

WR-1 Environmental Impact Statement, March 27, 2020
CNSC Staff Completeness Check: April 2020 - FINAL –CNL Responses to WR-1 EIS Federal and Provincial Review Comments

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
Information Requests			
Reference #: 1	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 2	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 3	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 4	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 5	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 6	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 7	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 8	Complete: No	Rationale: The responses across IR responses or in consideration of the EIS and TSDs contain contradictory information.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The EIS still contains the term enduring entity in table 4.3.2-2 in the row regarding CNL.
Reference #: 9	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow guidance provided to CNL that responses should provide sufficient information to guide a technical review. The response indicates an intent to provide supplementary documentation in the future. The response indicates that CNL maintains a tracking table that summarizes the concerns raised during engagement activities and that these tracking tables will be included as an appendix of the Indigenous Engagement Report. It is not clear from the response if the tables have been included in the EIS submission. CNSC staff's expectation is that all referenced documents that underpin IR responses be provided as part of the complete EIS submission. Please provide the indicated document(s) and clarify how the comment has been addressed in the EIS and/or supporting documentation.
Reference #: 10	Complete: No	Rationale: The responses across IR responses or in consideration of the EIS and TSDs contain contradictory information.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response makes reference to an appendix; however, it is unclear to the reviewer which appendix is being referred to. Please provide the indicated document(s) and clarify how the comment has been addressed in the EIS.
Reference #: 11	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 12	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not refer to a specific section in the Decommissioning Safety Assessment Report (DSAR) and Environmental Risk Assessment (ERA) to where this information can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of these documents.
Reference #: 13	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 14	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
Reference #: 15	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 16	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 17	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 18	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 19	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 20	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 21	Complete: No	Rationale: The responses across IR responses or in consideration of the EIS and TSDs contain contradictory information.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response points the reviewer to two different sections of the EIS where changes were made to address the comment. However, the information in the IR response is not consistent with the revised information in the quoted sections of the EIS. Further, the response should clearly indicate what changes have been made to each section. Please summarize clearly what changes have been made in each referenced section of the EIS to address the IR. In addition, please update the IR response and/or EIS accordingly to ensure consistency in the information provided.
Reference #: 22	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 23	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not refer to a specific section in the DSAR to where this information can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of this document.
Reference #: 24	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL to provide a direct response to all questions within the IR. The response does not provide an explanation of how the assessment categories and criteria were defined. In addition, no comparable discussion or description of the considered alternatives was provided. Please clarify how the question within the IR has been addressed.
Reference #: 25	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 26	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not clearly identify or describe those alternative means that were determined not to be technically and economically feasible (inconsistent with information provided in the response to IR #27). Further, the response does not explain how the additional text for section 2.5.3 addresses the IR. Please clarify how the question within the IR has been addressed.
Reference #: 27	Complete: No	Rationale: The responses across IR responses or in consideration of the EIS and TSDs contain contradictory information.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL to provide a direct response to the question within the IR. The response does not completely respond to the question and the response is not self-contained. The response does not clearly respond to how public feedback was considered and/or informed the alternative means assessment. Please clarify how the question within the IR has been addressed.
Reference #: 28	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL to provide a direct response to the question within the IR and, in the case of an IR with several sub-questions, to address every sub-question within the IR clearly. 1 - The response does not provide detail as to how other communities' feedback was incorporated into the evaluation of alternatives.

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
			<p>2 - The process undertaken with Sagkeeng Anicinabe on the alternative means assessment is also insufficiently detailed. CNSC staff are aware of the feedback provided on the revised EIS as detailed by the Sagkeeng Anicinabe in a letter dated February 18, 2020.</p> <p>3 – The response does not address the comment regarding indicating in the EIS the responses that were provided to communities in response to the issues and concerns were raised.</p> <p>It is CNSC staff’s expectation that CNL work with each Indigenous group to address the comments and gaps identified and incorporate them in the revised EIS and IR responses accordingly. Please clarify how the sub-questions within the IR have been addressed and where the relevant information can be found in the EIS submission.</p>
Reference #: 29	Complete: No	Rationale: The response does not address each sub-question of the IR.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL to provide a direct response to the question within the IR or in the case of an IR with several sub-questions, the response should address every sub-question within the IR clearly. The response does not provide a direct response to the sub-question requesting clarity regarding the criteria for worker health versus other valued components. Please clarify how the question within the IR has been addressed.</p>
Reference #: 30	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not specifically reference which sections of the EIS have been changed to address the comment in order to allow the reviewer to find the information needed to verify the response. Please provide references to the relevant sections of the EIS and clearly summarize what changes have been made to address the IR.</p>
Reference #: 31	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not directly address the question posed in the IR requesting analysis of all alternatives for alignment with the IAEA guidelines. Please clarify how the question within the IR has been addressed.</p>
Reference #: 32	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:</p>
Reference #: 33	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not refer to a specific section in the Detailed Decommissioning Plan or the Comprehensive Study Report where this information can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of these documents.</p>
Reference #: 34	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:</p>
Reference #: 35	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The information in section 2.7 does not reflect the summary of evaluation of alternative provided in table 2.7.1. Please clarify how the revisions in the summary section address the question within the IR.</p>
Reference #: 36	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:</p>
Reference #: 37	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The IR response defines air gaps and discusses their purposes. However, the response indicates that text will be revised to address the comment and does not specifically reference which sections of the EIS have been changed to address the comment in order to allow the reviewer to find the information needed to verify the response. Please provide a specific reference to where the information can be found and clearly summarize how the additional text addresses the IR.</p>
Reference #: 38	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not refer the reviewer to a specific section of the EIS; the revised EIS does not include a</p>

