HARDROCK PROJECT Final Environmental Impact Statement / Environmental Assessment

Addendum to Effects of Changes to the Environment on Aboriginal Peoples – In Accordance with Section 6.3.4 of the EIS Guidelines issued by the CEA Agency – February 2018 update (Appendix O)

Prepared for: Greenstone Gold Mines GP Inc. 365 Bay St, Suite 500 Toronto ON M5H 2V1

# GREENSTONE GOLD

Prepared by: Stantec Consulting Ltd. 1-70 Southgate Drive Guelph ON N1G 4P5



# **Table of Contents**

| 1.0    | SUMMAR    | OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ON SECTION 5(1)(C) FACTORS           | 1.1 |
|--------|-----------|---|-----|
| 1.1    | APPENDIX  | O METHODOLOGY   | 1.1 |
|        | 1.1.1     | Aboriginal Health Conditions                                  | 1.1 |
|        | 1.1.2     | Aboriginal Socio-Economic Conditions                          | 1.5 |
|        | 1.1.3     | Aboriginal Physical and Cultural Heritage                     | 1.9 |
|        | 1.1.4     | Current Use of Lands and Resources for Traditional Purposes1. | .13 |
| 1.2    | EFFECTS C | OF CHANGES TO THE ENVIRONMENT ON SOCIO-ECONOMIC               |     |
|        | CONDITIC  | DNS FOR ABORIGINAL PEOPLES1.                                  | .16 |
|        | 1.2.1     | Summary of Change in Quality and Availability of Country      |     |
|        |           | Foods1  | .16 |
|        | 1.2.2     | Indirect Effects on Socio-Economic Conditions for Aboriginal  |     |
|        |           | Peoples1  | .17 |
| 1.3    | SUMMARY   | OF CHANGES TO THE ENVIRONMENT ON SECTION 5(1)(C)              |     |
|        | FACTORS,  | MITIGATION AND RESIDUAL EFFECTS                               | .17 |
| 1.4    | CONCLUS   | SION  | .37 |
|        |           |   |     |
| LICT O | ETADIEC   |   |     |

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1-1: | Characterization of Residual Environmental Effects on Aboriginal<br>Health Conditions                               | 1.2 |
|------------|---|-----|
| Table 1-2: | Characterization of Residual Environmental Effects on Aboriginal<br>Socio-Economic Conditions                       | 1.6 |
| Table 1-3: | Characterization of Residual Environmental Effects on Aboriginal<br>Physical and Cultural Heritage                  | 1.9 |
| Table 1-4: | Characterization of Residual Environmental Effects on Current Use<br>of Land and Resources for Traditional Purposes | .14 |
| Table 1-5: | Summary of Section 5(1)(c) Factors and Key Topics, Mitigation<br>Measures, Residual Effects and Significance        | .19 |





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

# 1.0 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ON SECTION 5(1)(C) FACTORS

This document, an addendum to Effects of Changes to the Environment on Aboriginal Peoples – In Accordance with Section 6.3.4 of the EIS Guidelines issued by the CEA Agency February 2018 update (referred to as Appendix O), has been developed in response to questions submitted by the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (CEA Agency) to Greenstone Gold Mines GP Inc. (GGM) via email on April 23, 2018. It provides summary discussion of the following topics included in Appendix O and based on the work presented in the Final EIS:

- definitions used in characterizing and determining the significance of residual environmental effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors (Section 3.1.6 of Appendix O)
- clarification of changes to socio-economic conditions for Aboriginal peoples arising from Project related changes to quality and availability of country foods (Section 7.2.3.5 of Appendix O)
- a summary of Section 5(1)(c) Factors and key topics, mitigation measures, residual effects characterization, significance determinations and prediction confidence (Sections 7.1.3, 7.1.4, 7.2.3, 7.2.4, 7.3.3, 7.3.4, 7.4.3, 7.4.4 of Appendix O).

# 1.1 APPENDIX O METHODOLOGY

This section clarifies the characterization of residual environmental effects and significance determination which was included in Section 3.1.6 of Appendix O and used in the assessment of Project related changes to the environment on Section 5(1)(c) Factors.

# 1.1.1 Aboriginal Health Conditions

Table 1-1 summarizes how residual environmental effects on Aboriginal health conditions have been characterized in terms of direction, magnitude, geographic extent, timing, duration, frequency, reversibility and ecological and socio-economic context. Quantitative measures or definitions for qualitative categories are provided. These are generally consistent with the characterizations used throughout the Final EIS/EA upon which the determinations were made in the body of Appendix O in the Final EIS/EA.





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

# Table 1-1: Characterization of Residual Environmental Effects on Aboriginal Health Conditions Conditions

| Characterization | Description   | Quantita | tive Measure or Definition of Qualitative Categories  |
|------------------|---|----------|---|
| Direction        | The relative change<br>compared to<br>baseline conditions.  | Positive | The Project will have a beneficial effect on Aboriginal health; or  |
|                  |   |          | there will be an increase in availability of and access<br>to traditional land and resource use (TLRU) relative to<br>baseline conditions; or   |
|                  |   |          | the Project will not contribute to an increase in sound<br>or vibration levels compared to baseline conditions at<br>any Points of Reception (PoR).   |
|                  |   | Adverse  | The Project may have a potential detrimental effect<br>on Aboriginal health, or   |
|                  |   |          | there will be a decrease in availability of and access to TLRU relative to baseline conditions; or  |
|                  |   |          | the Project will result in an increase in sound or vibration levels compared to baseline conditions at any PoR.   |
| Magnitude        | The amount of<br>change in<br>measurable<br>parameters of the<br>component, relative<br>to existing conditions. | Low      | Project-related environmental exposures are<br>predicted to be below target benchmarks established<br>by a recognized health organization and/or are<br>unlikely to substantially change Aboriginal health; or  |
|                  |   |          | residual environmental effect will not reduce the ability to undertake TLRU activities; or  |
|                  |   |          | Project noise or vibration emissions will not exceed the applicable criteria; or  |
|                  |   |          | Project-related effects are unlikely to result in a substantial adverse change in well-being.   |
|                  |   | Moderate | Project-related environmental exposures are<br>predicted to exceed target benchmarks established<br>by a recognized health organization and/or may<br>result in a long-term, substantive change in change in<br>Aboriginal health; or                   |
|                  |   |          | Residual environmental effect will reduce the ability to undertake TLRU activities; or  |
|                  |   |          | Project noise or vibration emissions will not exceed the applicable criteria; or  |
|                  |   |          | May result in a long-term, substantial adverse change in well-being.  |
|                  |   | High     | Project-related environmental exposures are<br>predicted to substantially exceed the target<br>benchmarks established by a recognized health<br>organization and/or are likely to result in a long-term,<br>substantive change in Aboriginal health; or |



Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

# Table 1-1:Characterization of Residual Environmental Effects on Aboriginal Health<br/>Conditions

| Characterization   | Description   | Quantito   | ative Measure or Definition of Qualitative Categories   |
|--|---|--|---|
|  |   |  | activities; or  |
|  |   |  | Project noise or vibration emissions will exceed the applicable criteria; or  |
|  |   |  | Project effects are likely result in a long-term, adverse substantial change in well-being.   |
| Geographic<br>Extent   | The geographic area<br>in which a residual  | PDA  | Residual effects will be restricted to the project development area (PDA).  |
|  |   | LAA  | Residual effects are likely to extend into areas<br>covered by the Aboriginal Health Local Assessment<br>Area (LAA) (see Appendix O Section 3.1.3.1).   |
|  |   | RAA  | Residual effects are likely to interact with those of<br>other projects and activities in the areas covered by<br>the Aboriginal Health Regional Assessment Area (RAA)<br>(see Appendix O Section 3.1.3.1). |
| Timing<br>Considers when the<br>residual<br>environmental effect<br>is expected to occur.<br>Timing considerations<br>are noted in the<br>evaluation of the<br>environmental effect,<br>where applicable or<br>relevant. | Not<br>applicable<br>(N/A)  | Seasonal aspects are unlikely to affect the health of Aboriginal people. |   |
|  | inning considerations<br>are noted in the<br>evaluation of the<br>environmental effect,<br>where applicable or<br>relevant. | Applicable   | Seasonal aspects may affect the health of Aboriginal people.  |
| Frequency  | Identifies when a<br>residual adverse<br>effect is predicted to<br>occur.   | Single<br>event  | Residual environmental effect will occur once.  |
|  |   | Multiple<br>irregular<br>event (no<br>set<br>schedule)                   | Residual environmental effect will occur sporadically and is not predictable.   |
|  |   | Multiple<br>regular<br>events  | Residual environmental effect will occur on a regularly<br>and may be at predictable intervals or specific times.   |
|  |   | Continuous   | Residual environmental effect will occur continuously.  |
| Duration   | The period of time<br>required until the<br>measurable  | Short-term   | For inhalation-related exposures, residual<br>environmental effect will last less than 24 hours<br>(typically associated with reversible effects).  |
|  | valued component  |  | For effects on TLRU activities, the residual environmental effect will be limited to construction or  |





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

# Table 1-1:Characterization of Residual Environmental Effects on Aboriginal Health<br/>Conditions

| Characterization                            | Description   | Quantito        | tive Measure or Definition of Qualitative Categories  |
|---|---|-----------------|---|
|   | (VC) returns to its<br>existing condition, or<br>the effect can no<br>longer be measured<br>or otherwise<br>perceived   |                 | active closure.<br>For acoustics-related effects, the residual<br>environmental effect will be limited to construction or<br>active closure (0-5 years), or for periods of less than<br>one year during operation.  |
|   |   | Medium-<br>term | For effects on TLRU activities, the residual<br>environmental effect will extend throughout<br>construction, operation, and active closure.<br>For acoustics-related effects, the residual<br>environmental effect will extend through the<br>operating life of the Project.  |
|   |   | Long-term       | Residual environmental effect may last for construction, operation, or closure phases.  |
|   |   |                 | For effects on TLRU activities, the residual environmental effect will be limited to construction or active closure.  |
|   |   |                 | For acoustics-related effects, the residual environmental effect will extend beyond closure.  |
| Reversibility                               | Whether the residual<br>effect on the<br>measurable<br>parameter or the VC<br>can return to its<br>existing condition<br>once the physical<br>work or activity<br>causing the<br>disturbance ceases | Reversible      | Residual environmental effect is likely to be reversed after activity completion as the VC returns to its baseline condition.   |
|   |   | Irreversible    | Residual environmental effect is permanent, and the VC is unlikely to return to its baseline condition.   |
| Ecological and<br>Socio-economic<br>context | Existing condition and<br>trends in the area<br>where the residual<br>effects occur.  | Typical         | The effect occurs to a component that is able to<br>accommodate substantial change, the TLRU activity<br>in question is considered common and/or is<br>considered not important to the community. The<br>existing acoustic conditions of the Acoustic<br>Environment LAA are considered typical as defined<br>by applicable guidance documents. |
|   |   | Atypical        | The effect occurs to a component that is able to<br>accommodate some change, the TLRU activity in<br>question is considered uncommon and/or is<br>considered important to the community. The existing<br>acoustic conditions of the Acoustic Environment LAA<br>are considered atypical as defined by the applicable<br>guidance documents.     |





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

# Table 1-1:Characterization of Residual Environmental Effects on Aboriginal Health<br/>Conditions

| Characterization   | Description  | Quantitative Measure or Definition of Qualitative Categories                                   |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Significance   | A significant residual adv   | erse environmental effect is one that:   |  |  |
| Threshold for<br>Aboriginal<br>Health<br>Conditions  | (a) results in the Project-related chemical exposures that are predicted to exceed objectives established by the relevant regulatory organization(s), and are likely to result in a long-term, substantive change in the health of Aboriginal people; or   |  |  |  |
|  | (b) results will eliminate TLRU activities through long-term loss of availability of traditional use resources (e.g., harvested fish), long-term loss of access to sites and areas relied on for traditional use practices (e.g., blocking of access to fishing site), or the permanent loss of traditional use sites and areas in the TLRU VC LAA and RAA; or |  |  |  |
|  | (c) results in Project noise<br>that exceed the quantita   | or vibration emissions (in any phase) at identified receptor locations tive limits of:         |  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Ministry of Environment and Climate Change (MOECC) Environmental Noise<br/>(NPC)-300 Guideline for noise (excluding blasting) during construction, open<br/>active closure</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| MOECC NPC-119 Guideline for blasting activities (noi construction and operation                            |  | Guideline for blasting activities (noise and vibration) during operation                       |  |  |
|  | City of Toronto By-  | law No. 514-2008 for vibration during construction (excluding blasting)                        |  |  |
| <ul> <li>International Organization for Standardization (ISC operation (excluding blasting); or</li> </ul> |  | anization for Standardization (ISO) 2631-2 Standard for vibration during<br>ling blasting); or |  |  |
|  | (d) Is likely result in a long   | -term, adverse substantial change in well-being.   |  |  |

# 1.1.2 Aboriginal Socio-Economic Conditions

Table 1-2 summarizes how residual environmental effects on Aboriginal socio-economic conditions are characterized in terms of direction, magnitude, geographic extent, timing, duration, frequency, reversibility and ecological and socio-economic context. Quantitative measures or definitions for qualitative categories are provided. These are generally consistent with the characterizations used throughout the Final EIS/EA upon which the determinations were made in the body of Appendix O in the Final EIS/EA.





