flow rate estimate, field measured temperature, pH and conductivity, and laboratory analysis consistent with the parameters specified for leachable metals analysis as identified in Table 2.

In the event mitigation of ML/ARD concerns from sidewalls is required, provision has been made for isolation of this material by application of shotcrete to problematic areas of the channel side walls.

5.7 Underground Mine Rock

Development of the Underground Mine is still in the planning stages with work scheduled to begin in 2017. A geochemical monitoring plan for underground mine rock will be developed prior to advancing the underground workings once the mine plan is complete and geochemical verification of the rock units to be encountered is understood.

Table 2: Analytical Methods for Geochemical Monitoring Plan

Test	Category	Parameter	Unit	Method Code	Detection Limit
S & C Analysis	ABA Screening	Carbon (Total)	%	CSA06V	0.005
		Sulphur (Total)	%	CSA06V	0.005
		Maximum Potential Acidity*	CarbNP/AP_{max}	Calc.	-
Modified Acid Base Accounting	Routine ABA	Paste pH	pH	Sobek	0.2
		Total Inorganic Carbon	%	CSB02V	0.1
		$CaCO_3$ (CarbNP)	kg $CaCO_3$/t	Calc.	-
		Carbon (Total)	%	CSA06V	0.005
		Sulphur (Total)	%	CSA06V	0.005
		Sulphur (SO_4)	%	CSA07V	0.01
		Sulphur (S^{-2})	%	CSA08D	0.01
		Sulphur (S^{-2})	%	Calc.	-
		Acid Potential (AP)	kg $CaCO_3$/t	Calc.	-
		Modified NP (NP)	kg $CaCO_3$/t	Modified	0.5
		NPR	NP/AP	Calc.	-
		Fizz Test	-	Sobek	-
Low Level Metals by Aqua-Regia Digestion with ICP-MS Finish	Metals Screening	Ag, Al, B , Ba, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, Li, Mg, Mn, Na, Ni, P, S, Sr, Ti, V, Zn, Zr, As, Be, Bi, Cd, Ce, Co, Cs, Ga, Ge, Hf, Hg, In, La, Lu, Mo, Nb, Pb, Rb, Sb, Sc, Se, Sn, Ta, Tb, Te, Th, Tl, U, W, Y, Yb	% and ppm	ICM14B	varies by element
Low-Level Selenium Assays	Metals Screening	Se	ppm	HAS14B	0.05
Shake Flask Extraction - (3:1 Liquid to Solid Ratio)	Leachable Metals	pH	pH	Meter	0.1
		Redox	mV	Meter	1
		Conductivity	uS/cm	Meter	1
		Acidity (to pH 4.5)	mg $CaCO_3$/L	Titration	1
		Total Acidity (to pH 8.3)	mg $CaCO_3$/L	Titration	1
		Alkalinity	mg $CaCO_3$/L	Titration	1
		Chloride	mg/L	Ion Chrom.	0.1
		Fluoride	mg/L	Spec. Ion Electrode	0.2
		Sulphate	mg/L	Turbidity	0.06
		Hardness $CaCO_3$	mg/L	Calc.	-
		Major Anions	meq/L	Calc.	-
		Major Cations	meq/L	Calc.	-
		Difference	meq/L	Calc.	-
		Balance (%)	%	Calc.	-
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Bi, B, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Li, Mg, Mn, Hg, Mo, Ni, P, K, Se, Si, Ag, Na, Sr, S, Tl, Sn, Ti, U, V, Zn, Zr	mg/L and µg/L	ICP-MS	varies by element

Note:
* maximum potential acidity assumes no iron carbonate present

6.0 ANALYTICAL TESTING METHODS

The analytical methods to be applied for the construction phase of the RRP as described in this Geochemical Monitoring Plan are provided in Table 2. Two general approaches to testing will occur at the RRP:

- Carbon and sulphur analyses (screening acid base accounting (ABA) analysis); and
- Follow-up ABA analyses (routine ABA analysis).

Screening of PAG materials at the RRP by analyzing carbon and sulphur is considered to be a conservative surrogate for full ABA analysis and is suitable for application in most areas (AMEC 2014b). This method provides data in a timely and cost-effective manner to support active excavations where PAG rock may be present. Determination of NPR on the basis of carbon and sulphur will be supported by routine ABA analysis as described in Table 2. For blast hole sampling, routine ABA analysis of laboratory splits (replicate analyses) will be completed at a rate of 1 in 20 samples to allow monitoring against the surrogate ABA analysis. Alternatively, supported by on-going test results, key target parameters within the routine ABA suite (rather than the full set) may be established for the program based on experience. The routine ABA analytical list will also form the basis of any additional characterization investigations should they be required. Metals screening and leachable metal testing will be also be completed on selected samples.