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
			section 3.5.1.1.3 as referenced in the original comment. Therefore, the reviewer cannot verify that the EIS has been revised in response to this IR. Please provide references to the relevant sections of the EIS and clearly summarize what changes have been made to address the IR.
Reference #: 39	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 40	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not point to the correct section of the EIS. The revised EIS does not include a section 3.5.1.2. Therefore, the reviewer cannot verify that the EIS has been revised to response to this IR. Please provide references to the relevant sections of the EIS and clearly summarize what changes have been made to address the IR.
Reference #: 41	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The revised EIS does not include a section 3.5.1.2. Therefore, the reviewer cannot verify that the EIS has been revised to response to this IR. Additionally, the response indicates that soil remediation outside the facility is not expected based on historical knowledge and characterization but no additional references or information was provided to support the statement. Please provide references to the relevant sections of the EIS and provide appropriate references to support statements in the response.
Reference #: 42	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response to the IR question regarding emission estimates from soil related to tritium does not refer the reviewer to a specific section(s) in the Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Model Report where this information could be found and verified. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of this document.
Reference #: 43	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not refer the reviewer to specific sections within the ERA or EIS where this information can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of these documents.
Reference #: 44	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response indicates that the EIS has been updated but the response does not refer to the specific section(s) where this information can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant section(s) of the EIS.
Reference #: 45	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response states that the EIS demonstrates the safety of in situ disposal; however, it does not refer to the specific section(s) where this information can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please provide references to the relevant sections of the EIS and clearly summarize what changes have been made to address the IR.
Reference #: 46	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 47	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response makes assertions regarding the physical degradation of concrete, yet no references are provided to support these statements. Additionally, the reviewer is directed to the entire DSAR for additional analyses to support the IR response but does not refer to the specific section(s) where this information can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please provide references to the specific and relevant sections of the document that is being used to support statements made in the IR response.
Reference #: 48	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
Reference #: 49	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response indicates that the properties of the final formulation of the grout is documented in the EIS but it does not refer to the specific section(s) where this information can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS.
Reference #: 50	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response addresses the reviewer’s comment by referring to a prepared Geosynthesis Report and provides a summary of its contents. However, the response does not explain how this report addresses two concerns in the IR, namely: 1) how this report supports the statement that local soils provide a barrier to contaminant release to the environment; 2)whether the report provides a cross-section showing the relationship between the geology and the WR-1 facility to demonstrate and justify the importance of the geosphere barrier. Please clarify how these sub-set questions within the IR have been addressed.
Reference #: 51	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: .
Reference #: 52	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 53	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow guidance provided to CNL that responses should provide sufficient information to guide a technical review, on two points: 1 - The response indicates that the requested information is provided in Appendix 4.0, yet there is no Appendix 4.0 included in the EIS. 2 - The response indicates an intent to provided updated or supplemental documentation in the future. According to the response, Appendix 4.0-1 will indicate groups’ interest in engagement and tables in Section 4.0 will be updated with CNL’s response to concerns and content from recent engagements. Please provide, in their updated form, all referenced documents that underpin the responses as part of the complete EIS submission and update the response to clearly identify where the referenced information may be found.
Reference #: 54	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that responses 1) provide sufficient information to guide a technical review, and 2) be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully addresses the IR. 1 - The response indicates that the requested information is provided in an appendix, yet there is no relevant appendix included in the EIS. Please provide all referenced documents that underpin the responses as part of the complete EIS submission and update the response to clearly identify where the referenced information may be found. 2 - The response does not address the consideration of potential impacts to potential or established Indigenous and/or Treaty rights raised by Indigenous groups. Please clarify what discussions CNL has had on this topic and how this has been addressed in the EIS submission.
Reference #: 55	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow guidance provided to CNL that responses should provide sufficient information to guide a technical review. The response indicates that Appendix 4.0-1 was updated to include the requested information, yet there is no Appendix 4.0-1 included in the EIS for the reviewer to verify the information. The response also indicates an intent to provided updated documentation in the future. Please provide, in their updated form, all referenced documents that underpin the responses as part of the complete EIS submission and update the response to clearly identify where the referenced information may be found.
Reference #: 56	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The IR response indicates an intent to provide supplementary documentation in the future. CNSC staff’s expectation is that referenced documents in IR responses be provided as part of the complete EIS submission. Please provide the references to where the requested information may be found and clarify how the comment has been addressed in the EIS and/or its supporting documents.