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

# Table 1-2:Characterization of Residual Environmental Effects on Aboriginal Socio-<br/>Economic Conditions

| Characterization | Description  | Quantitati | ve Measure or Definition of Qualitative Categories   |
|------------------|--|------------|--|
| Direction        | The relative change<br>compared to<br>baseline conditions.   | Positive   | Labour and economic conditions will improve or<br>become more desirable compared to baseline<br>conditions;<br>an increase in capacity of community services and   |
|                  |  |            | infrastructure is predicted; or  |
|                  |  |            | an increase in the number or extent (ha) of the<br>areas associated with land and resource use (e.g.,<br>navigable waters, forestry and logging, commercial<br>fishing, recreation) is predicted.                    |
|                  |  | Advers     | Labour and economic conditions will worsen or<br>become less desirable compared to baseline<br>conditions; or  |
|                  |  |            | a decrease in capacity of community services and infrastructure is predicted; or   |
|                  |  |            | a decrease in the number or extent (ha) of the<br>areas associated with the given land and resource<br>use (e.g., navigable waters, forestry and logging,<br>commercial fishing, recreation) is predicted.           |
| Magnitude        | The amount of<br>change in<br>measurable<br>parameters or the<br>component, relative<br>to existing conditions | Low        | Labour and economic conditions will be at or near baseline labour and economic conditions; or  |
|                  |  |            | a change in capacity of community services and infrastructure will be at or near baseline conditions; or   |
|                  |  |            | the residual environmental effect will not reduce the<br>ability to undertake the land and resource use<br>activities in question (e.g., navigable waters, forestry<br>and logging, commercial fishing, recreation). |
|                  |  | Moderate   | The change is unlikely to pose a serious risk or benefit<br>to the local Aboriginal labour and economic<br>conditions, or if adverse, to represent a<br>management challenge; or                                     |
|                  |  |            | a change in capacity of community services and<br>infrastructure that approaches current capacity,<br>standard or threshold but will not result in a reduction<br>in standards of service; or                        |
|                  |  |            | the residual environmental effect will reduce the<br>ability to undertake the land and resource use<br>activities (e.g., navigable waters, forestry and<br>logging, commercial fishing, recreation).                 |
|                  |  | High       | A change that is likely to pose a serious risk or benefit<br>to local Aboriginal labour and economic conditions,<br>and if adverse, represents a management<br>challenge; or   |





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

# Table 1-2:Characterization of Residual Environmental Effects on Aboriginal Socio-<br/>Economic Conditions

| Characterization     | Description  | Quantitati   | ve Measure or Definition of Qualitative Categories   |
|----------------------|--|--|--|
|                      |  |  | a change in capacity of community services and<br>infrastructure exceeding current capacity, standard<br>or thresholds that result in a reduction in standards of<br>service; or                         |
|                      |  |  | the residual environmental effect will eliminate the<br>ability to undertake the land and resource use<br>activities. (e.g., navigable waters, forestry and<br>logging, commercial fishing, recreation). |
| Geographic<br>extent | The geographic area<br>in which the residual   | PDA  | Residual environmental effect is restricted to the PDA.  |
|                      | occurs.  | LAA  | Residual effects extend into areas covered by the<br>Aboriginal socio-economic conditions LAA (see<br>Appendix O Section 3.1.3.1).   |
|                      |  | RAA  | Residual environmental effect extends into the<br>Aboriginal socio-economic conditions RAA (see<br>Appendix O Section 3.1.3.1).  |
| Timing               | Considers when the<br>residual<br>environmental effect<br>is expected to occur.<br>Timing considerations<br>are noted in the<br>evaluation of the<br>residual<br>environmental effect,<br>where applicable or<br>relevant. | Not<br>Applicable<br>(N/A)                           | Seasonal aspects are unlikely to affect economic conditions or capacity of community services and infrastructure.  |
|                      |  |  | Seasonal aspects are unlikely to attect land and resource use.   |
|                      |  | Applicable   | Seasonal aspects may affect economic conditions<br>or capacity of community services and<br>infrastructure.  |
|                      |  |  | Seasonal aspects may affect land and resource use.   |
| Frequency            |  | Single event   | Residual environmental effect will occur once.   |
|                      |  | Multiple<br>irregular<br>events (no<br>set schedule) | Residual environmental effect will occur<br>sporadically, at an irregular interval, and is not<br>predictable.   |
|                      |  | Multiple<br>regular<br>events                        | Residual environmental effect will occur regularly<br>and may be at predictable intervals or specific<br>times.  |
|                      |  | Continuous   | Residual effect will occur continuously.   |
| Duration             | The length of time required until the  | Short-term   | Residual environmental effect will be limited to construction or active closure (0-5 years).   |





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

# Table 1-2:Characterization of Residual Environmental Effects on Aboriginal Socio-<br/>Economic Conditions

| Characterization  | Description  | Quantitati  | ve Measure or Definition of Qualitative Categories  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|
|   | residual<br>environmental effect<br>can no longer be<br>measured or<br>otherwise perceived.  | Medium-<br>term                                     | Residual environmental effect will extend throughout construction, operations, and active closure.  |  |
|   |  | Long-term   | Residual effect will extend beyond closure.   |  |
| Reversibility   | Pertains to whether a<br>measurable<br>parameter or the VC   | Reversible  | The residual environmental effect is likely to be reversed after activity completion.   |  |
|   | existing condition<br>after the Project<br>activity ceases.  | Irreversible  | The residual environmental effect is permanent and is unlikely to return to its existing condition.   |  |
| Ecological and<br>Socio-Economic<br>context                 | Considers<br>uncommon<br>characteristics of the<br>area and/or a<br>community and/or<br>ecosystems that may<br>be affected by the<br>Project and/or<br>whether the VC is<br>important to the<br>functioning of an<br>ecosystem or<br>community of<br>people. | Low<br>diversity/<br>capacity/<br>availability      | An economy where the labour force has limited<br>diversity, has been declining in size, and has limited<br>capacity to accommodate the demands of a new<br>large project; or                  |  |
|   |  |   | infrastructure and services have limited capacity to accommodate increased demand; or   |  |
|   |  |   | land and resource use features and areas are uncommon, rare, or potentially unique.   |  |
|   |  | Moderate<br>diversity/<br>capacity/<br>availability | A stable economy where the labour force has<br>moderate diversity, has been slowly increasing or<br>decreasing in size, and can accommodate many of<br>the demands of a new large project; or |  |
|   |  |   | infrastructure and services can accommodate some levels of increased demand; or   |  |
|   |  |   | land and resource use areas and features are<br>typical for the local area and similar features and<br>areas are somewhat available.  |  |
|   |  | High diversity<br>/capacity/<br>availability        | A diverse, dynamic, and growing economy where<br>the labour force has capacity to accommodate all<br>demands of a new large project;or  |  |
|   |  |   | infrastructure and services have capacity to accommodate increased demand; or   |  |
|   |  |   | land and resource use areas and features are<br>typical for the local area and similar features and<br>areas are widely available.  |  |
| Significance  | Significance thresholds f  | or this assessment                                  | are defined as follows:   |  |
| Inreshold for<br>Aboriginal<br>Socio-Economic<br>Conditions | (a) economic effects that are distinguishable from current conditions and trends and cannot be managed or mitigated through adjustments to programs, policies, plans, or through other mitigation; or  |   |   |  |
|   | (b) a residual environme   | ental effect on co                                  | mmunity services and infrastructure that results in   |  |





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

# Table 1-2:Characterization of Residual Environmental Effects on Aboriginal Socio-<br/>Economic Conditions

| Characterization | Description  | Quantitative Measure or Definition of Qualitative Categories  |
|------------------|--|---|
|                  | demands on services or<br>would be routinely and p<br>are unlikely to recover to | infrastructure above current capacity, such that standards of service<br>persistently reduced below current levels for an extended period and<br>pexisting conditions; or |
|                  | (c) a residual environme<br>that threatens the long-t                            | ntal effect on commercial land and resource use by Aboriginal people<br>rerm viability of those activities.   |

# 1.1.3 Aboriginal Physical and Cultural Heritage

Table 1-3 summarizes how residual environmental effects on Aboriginal physical and cultural heritage are characterized in terms of direction, magnitude, geographic extent, timing, duration, frequency, reversibility and ecological and socio-economic context. Quantitative measures or definitions for qualitative categories are provided. These are generally consistent with the characterizations used throughout the Final EIS/EA upon which the determinations were made in the body of Appendix O in the Final EIS/EA.

| Characterization | Description  | Quantita | tive Measure or Definition of Qualitative Categories   |
|------------------|--|----------|--|
| Direction        | The relative change<br>compared to baseline<br>conditions. | Positive | An increase in the number of heritage resources that have been inventoried, documented, and retained in place; or  |
|                  |  |          | an increase in availability of and access to current<br>use resources, sites and areas relative to baseline<br>conditions; or  |
|                  |  |          | a decrease in air parameters of potential concern<br>(PoPCs) concentrations or lighting levels compared to<br>baseline conditions; or  |
|                  |  |          | the Project will not contribute to an increase in sound<br>or vibration levels compared to baseline conditions at<br>any PoR.  |
|                  |  | Adverse  | A loss of, change in access to, or change in cultural<br>heritage value or interest (CHVI) of heritage resources<br>before they have been appropriately documented or<br>inventoried; or |
|                  |  |          | a decrease in availability of and access to current<br>use resources, sites and areas relative to baseline<br>conditions; or   |
|                  |  |          | an increase in air PoPCs concentrations or lighting levels compared to baseline conditions; or   |
|                  |  |          | predicted levels of a measurable parameter   |





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

| Characterization     | Description   | Quantito | tive Measure or Definition of Qualitative Categories  |
|----------------------|---|----------|---|
|                      |   |          | contribute to an increase in sound or vibration levels compared to baseline conditions at any PoR.  |
| Magnitude            | The amount of<br>change in either the<br>measurable<br>parameters or the VC     | Low      | A change in access to or change in CHVI of a<br>heritage resource but with full retrieval of the resource<br>and associated information with all necessary<br>regulatory approvals in place; or |
|                      | conditions.   |          | the residual environmental effect will not reduce the ability to undertake the current use activities; or   |
|                      |   |          | a measurable change in atmospheric conditions is<br>expected but of comparable magnitude to baseline<br>conditions; or  |
|                      |   |          | Project noise or vibration emissions will not exceed the applicable criteria.   |
|                      |   | Moderate | A loss of, change in access to, or change in CHVI of a heritage resource with retrieval of a portion of the heritage resource and associated information; or                                    |
|                      |   |          | the residual environmental effect will reduce the ability to undertake current use activities; or   |
|                      |   |          | a measurable change or effect on atmospheric conditions is expected but less than regulatory limits or standards.   |
|                      |   | High     | A loss of, change in access to, or change in CHVI of a heritage resource with no retrieval of resource and associated information; or   |
|                      |   |          | the residual environmental effect will eliminate<br>current use activities; or  |
|                      |   |          | a measurable change that causes exceedance of objectives or standards beyond the Project boundaries; or   |
|                      |   |          | Project noise or vibration emissions will exceed the applicable criteria.   |
| Geographic<br>Extent | The geographic area<br>in which the residual<br>environmental effect<br>occurs. | PDA      | Residual effects will be restricted to the PDA.   |
|                      |   | LAA      | Residual effects are likely to extend into areas<br>covered by the Aboriginal Physical and Cultural<br>Heritage LAA (see Appendix O Section 3.1.3.1).   |
|                      |   | RAA      | Residual effects are likely to interact with those of<br>other projects and activities in the Aboriginal Physical<br>and Cultural Heritage RAA (see Appendix O Section<br>3.1.3.1).             |