7.0 DOCUMENTATION AND QUALITY ASSURANCE / CONTROL OF DATA

The following sections outline specific documentation and quality assurance / control requirements related to geochemical monitoring.

7.1 Documentation

For each excavation a complete set of notes will be maintained documenting pertinent assessment, sampling and analysis completed.

A database will be established for all analytical data collected recording excavation identification, sample ID, sample location, lithology, and analytical results. For blast hole monitoring, the blast hole ID and bench references will also be included.

7.2 Analytical Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Standard operating procedures will be developed for all overburden and mine rock sampling at the RRP site. Samples will be submitted to onsite or offsite laboratories as appropriate under chain of custody documentation developed as part of the procedures.

Field duplicate and laboratory replicate samples will be submitted for analysis at a rate of 1 sample in 20 samples, or as established in the standard operating procedures. Laboratory replicate samples will be analysed at a qualified commercial laboratory for the routine ABA suite or a subset ABA parameters as identified in the standard operating procedures.

7.3 Reporting

An annual geochemical monitoring report including all characterization work completed for PAG rock segregation and mine rock and overburden quality assessment will be prepared in support of the annual Works Performance and Surface Water Monitoring reports.

8.0 QUALIFICATIONS OF AUTHOR AND REVIEWERS

Prepared by:

Dr. Stephen Walker, Ph.D. has more than 26 years of consulting and research experience, including specializing in mine waste hydrogeochemistry. He has conducted ARD assessments for proposed and operating mines across Canada and internationally. He has specific experience in assessing and managing water quality and metal mobility issues in the environment, under both acidic and neutral drainage conditions and for oxidized and reduced environments. Dr. Walker routinely conducts and manages geochemical assessment programs related to overburden, mine rock and tailings at mine sites. Dr. Walker has been involved in the RRP geochemistry program since 2011.

<original signed by>

Stephen R. Walker, Ph.D.
Associate Hydrogeochemist

Reviewed by:

Steve Sibbick, M.Sc., P.Geo. is a Principal Geochemist employed with Amec Foster Wheeler Environment & Infrastructure. Mr. Sibbick is a geochemist with 27 years' experience, specializing in acid rock drainage assessment and prediction, mine waste geochemistry and mine closure. He has conducted numerous acid rock drainage assessments throughout Canada and internationally of proposed, active and closed mine properties, and managed many multi-disciplinary geoscience and engineering projects related to mine development, closure, and the remediation of mines and industrial sites. Mr. Sibbick has lead the RRP geochemistry program on behalf of New Gold since 2011.

<original signed by>

Steve Sibbick, M.Sc., P.Geo.
Principal Geochemist

Reviewed by:

Sheila Daniel, M.Sc., P.Geo. is a Principal, Mining Environmental with Amec Foster Wheeler Environment & Infrastructure. Ms. Daniel has approximately 25 years of global mining environmental consulting experience, with a Canadian focus over the past 15 years. Ms. Daniel provides consulting services to all phases of mineral development from grassroots to advanced exploration, project design and engineering, construction, operation and closure. She is Project Manager for Amec Foster Wheeler Environment & Infrastructure for environmental aspects of the development of RRP.

<original signed by>

Sheila Daniel, M.Sc., P.Geo.
Principal, Mining Environmental

9.0 REFERENCES

AMEC. 2014a. Rainy River Resources Ltd., Volume 2: Final Environmental Assessment Report (Environmental Impact Statement), Version 2, Rainy River Project, Township of Chapple, Ontario.

AMEC. 2014b. Rainy River Resources Ltd., Report on Metal Leaching / Acid Rock Drainage Characterization of Mine Rock and Tailings. Appendix G of Volume 5: Final Environmental Assessment Report (Environmental Impact Statement), Version 2, Rainy River Project, Township of Chapple, Ontario.

Amec Foster Wheeler. 2015. Rainy River Project Closure Plan.

Mine Environment Neutral Drainage (MEND). 2009. Prediction Manual for Drainage Chemistry from Sulphidic Geologic Materials. Natural Resources Canada.