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

| Characterization | Description  | Quantita   | tive Measure or Definition of Qualitative Categories   |
|------------------|--|--|--|
| Timing           | Considers when the<br>residual environmental<br>effect is expected to  | N/A  | Seasonal aspects are unlikely to affect heritage<br>resources, current use, air quality or lighting, or affect<br>the acoustic environment or land and resource use. |
|                  | considerations are<br>noted in the<br>evaluation of the<br>environmental effect,<br>where applicable or<br>relevant. | Applicable   | Seasonal aspects may alter heritage resources,<br>current use, air quality or lighting, or affect the<br>acoustic environment or land and resource use.              |
| Frequency        | Identifies how often<br>the residual   | Single<br>event  | Residual environmental effect will occur once.   |
|                  | occurs within a given time.  | Multiple<br>irregular<br>event (no<br>set<br>schedule) | Residual environmental effect will occur sporadically and is not predictable.  |
|                  |  | Multiple<br>regular<br>event                           | Residual environmental effect will occur regularly and may be at predictable intervals or specific times.  |
|                  |  | Continuous   | Residual environmental effect will occur continuously.   |
| Duration         | The length of time<br>required until the<br>residual environmental<br>effect can be longer                           | Short-term   | Residual environmental effect will be limited to construction or active closure), or for periods of less than one (1) year during operation.                         |
|                  | be measured or<br>otherwise perceived.   | Medium-<br>term  | Residual environmental effect will extend throughout construction, operation, and active closure.  |
|                  |  | Long-term  | Residual environmental effect will extend beyond active closure.   |
| Reversibility    | Pertains to whether a<br>measurable<br>parameter or the VC<br>can return to its                                      | Reversible   | Residual environmental effect is likely to be reversed after the activity ceases.  |
|                  | baseline condition<br>after the Project<br>activity ceases   | Irreversible   | Residual environmental effect is permanent, and the VC is unlikely to return to baseline conditions after the activity ceases.                                       |





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

| Characterization                                   | Description  | Quantita   | tive Measure or Definition of Qualitative Categories  |
|--|--|--|---|
| Ecological and<br>Socio-economic<br>Context        | Considers uncommon<br>characteristics of the<br>area, a community<br>and/or ecosystem<br>that may be affected<br>by the Project and/or<br>whether the VC or<br>measurable<br>parameter is<br>important to the<br>functioning of an | Typical  | The heritage resources, TLRU activities, and land and<br>resource use in question are considered common<br>and/or are considered not important to the<br>community.<br>The airshed is typical of a rural area in northern<br>Ontario.<br>The lighting environment is typical of a rural<br>environment with low nighttime brightness.<br>The existing acoustic conditions of the Acoustic |
|  | ecosystem or<br>community of people.   |  | Environment LAA are considered typical as defined by the applicable guidance documents.   |
|  |  | Atypical   | The heritage resources, TLRU activities and land and resource use in question are considered uncommon and/or are considered important to the community.   |
|  |  |  | The airshed is considered compromised or stressed and is not typical of a rural area in northern Ontario.   |
|  |  |  | The lighting environment is not typical of a rural environment with high nighttime brightness.  |
|  |  |  | The existing acoustic conditions of the Acoustic<br>Environment LAA are considered atypical as defined<br>by the applicable guidance documents.   |
| Significance<br>Threshold –                        | Residual environmental e<br>characterized as significa   | ffects on Aboriç<br>Int if one or moi  | ginal Physical and Cultural Heritage would be<br>re of the following is true:   |
| Aboriginal<br>Physical and<br>Cultural<br>Heritage | <ul> <li>residual environmen<br/>term loss of access t<br/>loss of current use sit<br/>place through direc</li> </ul>  | tal effect will re<br>o sites and area<br>es and areas in<br>t or indirect pat | sult in the long-term loss of current use resources, long-<br>as relied on for current use activities, or the permanent<br>the current use LAA or RAA. These changes may take<br>hways or mechanisms; or  |
|  | <ul> <li>Project-related loss of<br/>where no appropria<br/>approval from the a</li> </ul>   | of, change in a<br>te retrieval of th<br>ppropriate age                        | ccess to, or change in CHVI of, heritage resources<br>ne resource has been undertaken and no prior<br>ency has been sought; or  |
|  | <ul> <li>Project-related degr<br/>modelled property b<br/>various phases) freq<br/>standard as outlined</li> </ul>   | ading of the qu<br>boundary grour<br>uently exceeds<br>I in Table 7-1 or       | uality of the ambient air such that the maximum outside<br>ad-level concentrations of PoPC from the Project (in<br>the respective air quality objective, guideline or<br>Table 7-2 of the EIS/Application; or   |
|  | <ul> <li>Project-generated li-<br/>L'Éclairage (CIE 2003<br/>in Table 7-5 of the El-</li> </ul>  | ghting effects tl<br>3) maximum va<br>S/Application; c                         | hat exceed the Commission Internationale de<br>lues for light trespass. These maximum values are shown<br>or  |
|  | <ul> <li>Project noise or vibro<br/>the quantitative limit</li> </ul>  | ation emissions<br>ts of:  | (in any phase) at identified receptor locations exceed  |
|  | <ul> <li>MOECC NPC<br/>operation, ar</li> </ul>  | -300 Guideline<br>nd active closur   | for noise (excluding blasting) during construction,<br>e  |
|  | <ul> <li>MOECC NPC</li> </ul>  | -119 Guideline   | for blasting activities (noise and vibration) during  |





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

# Table 1-3:Characterization of Residual Environmental Effects on Aboriginal Physical<br/>and Cultural Heritage

| Characterization | Descript                                    | ion                      | Quantitative Measure or Definition of Qualitative Categories  |
|------------------|---|--------------------------|---|
|                  | cc  | onstruction              | and operation   |
|                  | o Ci<br>ble                                 | ty of Toront<br>asting)  | to By-law No. 514-2008 for vibration during construction (excluding                                       |
|                  | o ISC                                       | D 2631-2 Sto             | andard for vibration during operation (excluding blasting); or  |
|                  | <ul> <li>an enviro<br/>recreatio</li> </ul> | nmental et<br>nal and co | ffect on land and resource use threatens the long-term viability of the ommercial land use or navigation. |

# 1.1.4 Current Use of Lands and Resources for Traditional Purposes

Table 1-4 summarizes how residual environmental effects on current use of land and resources for traditional purposes (current use) by Aboriginal people are characterized in terms of direction, magnitude, geographic extent, timing, duration, frequency, reversibility and ecological and socio-economic context. Quantitative measures or definitions for qualitative categories are provided. These are generally consistent with the characterizations used throughout the Final EIS/EA upon which the determinations were made in the body of Appendix O in the Final EIS/EA.





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

# Table 1-4:Characterization of Residual Environmental Effects on Current Use of Land<br/>and Resources for Traditional Purposes

| Characterization     | Description  | Quantit  | ative Measure or Definition of Qualitative<br>Categories  |
|----------------------|--|--|---|
| Direction            | The relative change<br>compared to baseline<br>conditions.   | Positive   | Predicted increase in availability of and access to current use relative to baseline conditions.  |
|                      |  | Adverse  | Predicted decrease in availability of and access to current use relative to baseline conditions.  |
| Magnitude            | The amount of change in<br>either the measurable<br>parameters or the VC relative<br>to baseline conditions        | Low  | Residual environmental effect will not<br>reduce the ability to undertake current use<br>activities   |
|                      |  | Moderate   | Residual environmental effect will reduce the ability to undertake current use activities.  |
|                      |  | High   | Residual environmental effect will eliminate current use activities.  |
| Geographic<br>Extent | The geographic area in which<br>the residual environmental   | PDA  | Residual effects will be restricted to the PDA.   |
|                      |  | LAA  | Residual effects are likely to extend into the<br>Current Use LAA (see Appendix O Section<br>3.1.3.1).  |
|                      |  | RAA  | Residual effects are likely to interact with<br>those of other projects and activities in the<br>Current Use RAA (see Appendix O Section<br>3.1.3.1). |
| Timing               | Considers when the residual<br>environmental effect is<br>expected to occur. Timing<br>considerations are noted in | N/A  | Seasonal aspects are unlikely to alter the residual environmental effect on current use.  |
|                      | the evaluation of the<br>environmental effect, where<br>applicable or relevant.                                    | Applicable   | Seasonal aspects may alter the residual environmental effect on current use.  |
| Frequency            | Identifies how often the<br>residual environmental effect  | Single<br>event  | Residual environmental effect will occur once.  |
|                      | occors within a given lime.  | Multiple<br>irregular<br>event (no<br>set<br>schedule) | Residual environmental effect will occur sporadically and is not predictable.   |
|                      |  | Multiple   | Residual environmental effect will occur  |





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

# Table 1-4:Characterization of Residual Environmental Effects on Current Use of Land<br/>and Resources for Traditional Purposes

| Characterization                             | Description  | Quantite  | ative Measure or Definition of Qualitative<br>Categories   |
|--|--|---|--|
|  |  | regular<br>event  | regularly and may be at predictable intervals or specific times.   |
|  |  | Continuous  | Residual environmental effect will occur continuously.   |
| Duration                                     | The length of time required<br>until the residual  | Short-term  | Residual environmental effect will be limited to construction or active closure.   |
|  | longer be measured or<br>otherwise perceived.  | Medium-<br>term   | Residual environmental effect will extend throughout construction, operation, and active closure.  |
|  |  | Long-term   | Residual environmental effect will extend beyond active closure.   |
| Reversibility                                | Pertains to whether a<br>measurable parameter or the<br>VC can return to its baseline<br>condition after the Project   | Reversible  | Residual environmental effect is likely to be reversed after the activity ceases.  |
|  | activity ceases  | Irreversible  | Residual environmental effect is permanent and is unlikely to return to its existing condition.  |
| Ecological and<br>Socio-Economic<br>Context  | Considers uncommon<br>characteristics of the area,<br>community and/or   | Typical   | The VC or measurable parameter is considered common and/or is considered not important to the community.   |
|  | affected by the Project<br>and/or whether the VC or<br>measurable parameter is<br>important to the functioning<br>of an ecosystem or<br>community of people.                                       | Atypical  | The VC or measurable parameter is considered uncommon and/or is considered important to the community.   |
| Significance<br>Threshold for<br>Current Use | Residual environmental effects o<br>would be characterized as signifi<br>term loss of current use resources<br>current use activities, or the perm<br>LAA or RAA. These changes may<br>mechanisms. | n current use of<br>cant if the resid<br>, long-term loss<br>nanent loss of cu<br>take place thro | land and resources for traditional purposes<br>ual environmental effects result in the long-<br>of access to sites and areas relied on for<br>urrent use sites and areas in the current use<br>bugh direct or indirect pathways or |





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

# 1.2 EFFECTS OF CHANGES TO THE ENVIRONMENT ON SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONDITIONS FOR ABORIGINAL PEOPLES

The discussion below is a summary of the assessment of changes to socio-economic conditions for Aboriginal peoples arising from Project related changes to quality and availability of country foods<sup>1</sup>, presented in Section 7.2.3.5 of Appendix O. A summary of the assessment of changes in quality and availability of country foods (Section 7.1.4.2 of Appendix O), and a summary of how residual effects may indirectly change to socio-economic conditions for Aboriginal peoples is presented below.

# **1.2.1** Summary of Change in Quality and Availability of Country Foods

The changes in total ingestion exposures were found to result in a negligible human health risk. The removal of portions of the historical MacLeod and Hardrock tailings, as part of the Project activities, is predicted to result in a decrease in total ingestion risks for arsenic due to improved surface water quality during operation through post closure. Unacceptable health risks are not expected for the ingestion of country foods.

The Project will result in the loss or removal of approximately 1,133 ha of forest and 810 ha of wetland vegetation communities from the PDA. The removal of habitat that supports plant species of interest to Aboriginal communities from the PDA is not anticipated to affect the viability of populations of these species in the vegetation communities outside of the PDA. Given that the plant species of interest to Aboriginal communities are relatively common in the RAA for vegetation communities, the availability of these species for harvest as country foods is not anticipated to be affected by the Project. As a proposed mitigation measure, where there is interest, GGM will provide opportunities to local Aboriginal communities for harvesting of plants for traditional purposes prior to construction.

Fish habitat that is altered or lost by project activities within the PDA will be offset by creating new habitat within the Goldfield Creek diversion channel. Overall, there will be no net loss of areas for fishing as a result of the Project. The closure of Lahtis Road at Highway 11 will alter access to TLRU areas located adjacent to the PDA along the shoreline of the Southwest Arm of Kenogamisis Lake. GGM is committed to maintaining alternate access within the PDA to the Southwest Arm of Kenogamisis Lake during construction and operation.

Within the PDA, the open pit, Waste Rock Storage Areas, and Tailings Management Facility will result in a loss of habitat availability and connectivity, which may result in changes to localized movements of wildlife. In a limited area outside of the PDA Project related sensory disturbances are predicted to result in a decrease in the local availability of wildlife habitat; however, the abundance of harvested wildlife is not anticipated to change although the local distribution of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Country foods are defined as species harvested through hunting, trapping, gathering or fishing activities for the purpose of consumption.





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

wildlife in the immediate vicinity of the PDA may change as large mammals could avoid the PDA, which could result in a localized change in availability.

The assessment of changes in quality and availability of country foods identified that unacceptable health risks are not expected for the ingestion of country foods and the Project is not expected to limit the availability country foods outside of the PDA.

# 1.2.2 Indirect Effects on Socio-Economic Conditions for Aboriginal Peoples

Changes in the quality or availability of country foods can indirectly affect Aboriginal socioeconomic conditions through increased reliance on store-bought foods and/or increased costs associated with travelling to alternative (possibly less accessible) areas where country foods remain available for harvesting.

Residual environmental effects due to the Project are not anticipated to limit the availability of country foods outside of the PDA. Unacceptable health risks are also not expected from the ingestion of country foods outside of the PDA. Project effects on quality and availability of country foods and any indirect effects on socio-economic conditions will be mitigated through the measures identified in Section 6.3 of Appendix O. Socio-economic effects related to increased reliance on purchased foods and additional travel are not anticipated. Residual effects will also be managed through the development and implementation of the environmental management and monitoring plans, creation of Aboriginal Environment listed in Section 6.4 of Appendix O.

# 1.3 SUMMARY OF CHANGES TO THE ENVIRONMENT ON SECTION 5(1)(C) FACTORS, MITIGATION AND RESIDUAL EFFECTS

Table 1-5 presents a summary of Section 5(1)(c) Factors, associated key topics, mitigation measures, residual effects characterization and significance determinations, see Table 1-1 to 1-4 above for definitions used in these characterizations. Results are provided for each Section 5(1)(c) Factor and the phase of the Project during which the effect is predicted to occur. Where the Project will also result in beneficial effects; these are summarized in the table. These are generally consistent with the characterizations used throughout the Final EIS/EA upon which the determinations were made in the body of Appendix O in the Final EIS/EA.





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

## Table 1-5: Summary of Section 5(1)(c) Factors and Key Topics, Mitigation Measures, Residual Effects and Significance

|  |   | Acti         | vity                  |         | Residual Eff   | ect  |   |   |   |   |  |
|--|---|--------------|-----------------------|---------|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| Section<br>5(1)(c)<br>Factors and<br>Key Topics              | Mitigation Measures   | Construction | Operation             | Closure | Direction  | Magnitude  | Geographic<br>Extent  | Timing  | Frequency   | Duration  |  |
| Section 5(1)(c)  | Factor: Aboriginal Health Conditions  |              |                       |         |  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Change in Air<br>Quality                                     | Construction, Operation, and Closure:   | ✓            | <ul> <li>✓</li> </ul> | ✓       |  |  |   |   | Multiple  |   | Γ  |
|  | A number of mitigation measures have<br>already been incorporated in the<br>Desired to a limit and a sure share.  |              |                       |         | Adverse  | Low  | LAA   | N/A   | irregular<br>event -<br>Continuous  | Long-<br>Term   |  |
|  | environmental effects of the Project<br>which also serve to address human<br>health effects.  |              |                       |         | <b>Change in</b><br>The Project  | Air Quality<br>'s contributions t  | to the concentration  | s of PoPC at loca   | tions used by Abc   | original people   | for  |
|  | <ul> <li>These mitigation measures include, but<br/>are not limited to, the use of dust<br/>suppressants, dust collectors and<br/>protective covers, a Water<br/>Management and Monitoring Plan<br/>(Section 9 of Appendix O), Soil<br/>Management Plan and progressive<br/>rehabilitation.</li> </ul>                  |              |                       |         | Changes in<br>during ope   | a air quality will a rations.  | occur as irregular eve  | nts depending or  | the scheduling of   | of construction/  | act  |
|  | The mitigation measures to reduce air<br>emissions and dust deposition are<br>described in detail under the atmospheric<br>environment section of Appendix O Table<br>6-1.  |              |                       |         |  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Change in<br>Quality and<br>Availability of<br>Country Foods | <ul><li>Construction, Operation and Closure:</li><li>Mitigation measures to control</li></ul>   | ~            | ~                     | ~       | Adverse  | Moderate   | LAA   | N/A   | Continuous  | Long-<br>Term   |  |
|  | discharges into both surface water<br>and groundwater are described in<br>detail under the surface water section<br>of Appendix O Table 6-1. Mitigation<br>measures related to vegetation, fish<br>and fish habitat including the<br>Offsetting Plan, wildlife habitat,<br>mortality risk, and movement of<br>wildlife. |              |                       |         | Change in<br>Changes in<br>ingestion of<br>chemicals)<br>metal conc<br>It is anticipo<br>change mo | Quality and Ave<br>total ingestion of<br>country foods.<br>are unlikely to re<br>centration perme<br>ated that large r | silability of Country For<br>exposures were found<br>The effect has been<br>eturn to baseline cor<br>anent. Residual effect<br>mammals could avoi<br>bility to undertake so | d to represent a r<br>characterized as<br>iditions. For exam<br>its on quality of co<br>d the PDA. This m<br>me TLRU activities | l<br>irreversible human<br>ple, once metals<br>ountry foods has k<br>ay affect the locc<br>s within a limited p | health risk, and<br>ange in quality<br>are deposited t<br>been character<br>al distribution of<br>portion of the LA | Un<br>of c<br>to s<br>rize<br>f wil<br>AA. |
|  | A number of mitigation measures have<br>already been incorporated in the<br>Project to eliminate or reduce<br>environmental effects of the Project<br>which also serve to address human   |              |                       |         | anticipated<br>in magnitud<br>and the wid  | de and limited to<br>de RAA.   | e tollowing project cl<br>o the LAA. The Proje  | osure. As a result<br>ct is not expected  | , this residual effec<br>d to limit the abur  | et on availabilit   | y o<br>htry                                |



| Reversibility  | Ecological and<br>Socio-<br>Economic<br>Context  | Significance   |
|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |
| Reversible   | Atypical   | Not<br>significant   |
| or TLRU are predi<br>esult in potentia<br>ctive closure ac   | cted to result in concentrat<br>I health risks that are negligi<br>tivities and take place cont  | ions below<br>ble.<br>tinuously  |
| Reversible<br>to<br>Irreversible   | Atypical   | Not<br>significant   |
| inacceptable h<br>f country foods<br>soil they will no<br>red as low magr<br>vildlife within the<br>A. Effects on ave<br>of country food<br>ry foods for Abo | ealth risks are not expected<br>(i.e., exposures to Project-re<br>t be removed, making the in<br>hitude and limited to the LA<br>e LAA, including hunted spe<br>allability of country foods are<br>s has been characterized a<br>riginal harvesters within mos | for the<br>lated<br>ncrease in<br>A.<br>cies. This<br>e<br>s moderate<br>st of the LAA |

# 

Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

|   |   | Activ        | vity      |         | Residual Eff | ect       |                      |        |           |          |
|---|---|--------------|-----------|---------|--------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|-----------|----------|
| Section<br>5(1)(c)<br>Factors and<br>Key Topics | Mitigation Measures   | Construction | Operation | Closure | Direction    | Magnitude | Geographic<br>Extent | Timing | Frequency | Duration |
|   | health effects.   |              |           |         |              |           |                      | •      |           |          |
|   | <ul> <li>These mitigation measures include, but<br/>are not limited to, the use of dust<br/>suppressants, dust collectors and<br/>protective covers, a Water<br/>Management and Monitoring Plan, Soil<br/>Management Plan and progressive<br/>rehabilitation (Section 9 of Appendix<br/>O).</li> <li>The mitigation measures to reduce air</li> </ul> |              |           |         |              |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | emissions and dust deposition are<br>described in detail under the<br>atmospheric environment section of<br>Appendix O Table 6-1.   |              |           |         |              |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | <ul> <li>Mitigation measures to control<br/>discharges into both surface water<br/>and groundwater are described in<br/>detail under the surface water section<br/>of Appendix O Table 6-1.</li> </ul>  |              |           |         |              |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | Construction:   |              |           |         |              |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | <ul> <li>Where there is interest, provide<br/>opportunities to local communities for<br/>harvesting of plants for traditional<br/>purposes prior to construction.</li> </ul>  |              |           |         |              |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | Avoid the use of chemical herbicides.   |              |           |         |              |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | Operation:  |              |           |         |              |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | Avoid the use of chemical herbicides.   |              |           |         |              |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | Closure:  |              |           |         |              |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | Incorporate plant species of interest to<br>Aboriginal communities into the Closure<br>Plan as feasible.  |              |           |         |              |           |                      |        |           |          |







Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

|   |   | Activ        | vity      | 1       | Residual Eff   | ect  | 1   |  | -  | _   |                             |
|---|---|--------------|-----------|---------|--|--|---|--|--|---|-----------------------------|
| Section<br>5(1)(c)<br>Factors and<br>Key Topics       | Mitigation Measures   | Construction | Operation | Closure | Direction  | Magnitude  | Geographic<br>Extent  | Timing   | Frequency  | Duration  |                             |
| Change in<br>Drinking Water<br>Quality or<br>Quantity | <ul> <li>A number of mitigation measures have<br/>already been incorporated in the<br/>Project to eliminate or reduce<br/>environmental effects of the Project<br/>which also serve to address human<br/>health effects.</li> <li>Mitigation measures to control<br/>discharges into both surface water<br/>and groundwater are described in<br/>detail under the surface water section<br/>of Appendix O Table 6-1.</li> </ul>   | Ý            | Ý         | ×       | Adverse<br>Change in<br>In the HHER<br>analyzed p<br>portion of t<br>the remain<br>conditions,<br>negligible h | Low<br>drinking water q<br>2A, Aboriginal/Hig<br>otential human l<br>he historical Mac<br>ing historical Mac<br>in particular for<br>human health risk | LAA<br>uality or quantity<br>gh Use Receptors we<br>health risks associate<br>cLeod and Hardrock<br>cLeod tailings to red<br>arsenic. Overall expo<br>k for Aboriginal peop | N/A<br>ere assumed to ok<br>d with exposures<br>tailings to the ne<br>uce seepage are<br>osures to metals the<br>le. | Continuous<br>otain their drinking<br>to metals in drink<br>w TMF, implemen<br>expected to imp<br>prough the consu | Long-<br>Term<br>g water from Ke<br>ing water. Proje<br>ting enhanced<br>prove overall wa<br>mption of wate | enoc<br>ect-l<br>co<br>ater |
| Change in Noise<br>of Vibration<br>Exposure           | <ul> <li>Construction, Operation, and Closure:</li> <li>Noise mitigation measures (e.g., muffler systems) will be installed on construction and other mobile equipment and equipment will be properly maintained.</li> <li>Operation:</li> <li>Select equipment and/or design acoustical enclosures to limit overall noise emissions.</li> <li>Limits on the overall noise emissions transferring through doors for building enclosures.</li> <li>Air inlet and discharge silencers for exhaust stacks associated with diesel or natural gas-fueled generators.</li> <li>Construction and Operation:</li> <li>Preliminary blast design meets the MOECC's criteria and all blasting will occur during the daytime as required by MOECC Guideline NPC 119.</li> </ul> |              |           |         | Adverse<br>Change in<br>The noise a<br>within appl<br>from the Pro   | Low<br>noise or vibration<br>nd vibration leve<br>icable guidelines<br>oject.  | LAA<br>n exposure<br>els at points of interes<br>s. No residual effect:   | N/A<br>st (i.e., special rec<br>s on health condi  | Continuous<br>ceptors representi<br>tions for Aborigina  | Medium-<br>term   | ≥ Ak<br>ntic                |



| Reversibility   | Ecological and<br>Socio-<br>Economic<br>Context  | Significance  |
|---|--|---|
| Irreversible  | Atypical   | Not<br>significant  |
| nogamisis Lake th<br>ct-related rehab<br>cover, stability m<br>ter quality in Ken<br>from Kenogamis | nree days a week. The asse<br>ilitation measures, such as re<br>easures, and seepage colle<br>logamisis Lake compared to<br>sis Lake is predicted to repre | ssment<br>Hocating a<br>Action for<br>Dexisting<br>Action for<br>Desent a |
| Reversible  | Typical  | Not<br>significant  |
| Aboriginal TLRU t   | takes place) are anticipate<br>exposure to noise or vibrat   | d to be<br>ion releases   |



Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

|   |   | Activ                 | /ity      |          | Residual Effe   | ect  |   | -  | -  | _   |                                 |
|---|---|-----------------------|-----------|----------|---|--|---|--|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Section<br>5(1)(c)<br>Factors and<br>Key Topics | Mitigation Measures   | Construction          | Operation | Closure  | Direction   | Magnitude  | Geographic<br>Extent  | Timing   | Frequency  | Durațion  |                                 |
| Change in<br>Wellbeing<br>(Indirect Effects     | Construction, Operation, and Closure:<br>• The possibility of encountering residual   | <b>√</b>              | ✓         | <b>√</b> | Adverse   | Low  | RAA   | N/A  | Continuous   | Long-<br>term   |                                 |
| on Aboriginal<br>Health<br>Conditions)          | Project effects that would change well-<br>being will be reduced through careful<br>Project design and application of<br>mitigation measures presented in   |                       |           |          | <b>Change in N</b><br>Given the p   | <b>Well-being</b><br>predicted magn  | itude, duration and s   | ignificance of res   | idual effects on r   | elated tangible   | e va                            |
|   | Section 6 of Appendix O.<br>As tangible and intangible values are<br>often connected, mitigation measures<br>aimed at avoiding or reducing effects to<br>tangible values could also help to avoid or<br>reduce effects to intangible values related<br>to well-being.                                 |                       |           |          | land-based<br>a long-term<br>related to h<br>air quality, r<br>country foo              | activities and t<br>a dverse chan<br>istorical tailings<br>noise or vibration<br>ds are characte                   | he quality and quant<br>ge although it would<br>and measures impler<br>n exposure, and avai<br>erized as irreversible.                      | ity of water, tradi<br>be low in magnit<br>mented by GGM<br>lability of country                      | tional foods and<br>ude and not sign<br>to support cultur<br>foods are chara                     | medicines the o<br>ificant and doe<br>al initiatives. We<br>cterized as reve      | asse<br>is no<br>ill-b<br>irsib |
| Section 5(1)(c)                                 | Factor: Aboriginal Socio-Economic Conditions  | <u> </u>              |           |          |   |  |   |  |  |   |                                 |
| Change in Use<br>of Navigable                   | Construction, Operation and Closure:  | <ul> <li>✓</li> </ul> | ✓         | ~        | Adverse   | Low  | LAA   | Applicable   | Continuous   | Long-<br>term   | Γ                               |
| Waters  | <ul> <li>Use established watercourse crossings<br/>and avoid obstructions to navigation.</li> </ul>   |                       |           |          | Change in l   | Jse of Navigabl  | e Waters  |  |  |   | <u> </u>                        |
|   | <ul> <li>Implementation of mitigation outlined<br/>for surface water (Appendix O Table 6-<br/>1), specifically those related to surface<br/>water quantity.</li> <li>Construction:</li> </ul>   |                       |           |          | No informat<br>associated<br>been assum<br>Goldfield Lo<br>be perman                    | ion exists to sug<br>with golf course<br>ned. Based on th<br>ake and the Sou<br>ent.                               | gest that watercours<br>drainage and ephe<br>his conservative assu<br>uthwest Arm of Kenog  | es within the PDA<br>meral areas. How<br>mption, Aborigina<br>gamisis Lake. Resia                    | are currently be<br>vever, for the purp<br>al peoples may b<br>dual effects are c                | ng used by Abo<br>pose of the asse<br>e inconvenienc<br>haracterized a            | orig<br>essn<br>ed<br>s irre    |
|   | Construction activities will be undertaken<br>in a way to prevent debris from flowing<br>into a navigable waterbody.  |                       |           |          |   |  |   |  |  |   |                                 |
| Changes in<br>Forestry and                      | Construction, Operation, and Closure:   | ~                     | ✓         | ✓        | Adverse   | Low  | PDA   | N/A  | Single Event   | Long-<br>Term   |                                 |
| Logging<br>Operations                           | <ul> <li>Implementation of mitigation outlined<br/>for fish and fish habitat (Section 5.5 of<br/>Appendix O) and wildlife and wildlife<br/>habitat (Section 5.7 of Appendix O).</li> <li>Initiate revegetation as soon as<br/>practical after Project components are<br/>no longer needed.</li> </ul> |                       |           |          | Change in I<br>Harvested t<br>of the forest<br>that is opera<br>GGM to ma<br>the remova | Forestry and Log<br>imber will be uti<br>ted land within<br>ated by a Board<br>anage harvestin<br>L of timber wher | gging Operations<br>ilized by consumers w<br>the Kenogamisis FMU<br>d of Directors which ir<br>ig in the overlapping<br>re the PDA and Keno | ith allocations of<br>. Through discussi<br>ncludes GFN, LLFN<br>area between th<br>aamisis FMU over | wood from the P<br>ons with Ne-Daa<br>I, AFN, CLFN, PPF<br>e Kenogamisis FN<br>lap will be permo | DA. The loss of t<br>Kii-Me-Naan Ind<br>N and AZA) GG<br>1U and the PDA<br>Inent. | timt<br>c. ((<br>3M v<br>4.     |



| Reversibility  | Ecological and<br>Socio-<br>Economic<br>Context  | Significance   |
|--|--|--|
| Reversible<br>to<br>Irreversible   | Atypical   | Not<br>Significant   |
| alues associate<br>sessment has co<br>not account fo<br>being includes<br>ible whereas ef                      | d with well-being (including<br>oncluded that the Project m<br>r certain water quality impro<br>multiple components, effec<br>fects to water quality and c                 | changes in<br>hay result in<br>ovements<br>ts relate to<br>quality of                  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Irreversible   | Moderate diversity/<br>capacity/ availability  | Not<br>significant   |
| Irreversible<br>ginal peoples for<br>sment, use of th<br>d by the chang<br>rreversible as the                  | Moderate diversity/<br>capacity/ availability<br>or navigation and most area<br>e watercourses within the Pl<br>e in the navigation route be<br>e change to the navigation | Not<br>significant<br>as are<br>DA has<br>stween<br>route will                         |
| Irreversible<br>ginal peoples for<br>sment, use of the<br>d by the chang<br>rreversible as the<br>Irreversible | Moderate diversity/<br>capacity/ availability  | Not<br>significant<br>as are<br>DA has<br>etween<br>a route will<br>Not<br>significant |



Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

|   |   | Activity     |           |         | Residual Effe | ect       |                      |        |           |          |
|---|---|--------------|-----------|---------|---------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|-----------|----------|
| Section<br>5(1)(c)<br>Factors and<br>Key Topics | Mitigation Measures   | Construction | Operation | Closure | Direction     | Magnitude | Geographic<br>Extent | Timing | Frequency | Duration |
|   | Construction:   |              |           |         |               |           |                      | I      | I         |          |
|   | <ul> <li>GGM has consulted with the MNRF and the enhanced Forest Resource Licence holder to address, to the extent possible, access to the PDA and the Crown timber allocated within the Forestry Management Unit(FMU) that will be removed as part of site preparation, and long-term changes in the forest land base. GGM will continue discussions with Ne-Daa-Kii-Me-Naan Inc. to obtain an Overlapping Agreement and to harvest the trees under their pulp mill license.</li> <li>Where possible in accessible areas (e.g., along cleared right-of-ways), leave trees and other vegetation in place to buffer the view of Project components, reducing the change in viewshed and muffling nuisance noise.</li> <li>Site the majority of Project components</li> </ul> |              |           |         |               |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | so as to achieve a 120 m setback for<br>the surface rights reservation area on<br>claim to lease lands and a 30 m high<br>water mark setback for patent lands;<br>existing vegetation will remain in these<br>areas.  |              |           |         |               |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | Operation:  |              |           |         |               |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | • Where possible in accessible areas<br>(e.g., along cleared right-of-ways),<br>leave trees and other vegetation in<br>place to buffer the view of Project<br>components, reducing the change in<br>viewshed and muffling nuisance noise.   |              |           |         |               |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | Closure:  |              |           |         |               |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | Rehabilitation will be designed to meet<br>desired end land uses, end land uses will<br>be identified in the Closure Plan, in   |              |           |         |               |           |                      |        |           |          |







Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

|  |   | Activity Residual Effect |           |          |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |
|--|---|--------------------------|-----------|----------|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Section<br>5(1)(c)<br>Factors and<br>Key Topics                          | Mitigation Measures   | Construction             | Operation | Closure  | Direction  | Magnitude   | Geographic<br>Extent   | Timing  | Frequency  | Duration   | Reversibility   | Ecological and<br>Socio-<br>Economic<br>Context   | Significance   |
|  | consultation with agencies, stakeholders<br>and Aboriginal communities, as the<br>Project progresses.   |                          |           |          |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |
| Change in<br>Commercial<br>Fishing,                                      | <ul> <li>Construction, Operation, and Closure:</li> <li>Implementation of mitigation outlined</li> </ul>  | ~                        | <b>v</b>  | <b>√</b> | Adverse  | Moderate  | LAA  | Applicable  | Continuous   | Long-<br>term  | Reversible  | Moderate diversity/<br>capacity/ availability   | Not<br>significant   |
| Hunting,<br>Trapping,<br>Gathering and<br>Guide Outfitting<br>Activities | <ul> <li>Implementation of mitigation outlined<br/>for atmospheric environment, acoustic<br/>environment, fish and fish habitat, and<br/>wildlife and wildlife habitat as identified<br/>in Table 6-1 of Appendix O.</li> <li>Initiate revegetation as soon as<br/>practical after Project components are<br/>no longer needed.</li> <li>Maintain access to mining claims<br/>located on the peninsula east of the<br/>PDA.</li> <li>GGM will continue discussions<br/>regarding accommodation for the lost<br/>trapping area associated with<br/>GE021 and trapping on GGM's<br/>patented lands prior to the start of<br/>construction and where there is<br/>currently little activity.</li> <li>Construction:</li> <li>Where possible in accessible areas<br/>(e.g., along cleared right-of-ways),<br/>leave trees and other vegetation in<br/>place to buffer the view of Project<br/>components, reducing the change in<br/>viewshed and muffling nuisance noise.</li> <li>Remove construction-related buildings,<br/>access roads and laydown areas<br/>following construction.</li> <li>Where possible in accessible areas<br/>(e.g., along cleared right-of-ways),<br/>leave trees and other vegetation in<br/>place to buffer the view of Project<br/>components, reducing the change in<br/>viewshed and muffling nuisance noise.</li> <li>Remove construction-related buildings,<br/>access roads and laydown areas<br/>following construction.</li> </ul> |                          |           |          | Change in<br>The remove<br>which is hel<br>the Project<br>trapline are<br>Restricted of<br>was not dis<br>expected t | Commercial Fish<br>al of wildlife habi<br>d by a member<br>may cause sens<br>as GE021(AZA) of<br>access to or loss<br>closed). Given th<br>o substantially re | ing, Hunting, Trappir<br>tat and the impositic<br>of AZA, however GC<br>ory disturbance and<br>and traplines GE009,<br>of 141 ha of bait har<br>he level of fishing ac<br>educe the area avail | ng, Gathering and<br>on of access restric<br>SM has worked w<br>disruption of loca<br>GE023 and GE03<br>vesting area NI50<br>tivity carried out in<br>able for commer | d Guide Outfitting<br>ictions at the start<br>vith this trapper on<br>al wildlife moveme<br>34 (LLFN).<br>035 are anticipate<br>in the PDA and the<br>rcial bait harvestin | Activities<br>of constructior<br>accommodat<br>ent patterns the<br>d (the Aborigin<br>e other potenti<br>ig. | n will result in the k<br>ion. The construct<br>ereby reducing th<br>nal communities w<br>al fishing areas wi | oss of areas for trapline area<br>ion, operation and active o<br>e availability of wildlife reso<br>ith which the tenure holder<br>thin NI5035, this loss of acce | as GE021<br>closure of<br>ources within<br>is affiliated<br>ess is not |
|  | ¥   |                          |           |          |  |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

|   |  | Activ        | Activity Residual Effect |         |  |  |  |  |   |               |  |   |                    |
|---|--|--------------|--------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|---|---------------|--|---|--------------------|
| Section<br>5(1)(c)<br>Factors and<br>Key Topics | Mitigation Measures  | Construction | Operation                | Closure | Direction  | Magnitude  | Geographic<br>Extent   | Timing   | Frequency   | Duration      | Reversibility  | Ecological and<br>Socio-<br>Economic<br>Context   | Significance       |
|   | <ul> <li>place to buffer the view of Project<br/>components, reducing the change in<br/>viewshed and muffling nuisance noise.</li> <li>Implement progressive rehabilitation<br/>works, including stabilization and<br/>rehabilitation of aggregate source<br/>areas, the north cell of the TMF,<br/>plateaus and benches of WRSAs A, B,<br/>and C and the overburden storage<br/>areas.</li> <li>Closure:<br/>Rehabilitation will be designed to meet<br/>desired end land uses, end land uses will<br/>be identified in the Closure Plan, in<br/>consultation with agencies, stakeholders<br/>and Aboriginal communities, as the<br/>Project progresses.</li> </ul>   |              |                          |         |  |  |  |  |   |               |  |   |                    |
| Change in<br>Recreation                         | <ul> <li>Construction, Operation, and Closure:</li> <li>GGM is committed to maintaining<br/>alternate access within the PDA to the<br/>Southwest Arm of Kenogamisis Lake<br/>during construction and operation.</li> <li>Implementation of mitigation outlined<br/>for fish and fish habitat (Section 5.5 of<br/>Appendix O) and wildlife and wildlife<br/>habitat (Section 5.7 of Appendix O).</li> <li>The mitigation measures to reduce<br/>changes to recreational land and<br/>resource use are described in detail<br/>under the Land and Resource section<br/>of Appendix O Table 6-1</li> <li>Initiate revegetation as soon as<br/>practical after Project components are<br/>no longer needed.</li> </ul> | ~            | ✓                        | ✓       | Adverse<br>Change in<br>Lahtis Road<br>route. Crov<br>is anticipat | Moderate<br>Recreation<br>d will be closed of<br>which have a construction<br>and recreation<br>and recreation<br>and to be re-ope | LAA<br>during construction of<br>onal areas, including<br>ned to the Goldfield | N/A<br>and operation due<br>the campsite and<br>Creek diversion. ( | Continuous<br>e to safety reasons<br>d two access poir<br>GGM will maintair | Long-<br>term | Reversible<br>revent access to<br>hisis Lake will also<br>cess to the Southw | Moderate diversity/<br>capacity/ availability<br>areas southwest of the PDA<br>be inaccessible. At closure<br>vest Arm of Kenogamisis Lak | Not<br>significant |
|   | Where possible in accessible areas   |              |                          |         |  |  |  |  |   |               |  |   |                    |





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

|   |  |   | Activity     |           | Residual Eff | ect       | -         |                      |        |           |          |
|---|--|---|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|--------|-----------|----------|
| Section<br>5(1)(c)<br>Factors and<br>Key Topics | asures   |   | Construction | Operation | Closure      | Direction | Magnitude | Geographic<br>Extent | Timing | Frequency | Duration |
|   | cleared rights-of-way),<br>and other vegetation in<br>iffer the view of Project<br>ts, reducing the change in<br>nd muffling nuisance noise.   | way),<br>tion in<br>oject<br>1ange in<br>ce noise.  |              |           |              |           |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | jority of Project components<br>nieve a 120 m setback for<br>rights reservation area on<br>use lands and a 30 m high<br>setback for patent lands;<br>getation will remain in these   | mponents<br>ack for<br>area on<br>m high<br>it lands;<br>n in these                           |              |           |              |           |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | nstruction-related buildings,<br>ds and laydown areas<br>onstruction.  | buildings,<br>eas   |              |           |              |           |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   |  |   |              |           |              |           |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | ible in accessible areas<br>cleared right-of-ways),<br>and other vegetation in<br>offer the view of Project<br>ts, reducing the change in<br>nd muffling nuisance noise.   | areas<br>rays),<br>tion in<br>oject<br>nange in<br>ce noise.                                  |              |           |              |           |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   |  |   |              |           |              |           |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | will be designed to meet<br>nd uses, end land uses will<br>n the Closure Plan, in<br>ith agencies, stakeholders<br>I communities, as the<br>sses.  | > meet<br>uses will<br>in<br>≯holders<br>'he  |              |           |              |           |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | yetation will remain in these<br>enstruction-related buildings,<br>ds and laydown areas<br>construction.<br>ible in accessible areas<br>a cleared right-of-ways),<br>and other vegetation in<br>offer the view of Project<br>ts, reducing the change in<br>nd muffling nuisance noise.<br>will be designed to meet<br>nd uses, end land uses will<br>on the Closure Plan, in<br>ith agencies, stakeholders<br>I communities, as the<br>sses. | in these<br>buildings,<br>eas<br>areas<br>(ays),<br>tion in<br>bject<br>lange in<br>ce noise. |              |           |              |           |           |                      |        |           |          |







Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

#### **Residual Effect** Activity Section 5(1)(c) Construction **Mitigation Measures** Operation gnitude Factors and eograph tent Duration Direction Closure **Key Topics** liming ъ С В Change in $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ ~ Construction and Operation: Labour and Positive N/A Moderate LAA / RAA Continuous Long term Economy • Posting job qualifications and identifying available training programs and providers so that local and Change in Labour and Economy Aboriginal residents can acquire the The overall residual effects are positive given the effects of Project expenditures, and the consequen necessary skills and qualify for potential incomes and reductions in the unemployment rate. While Project closure will result in adverse effects employment. training and employment during Project operation are highly transferable and GGM will work with af Working with local and Aboriginal for addressing economic implications of Project closure. Effects associated with employment and Pr businesses to enhance the opportunity associated with the loss of employment and expenditures at the end of operations will be irreversible to participate in the supply of goods and services for construction and operation. Working with local communities to develop training programs oriented to operational needs. Implement the Project's labour and ٠ training framework, which includes partnerships with Aboriginal communities and education institutes, information sharing (e.g., skills databases) and employment preparation and training. GGM will continue discussions with Ne-• Daa-Kii-Me-Naan Inc. to obtain an Overlapping Agreement and to harvest the trees under their pulp mill license. Closure: GGM will work with the affected Aboriginal communities to develop a strategy for addressing economic implications of Project closure. This will inform local and regional businesses about the Project's final closure in a timely manner that enable them to respond appropriately to reduce potential adverse effects.



| Reversibility  | Ecological and<br>Socio-<br>Economic<br>Context  | Significance  |
|--|--|---|
| Irreversible<br>to<br>Reversible   | Moderate diversity/<br>capacity/availability   | Not<br>Significant                                  |
| nt increases in<br>s on labour and<br>ffected Aborig<br>roject expendi<br>e. | the size of the labour force,<br>d businesses, the skills acqui<br>jinal communities to develo<br>tures will be reversible while | household<br>red through<br>p a strategy<br>effects |
|  |  |   |



Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

|   |   | Acti                  | vity      | -       | Residual Effect  |   |  |  |  |   |                                      |  |
|---|---|-----------------------|-----------|---------|--|---|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Section<br>5(1)(c)<br>Factors and<br>Key Topics | Mitigation Measures   | Construction          | Operation | Closure | Direction  | Magnitude   | Geographic<br>Extent   | Timing   | Frequency  | Duration  |                                      |  |
| Change in<br>Community<br>Services              | <ul> <li>Establish a skills inventory that should be retained for active closure.</li> <li>Support re-training to establish transferable skills.</li> <li>Provide opportunities for voluntary redundancies during ramp-down.</li> <li>Provide redundancy payments.</li> <li>Provide job search assistance.</li> </ul> Construction: <ul> <li>A temporary camp will be in place for</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>✓</li> </ul> | V         | ✓       | Adverse  | Low   | LAA/RAA  | N/A  | Continuous   | Medium-<br>term   |                                      |  |
|   | <ul> <li>construction, and potentially early operation, when some construction activities may be ongoing.</li> <li>Construction, Operation, Closure:</li> <li>GGM will maintain communication with relevant agencies and organizations, including municipal authorities, health agencies and school boards, to provide Project information, to identify and address potential Project-related implications for services and infrastructure, and to support responsible organizations in planning for, adapting to, or benefitting from changing demand as a result of the Project.</li> <li>GGM will offer its employees an Employee Assistance Program, and require pre-employment physicals. Workforce education to encourage healthy lifestyle choices, sensitivity training and strict enforcement of GGM's health and safety policies will also help mitigate adverse social effects. For example, sensitivity training will raise the level of awareness about</li> </ul> |                       |           |         | Change in<br>The residuc<br>constructio<br>Course and<br>communitie<br>Project-relo<br>The Municip<br>and April 19 | Community Serv<br>Il effects are ant<br>n and operation<br>d the Geraldton<br>es.<br>Ited effects are<br>pality of Greenst<br>9,2018 noting the | <b>rices</b><br>icipated to be at - on<br>n workers (and their fo<br>Community Center of<br>not expected to inte<br>rone has confirmed to<br>at predicted increase | r near to - baselin<br>amily members) v<br>as well as health s<br>ract with on-reser<br>neir support for G<br>es in the tax base | e conditions follow<br>vill place addition<br>ervices such as th<br>ve services such a<br>reenstone Gold N<br>may result in impl | wing implemen<br>al demands on<br>e Geraldton Di<br>as housing, poli<br>1 nes - Hardrock<br>rovements to co | tc<br>i tl<br>str<br>ce<br>c F<br>or |  |







Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

|   |   | Activity     |           |         | Residual Effect |           |                      |        |           |          |
|---|---|--------------|-----------|---------|-----------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|-----------|----------|
| Section<br>5(1)(c)<br>Factors and<br>Key Topics | Mitigation Measures   | Construction | Operation | Closure | Direction       | Magnitude | Geographic<br>Extent | Timing | Frequency | Duration |
|   | the potential effects that workers can<br>have on the community and their<br>families through drug and alcohol use<br>or other social concerns.   |              |           |         |                 |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | <ul> <li>Demands on emergency response<br/>services will be managed by having<br/>Project rescue vehicles and trained First<br/>Responders at the worksite.</li> </ul>  |              |           |         |                 |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | • Demands on police services due to<br>Project activities will be managed by<br>controlling access to the mine site<br>through the use of a security gate and<br>guard house, and by employing onsite<br>security staff.                                    |              |           |         |                 |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | • Safety orientations will be mandatory<br>and provided for new employees. Fire<br>prevention and suppression systems will<br>be maintained onsite. Flammable<br>material (such as fuels and explosives)<br>will be carefully controlled within the<br>PDA. |              |           |         |                 |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | <ul> <li>GGM will consult with local emergency<br/>providers so that roles and<br/>responsibilities are understood, and the<br/>necessary resources are in place.</li> </ul>  |              |           |         |                 |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | • Project planning and management<br>strategies, including in-design<br>mitigation measures and<br>environmental protection measures, will<br>reduce the likelihood of accidents and<br>potential fires to as low a level as is<br>reasonably practical.    |              |           |         |                 |           |                      |        |           |          |
|   | <ul> <li>GGM will provide Project information to<br/>the Municipality and local service<br/>providers to prepare for increased<br/>waste, water, or sewer infrastructure<br/>demand.</li> <li>Implementation of a Waste</li> </ul>                          |              |           |         |                 |           |                      |        |           |          |







Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

|   |   | Activ        | vity      |  | Residual Effect   |  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
|---|---|--------------|-----------|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| Section<br>5(1)(c)<br>Factors and<br>Key Topics   | Mitigation Measures   | Construction | Operation | Closure  | Direction   | Magnitude  | Geographic<br>Extent   | Timing  | Frequency   | Duration  |   |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Management Plan and a third-party contractor will be used for sewage disposal until the sewage discharge line is active.</li> <li>Implement a Traffic Management Plan</li> </ul> |              |           |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Section 5(1)(c)   | Factor: Aboriginal Physical and Cultural Heritage   |              |           |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |   |  |
| Changes to<br>Archaeological  | Construction and Operation:   | ~            |           |  | Adverse   | Moderate   | LAA  | N/A   | Continuous  | Medium-<br>term   |   |  |
| Resources   | changes to Archaeological Resources are<br>described in detail under Heritage<br>Resources section of Appendix O Table 6-1  |              |           |  | Changes to<br>With the pro  | Archaeologica  | I <b>Resources</b><br>on measures, no resic  | dual effects on an  | chaeological reso   | burces for all ph   | nase  |  |
| Changes to<br>Cultural and<br>Spiritual Use   | Construction, Operation and Closure:  | <b>√</b>     | ~         | <b>√</b>   | Adverse   | Moderate   | LAA  | N/A   | Continuous  | Medium-<br>term   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>Construction, Operation and Closure:</li> <li>Detailed recording and mapping of spiritual or cultural sites in partnership with Aboriginal community representatives, a decision is then made about the relative importance of the site and, if warranted, how to maintain and control access.</li> <li>Construction:</li> </ul> |   |              |           | Change in a<br>The develop<br>practices re<br>will maintain<br>will be rema<br>and areas a<br>spiritual site | availability of or<br>oment in the PD<br>elative to baselir<br>n alternate acco<br>oved following p<br>and as a result h<br>s or areas are vo | access to cultural or<br>A and access restric<br>ne conditions. Patterr<br>ess to the Southwest<br>project closure. The r<br>ave been character<br>alued and important | r <b>spiritual practice</b><br>tions during const<br>ns of access to cu<br>Arm of Kenogam<br>esidual environm<br>ized as moderate<br>to Aboriginal cor                     | es, sites, or areas<br>inuction, operation<br>ultural practice an<br>isis Lake. Residual<br>ental effect will al<br>in magnitude. Th<br>mmunities.          | n and active cl<br>eas in the LAA r<br>effects are cho<br>ter but not elim<br>ne ecological c   | osu<br>ma'<br>ara'<br>nina  |   |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Where there is interest, provide<br/>opportunities to local communities for<br/>harvesting of plants for traditional</li> </ul>  | <b>√</b>     | ✓         | <b>√</b>   | Adverse   | High   | PDA  | N/A   | Single event  | Long-<br>term   |   |  |
|   | <ul> <li>purposes prior to construction.</li> <li>Through Project design the length and<br/>location of roads have been<br/>considered in order to reduce potential</li> </ul>            |              |           |  | <b>Removal of</b><br>Within the F<br>mitigated b  | <b>cultural practic</b><br>2DA, some cultur<br>by the measures   | e sites<br>ral practice sites, cor<br>proposed by GGM;   | nsisting of hunting<br>for example, GG  | , trapping, camp<br>M and LLFN have   | ng or plant pic<br>agreed upon c  | kinç<br>a pl                                |  |
|   | <ul> <li>A Pipe Ceremony will be held prior to<br/>commencement of construction under<br/>the direction of local Aboriginal<br/>communities.</li> </ul>                                   |              |           |  | which will b<br>located wit<br>Chapter 18<br>These effec<br>(i.e., into the<br>Hunting, tro<br>circumstand                                    | e attected by th<br>hin the PDA. Bas<br>Traditional Lanc<br>Its have been ch<br>E LAA or RAA).<br>Ipping, camping<br>ce as the residue                                 | ne PDA. MNO reporte<br>sed on the layout of<br>d and Resource Use of<br>naracterized as high<br>The not significant fin<br>g or plant harvesting<br>al effects do not to e | ed cultural praction<br>the PDA, Mosher<br>of the Final EIS; the<br>in magnitude, ho<br>inding is appropria<br>locations are avections<br>extend to the LAA | ce sites located n<br>Lake will remain c<br>e information pres<br>wever it is importo<br>te in this circumst<br>illable in other are<br>or RAA. | ear Mosher Lak<br>accessible durin<br>sented in this Ac<br>ant to note that<br>ance as the res<br>eas outside the | te, N<br>Ig c<br>Ide<br>t efi<br>sidu<br>PD |  |



| Reversibility  | Ecological and<br>Socio-<br>Economic<br>Context  | Significance   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reversible   | Atypical   | Not<br>significant   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| es of the Project are anticipated.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reversible   | Atypical   | Not<br>significant   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| re will result in<br>y be altered b<br>cterized as rev<br>ite the ability to<br>socio-econon   | a decrease in areas for cult<br>y access restrictions to the F<br>rersible as access restriction<br>o use the LAA for cultural pr<br>hic context is atypical as cu | tural<br>PDA. GGM<br>s to the PDA<br>actices, sites<br>Itural or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irreversible   | Atypical   | Not<br>significant   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| g harvesting will be removed. This change can be<br>an to address concerns related to the four LLFN sites<br>which GGM has conservatively assumed may be<br>all phases of the Project. Effects were characterized in<br>endum is aligned with the findings of the Final EIS.<br>fects will not extend to sites located outside the PDA<br>al effects will be limited geographically to the PDA.<br>A. The not significant finding is appropriate in this |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

|   |   | Activity     |           |         | Residual Effect   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--------------|-----------|---------|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Section<br>5(1)(c)<br>Factors and<br>Key Topics   | Mitigation Measures   | Construction | Operation | Closure | Direction   | Magnitude   | Geographic<br>Extent  | Timing  | Frequency  | Duration   |  |  |
| Sensory<br>Disturbance  | Construction, Operation, Closure:   | <b>√</b>     | ~         | ~       | Adverse   | Low   | LAA   | N/A   | Continuous   | Long-<br>term  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Mitigation for potential effects from<br/>lighting in Section 7.3.3.3 in Appendix O</li> </ul>   |              |           |         | Sensory Dis   | turbance  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Mitigation for potential effects from<br/>noise and vibration described in<br/>Section 5.2 and 7.3.3.3 of Appendix O.</li> <li>Construction:</li> <li>Where possible in accessible areas<br/>(e.g., along cleared right-of-ways),<br/>leave trees and other vegetation in<br/>place to buffer the view of Project<br/>components, reducing the change in<br/>viewshed and muffling nuisance noise.</li> <li>Remove construction-related buildings,<br/>access roads and laydown areas<br/>following construction</li> <li>Mitigation for potential effects from<br/>lighting in Section 7.3.3 3 in Appendix O</li> </ul> |              |           |         | While senso<br>will be limite<br>increases. F<br>irreversible.<br>ambient lig           | bry disturbance of<br>ed primarily in a<br>Progressive rehat<br>The visual settin<br>hting, acoustics                     | turbance due to changes in air quality, ambient lighting, acoustics and vibration of<br>marily in areas located very near to the PDA and will decrease substantially or be<br>essive rehabilitation works will be implemented to mitigate effects on the WRSAs and the TMI<br>risual setting in the LAA will be permanently altered to varying degrees due to the<br>, acoustics and vibration will be reversible post closure. |   |  |  |  |  |
| Change in<br>Cultural Value<br>or Importance  | <ul> <li>Construction, Operation, and Closure:</li> <li>The possibility of encountering residual</li> </ul>   | <b>√</b>     | ✓         | ~       | Adverse   | Moderate  | LAA   | N/A   | Continuous   | Long-<br>term  |  |  |
| Associated with<br>Aboriginal   | Project effects that would change cultural value or importance  |              |           |         | Change in (   | L<br>Cultural Value a   | r Importance Associ   | ated with Aboriai   | nal Physical and (   | L<br>Cultural Heritaa  | Le                                     |  |
| Physical and<br>Cultural<br>Heritage<br>(Indirect Effects<br>on Physical<br>and Cultural<br>Heritage) | associated with cultural value or<br>importance associated with Aboriginal<br>physical and cultural heritage will be<br>reduced through careful Project design<br>and application of mitigation measures<br>presented in Section 6 of Appendix O.<br>As tangible and intangible values are<br>often connected, mitigation measures<br>aimed at avoiding or reducing effects to<br>tangible values could also help to avoid or<br>reduce effects to intangible values related<br>to well-being.  |              |           |         | While senso<br>will be limite<br>increases.<br>WRSAs and<br>availability<br>disturbance | ory disturbance of<br>ed primarily in a<br>Residual effects<br>TMF. Cultural Vo<br>of or access to<br>es are irreversible | due to changes in air<br>reas located very new<br>are characterized a:<br>alue or Importance c<br>cultural or spiritual pro<br>e  | quality, ambient<br>ar to the PDA and<br>s irreversible as th<br>issociated with a<br>actices, sites or a | e lighting, acoustic<br>d will decrease su<br>e visual setting in<br>boriginal physical<br>reas are reversible | es and vibration<br>obstantially or be<br>the LAA will be<br>and cultural he<br>and effects or | an<br>9 eli<br>9 pel<br>9 ritc<br>9 re |  |

## Table 1-5: Summary of Section 5(1)(c) Factors and Key Topics, Mitigation Measures, Residual Effects and Significance



| Reversibility  | Ecological and<br>Socio-<br>Economic<br>Context  | Significance   |
|--|--|--|
| Irreversible   | Typical  | Not<br>significant                                   |
| and changes in v<br>eliminated entire<br>:. Residual effec<br>WRSAs and TMF,                     | visual setting is predicted, d<br>ely as distance from the PD,<br>ts are characterized as reve<br>however changes to air qu                                    | isturbance<br>A boundary<br>ersible to<br>Jality,    |
| Reversible<br>to<br>Irreversible   | Atypical   | Not<br>Significant                                   |
| and changes in v<br>eliminated entire<br>permanently alte<br>tage includes m<br>removal of cultu | visual setting is predicted, d<br>ely as distance from the PD,<br>ered to varying degrees due<br>nultiple components, effects<br>iral practice sites and senso | isturbance<br>A boundary<br>to the<br>to n the<br>ry |

# 

Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

|   |  | Activity     |           |          | Residual Effect   |  |  |  |  |   |                             |  |
|---|--|--------------|-----------|----------|---|--|--|--|--|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Section<br>5(1)(c)<br>Factors and<br>Key Topics                               | Mitigation Measures  | Construction | Operation | Closure  | Direction   | Magnitude  | Geographic<br>Extent   | Timing   | Frequency  | Duration  |                             |  |
| Section 5(1)(c)   | Factor: Current Use  |              |           |          |   |  |  |  | •  |   |                             |  |
| Change to<br>Availability of  | Construction:  | ~            | ✓         | <b>√</b> | Adverse   | Moderate   | LAA  | N/A  | Continuous   | Long-<br>term   |                             |  |
| Plant Species<br>and Access to<br>Plant<br>Harvesting Sites<br>and Activities | <ul> <li>Mitigation for the potential effects from dust as identified in the atmospheric environment portion of Appendix O Table 6-1.</li> <li>Where there is interest, provide opportunities to local communities for harvesting of plants for traditional purposes prior to construction.</li> <li>Avoid the use of chemical herbicides.</li> <li>Construction and Operation:</li> <li>Mitigation for potential effects on groundwater, surface water, vegetation, land and resource use portions of Appendix O Table 6-1.</li> <li>Avoid the use of chemical herbicides.</li> <li>Closure:</li> <li>Incorporate plant species of interest to Aboriginal communities into the Closure Plan as feasible.</li> </ul> |              |           |          | Change to<br>The remove<br>harvesting s<br>are charac<br>inaccessible<br>harvesting s | Availability of pl<br>availability of pl<br>il of plant specie<br>ites are predicte<br>terized as irreven<br>e. Please see be<br>sites and activitie | ant species and acc<br>es of interest to Abori<br>ed to alter plant harv<br>rsible as during post-<br>elow for characteriza<br>es. | ess to plant harve<br>ginal communitie<br>resting activities ir<br>closure access to<br>tion of effects on | esting sites and a<br>s and plant harve<br>n the LAA without<br>the PDA will be p<br>intangible values | ctivities<br>esting sites withi<br>threatening th<br>partially restored<br>related to cha | in the loc<br>d wi          |  |
| Change to<br>Adaptability of  | Construction, Operation and Closure:   | ~            | ~         | ✓        | Adverse   | Low  | LAA  | N/A  | Continuous   | Medium-term   |                             |  |
| Fish Species<br>and Access to<br>Fishing Areas<br>and Activities              | <ul> <li>Mitigation for potential effects on fish<br/>and fish habitat as identified in portion<br/>of Appendix O Table 6-1 and the<br/>Offsetting Plan (Section 9 of Appendix<br/>O).</li> <li>Mitigation measures related to land<br/>and resource use described in the<br/>surface water section of Appendix O<br/>Table 6-1.</li> </ul>  |              |           |          | Change to<br>The remove<br>ability to fish<br>lost is comp<br>characteriz             | I<br>availability of fis<br>al of limited area<br>in since overall, t<br>rised of golf cou<br>ation of effects                                       | L<br>sh species and acces<br>as with fishing potent<br>here will be no net lo<br>urse pond and poor<br>on intangible values        | I<br>ial in the PDA and<br>oss of areas for fish<br>quality habitat sud<br>related to chang                | and activities<br>d reduced acces<br>ning as a result of<br>ch as roadside di<br>e to availability c   | I<br>s to areas for fis<br>the Project, and<br>tches and ephe<br>of fish species an       | ihing<br>d m<br>ame<br>nd ( |  |



| Reversibility  | Ecological and<br>Socio-<br>Economic<br>Context  | Significance                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irreversible   | Typical  | Not<br>significant                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| the PDA, and c<br>ong-term viabil<br>vith the open pi<br>ge to availability  | hanges in patterns of acces<br>ity of vegetation communiti<br>t, WRSA and TMF remaining<br>of plant species and acce | is to<br>es. Effects<br>ss to plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reversible   | Typical  | Not significant                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ng beyond the PDA is not predicted to reduce the<br>more than half of the fish habitat that will be altered or<br>neral drainage areas. Please see below for<br>access to fishing areas and activities |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

|  | Mitigation Measures   | Activity     |           | Residual Effect |  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--------------|-----------|-----------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Section<br>5(1)(c)<br>Factors and<br>Key Topics  |   | Construction | Operation | Closure         | Direction  | Magnitude  | Geographic<br>Extent   | Timing  | Frequency  | Duration   | Reversibility  | Ecological and<br>Socio-<br>Economic<br>Context  | Significance                             |
| Change to<br>Availability of   | Construction, Operation and Closure:  | $\checkmark$ | ✓         | ~               | Adverse  | Moderate   | LAA  | N/A   | Continuous   | Medium-term  | Irreversible   | Typical  | Not significant                          |
| <ul> <li>Hunted and<br/>Trapped</li> <li>Mitigation for potential effects on<br/>habitat, mortality risk, and movement<br/>of wildlife as identified in the wildlife<br/>portion of Appendix O Table 6-1.</li> <li>Mitigation measures related to land<br/>and resource use described in the<br/>surface water section of Appendix O<br/>Table 6-1.</li> <li>Implementation of EMMPs and<br/>Conceptual Closure Plan (Section 9 of<br/>Appendix O).</li> </ul> |   |              |           |                 | Change to availability of hunted and trapped species and access to hunting and trapping areas and activities<br>The removal of wildlife habitat, including hunting and trapping areas identified by Aboriginal communities within the PDA, and<br>access, is predicted to reduce but not eliminate opportunities for hunting and trapping relative to baseline conditions. The los<br>to affect the long-term persistence or viability of wildlife in the RAA or the LAA.<br>Effects on availability of hunted and trapped species are characterized as irreversible as the loss within the PDA is anticipated<br>may irreversibly change the distribution of local hunted and trapped species.<br>Please see below for characterization of effects on intangible values related to change to availability of hunted and trapped<br>hunting and trapping areas and activities.   |  |  |   |  |  | he PDA, and alteration of po<br>ons. The loss of habitat is no<br>anticipated to be permane<br>nd trapped species and ac | atterns of<br>of predicted<br>nt, and this<br>cess to  |  |
| Change to<br>Cultural or<br>Spiritual<br>Practices, Sites<br>or Areas  | <ul> <li>Construction, Operation and Closure:</li> <li>Detailed recording and mapping of spiritual or cultural sites in partnership with Aboriginal community representatives, a decision is then made about the relative importance of the site and, if warranted, how to maintain and control access.</li> <li>Construction:</li> </ul> | ~            | ✓         | ~               | Adverse  | Moderate   | LAA  | N/A   | Continuous   | Medium-<br>term  | Reversible   | Atypical   | Not<br>significant                       |
|  |   |              |           |                 | Change in a<br>The develop<br>practices re<br>PDA. Residu<br>environmer<br>characteriz<br>important to   | availability of or<br>pment in the PD,<br>elative to baselin<br>Jal effects are ch<br>ntal effect will alt<br>ed as moderate<br>o Aboriginal con | A and access restrict<br>access to cultural or<br>a conditions. Patterr<br>haracterized as rever<br>ter but not eliminate<br>in magnitude. The e<br>nmunities. | spiritual practice<br>ions during const<br>of access to cu<br>rsible as access re<br>the ability to use<br>cological and sc | es, sites or areas<br>truction, operatio<br>ultural or spiritual s<br>estrictions to the F<br>the LAA for cultur<br>ocio-economic co | n and active cluites, or areas in<br>PDA will be rema<br>ral and spiritual<br>pontext is atypico | boure will result in<br>the LAA may be<br>byed following pr<br>practices, sites an<br>a cultural or sp                   | a decrease in areas for cul<br>altered by access restriction<br>oject closure. The residual<br>nd areas and as a result hav<br>iritual sites or areas are valu | tural<br>ns to the<br>ve been<br>ied and |
|  | <ul> <li>Where there is interest, provide<br/>opportunities to local communities for<br/>harvesting of plants for traditional<br/>purposes prior to construction.</li> <li>Through Project design the length and</li> </ul>   | ×            | × ×       | ✓               | Adverse  | High   | PDA  | N/A   | Single event   | Long-<br>term  | Irreversible   | Atypical   | Not<br>significant                       |
|  |   |              |           |                 | Removal of cultural practice sites   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>A Pipe Ceremony will be held prior to commencement of construction under the direction of local Aboriginal communities.</li> </ul>   |              |           |                 | Within the PDA, some cultural practice sites, consisting of hunting, trapping, camping or plant picking harvesting will be removed. This change can be mitigated by the measures proposed by GGM; for example, GGM and LLFN have agreed upon a plan to address concerns related to the four LLFN sites which will be affected by the PDA. MNO reported cultural practice sites located near Mosher Lake, which GGM has conservatively assumed may be located within the PDA. Based on the layout of the PDA, Mosher Lake will remain accessible during all phases of the Project. Effects were characterized in Chapter 18 Traditional Land and Resource Use of the Final EIS; the information presented in this Addendum is aligned with the findings of the Final EIS. These effects have been characterized as high in magnitude, however it is important to note that effects will not extend to sites located outside the PDA. (i.e., into the LAA or RAA). The not significant finding is appropriate in this circumstance as the residual effects will be limited geographically to the PDA. Hunting, trapping, camping or plant harvesting locations are available in other areas outside the PDA. The not significant finding is appropriate in this circumstance as the residual effects do not to extend to the LAA or RAA. |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 1-5: Summary of Section 5(1)(c) Factors and Key Topics, Mitigation Measures, Residual Effects and Significance



# 

Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

#### **Residual Effect** Activity Section Construction 5(1)(c) **Mitigation Measures** Operation Factors and ıration sograf tent Closure **Key Topics** ing Directi Ϋ́ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ Competition Construction, Operation and Closure: \_ for Additional pressure on existing numbers of Resources harvested species will be managed to a Increased Competition for Resources Due to In-migration of Workers Due to Ingreat extent through existing provincial migration of No residual effect anticipated. Given the abundance of undisturbed areas outside of the PDA, it is lik catch and bag limits and tag and seal Workers migration of workers would not be a major issue. Therefore, no potential effects on fish and wildlife re requirements for valued species. (Indirect anticipated for the Project area. Effect on Current Use) ~ ~ 1 Changes in Construction, Operation and Closure: Long-Adverse Moderate LAA N/A Continuous the Quality term • GGM has developed several of the commitments to local Aboriginal Experience communities that serve to reduce Changes in the Quality of the Experience of Current Use of Current or eliminate effects to the quality of Use Given the predicted magnitude, duration and significance of residual effects on related tangible va the experience of current use. (Indirect resources, access to current use areas and locations and current use sites or areas) the assessment h These include: Effect on result in a long-term, adverse change in quality of experience of current use and would therefore be Current Use) • Addressing existing effects from related rehabilitation measures are expected to improve overall water quality in Kenogamisis Lake. ( historical tailings in the PDA, includes multiple components changes in air quality, lighting, noise and vibration are reversible chan be irreversibly changed. Avoiding effects on Goldfield Lake and Goldfield road which provides access the TLRU sites west and south of the PDA, Providing opportunities to local Aboriginal communities to be consulted on the Closure Plan, Environmental Management and Monitoring Plans • Supporting the use of local Aboriginal environmental monitors and advisory committees. Supporting local Aboriginal cultural practices through community driven initiatives.



| Reversibility  | Ecological and<br>Socio-<br>Economic<br>Context  | Significance  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -  | -  | N/A   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ely increased competition for resources due to in-<br>sources as a result of increased competition are |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reversible<br>to<br>Irreversible   | Atypical   | Not<br>Significant  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lues associate<br>as conservativ<br>moderate in 1<br>Changes in qu<br>nges in visual se                | d with current use (availabi<br>vely concluded that the Pro<br>magnitude and not significa<br>ality of the experience of cu<br>etting from certain vantage | lity of<br>ject may<br>ant. Project-<br>urrent use<br>points will |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

| Section<br>5(1)(c)<br>Factors and<br>Key Topics  | Mitigation Measures   | Activity     |           | Residual Effect       |   |                     |                      |        |            |               |                                  |   |                    |
|--|---|--------------|-----------|-----------------------|---|---------------------|----------------------|--------|------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---|--------------------|
|  |   | Construction | Operation | Closure               | Direction   | Magnitude           | Geographic<br>Extent | Timing | Frequency  | Duration      | Reversibility                    | Ecological and<br>Socio-<br>Economic<br>Context | Significance       |
| Change to<br>Cultural<br>Value or<br>Importance<br>Associated<br>with Current<br>Use<br>(Indirect<br>Effect on<br>Current Use) | Construction, Operation, and Closure:<br>The possibility of encountering residual<br>Project effects that would change cultural<br>value or importance associated with<br>current use will be reduced through<br>careful Project design and application of<br>mitigation measures presented in Section<br>6 of Appendix O.<br>As tangible and intangible values are<br>often connected, mitigation measures<br>aimed at avoiding or reducing effects to | ~            | ~         | <ul> <li>✓</li> </ul> | Adverse   | Moderate            | LAA                  | N/A    | Continuous | Long-<br>term | Reversible<br>to<br>Irreversible | Atypical  | Not<br>Significant |
|  |   |              |           |                       | Change to Cultural Value or Importance Associated with Current Use<br>Given the predicted magnitude, duration and significance of residual effects on related tangible values associated with current use (availability of<br>resources, access to current use areas and locations and current use sites or areas) the assessment has conservatively concluded that the Project may<br>result in a long-term, adverse change to the cultural value or importance of current use and would therefore be moderate in magnitude and not<br>significant. Change to cultural value or importance associated with current use includes multiple components, the following effects are characterised as<br>reversible changes in; availability of plant species and access to plant harvesting sites and activities, availability of fish species and access to cultural<br>and activities, availability of hunted and trapped species and access to hunting and trapping areas and activities, and availability of or access to cultural<br>or spiritual practices sites or areas |                     |                      |        |            |               |                                  |   |                    |
|  | tangible values could also help to avoid or<br>reduce effects to intangible values related<br>to well-being.  |              |           |                       |   | Diactices, siles of | n dreus.             |        |            |               |                                  |   |                    |

## Table 1-5: Summary of Section 5(1)(c) Factors and Key Topics, Mitigation Measures, Residual Effects and Significance

NOTES:

 $\checkmark$  Residual effect anticipated.

- No residual effect anticipated





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

# 1.4 CONCLUSION

As discussed in Section 1.2 of the Final EIS/EA for the Project, the Project presents a number of benefits and opportunities, including.

- Reducing current environmental effects from historical mining activities through rehabilitation
  measures to address the historical MacLeod and Hardrock tailings, including: relocating a
  portion of the historical MacLeod and Hardrock tailings to the new TMF; and implementing
  an enhanced cover, stability measures and seepage collection for the remaining historical
  MacLeod tailings to reduce seepage, provide safety and long-term stability of structures.
  These rehabilitation measures will improve water quality in Kenogamisis Lake compared to
  existing conditions.
- Establishment of productive local partnerships that contribute to achieving development goals identified by the community, to address local priorities and concerns, and to have communities derive benefits from the Project, including increased labour force capacity, reduced unemployment, increased personal and family income, and increased income for regional businesses.
- Specifically, GGM will also promote the involvement of local Aboriginal communities in the Project and cultural initiatives including:
  - working to support the capacity of Aboriginal business to participate in mine procurement, as well as supporting training of Aboriginal people through agreements with communities, seeking joint funding of programming, preparedness training, and providing on-the-job training.
  - maximizing hiring of local and Aboriginal people.
  - supporting the use of local Aboriginal environmental monitors and/or technicians.
  - providing opportunities to local Aboriginal communities to review and comment on permits, the Closure Plan, Environmental Management Plans, and monitoring.
  - consulting with local Aboriginal communities prior to engaging an archaeologist for any further archaeology work that may be required, as well as regarding disposition and treatment of any heritage resources that may be found.
  - meeting regularly (or at least annually) with local Aboriginal communities to share information about the Project.
  - supporting local Aboriginal cultural practices through community driven initiatives.

In consideration of the effects of changes to the environment, the identified mitigation, information provided by Aboriginal communities, and specific consideration of how the effects of those changes to the environment might affect Aboriginal persons, the residual effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors (including Aboriginal health conditions, Aboriginal socio-economic





Summary of Environmental Effects on Section 5(1)(c) Factors June 2018

conditions, Aboriginal socio-economic conditions, and current use) are characterized as not significant for all Project phases. Based on this assessment of effects on current land use and consultation with Aboriginal communities, it is anticipated that Aboriginal communities will continue to have the ability to exercise Aboriginal and treaty rights outside of the PDA.

GGM will continue to engage affected Aboriginal communities through the life of the Project and will consider, and strive to adapt to and address, new information in relation to the Section 5(1)(c) Factors that may arise from Aboriginal communities as part of such engagement.