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
Reference #: 57	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 58	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. No such appendix is included in the EIS. Please provide all referenced documents that underpin the responses as part of the complete EIS submission and update the response to clearly identify where the referenced information may be found.
Reference #: 59	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. There is no Appendix 4.0-1 that summarizes the information and concerns raised by the relevant stakeholders. Please provide all referenced documents that underpin the responses as part of the complete EIS submission and update the response to clearly identify where the referenced information may be found.
Reference #: 60	Complete: No	Rationale: The responses across IR responses or in consideration of the EIS and TSDs contain contradictory information.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. Revisions have been made to section 5.2.2 of the EIS. However, the IR response and EIS contain differing information: The IR response states the copies of the letters are included in the Appendix B Preliminary Engagement Material of the <i>Section 5 Supporting Materials</i> report and the EIS states that this information is found in the Appendix K of the Stakeholder Engagement Report (CNL 2020a). Please provide all referenced documents that underpin the responses as part of the complete EIS submission and update the response to clearly identify where the referenced information may be found. In addition, the response should provide a direct response to the question within the IR; in the case of an IR with several sub-questions, the response should address every sub-question within an IR clearly. The response does not address the other elements of the IR regarding providing additional information regarding the tone of the articles and whether the media coverage has increased over the life of the project. Please clarify how these sub-questions within the IR have been addressed.
Reference #: 61	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 62	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that response should be self-contained meaning that information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how this information fully addresses the IR. The response provides further details on the meetings with municipalities and elected officials. However, the response makes reference to appendix E for further information on tour agendas. There is no appendix E in the EIS and therefore the reviewer is unable to verify the information being referenced in the response. Please provide all referenced documents that underpin the responses as part of the complete EIS submission and update the response to clearly identify where the referenced information may be found.
Reference #: 63	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 64	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response makes reference to solute modelling and supporting assumptions (e.g., unspecified sensitivity studies) in the EIS but it does not refer to the specific section(s) where this information can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS.
Reference #: 65	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response indicates that the provided text can be found in the EIS in either section 6 or 11, or both. However, the response does not clearly indicate what revisions have been made to the EIS. In the IR response, please summarize clearly for each specific section what changes have been made to address the IR.
Reference #: 66	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
			demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response points the reviewer to section 6.7 of the EIS but it does not provide a summary of the information contained therein or indicate how the information in that section addresses the IR. Further, the Preliminary Soil Cleanup Criteria (WL-509240-REPT-001), which underpins part of the response, was not included in the references provided. In the IR response, please summarize clearly what changes have been made to this specific section of the EIS to address the IR. In addition, please provide all referenced documents that underpin the responses as part of the complete EIS submission.
Reference #: 67	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The IR response indicates an intent to revise the EIS in the future with cross-references in the EIS. CNSC staff's expectation is that all revisions be made and included in a complete EIS submission. Please revise the EIS accordingly to include cross-references and update the IR response accordingly.
Reference #: 68	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response points the reviewer to section 6.7 of the EIS but it does not provide a summary of the information contained therein or indicate how the information in that section addresses the IR. In the IR response, please summarize clearly what changes have been made to this specific section of the EIS to address the IR.
Reference #: 69	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 70	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 71	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 72	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response states that a table was added to section 6 but it does not refer to the specific subsections in the EIS where this information can be found and verified by the reviewer nor does the response indicate how the addition of the table addresses the IR. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS and clearly summarize what changes have been made to these sections to address the IR.
Reference #: 73	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 74	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 75	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The information provided in the response is too vague. The response indicates that information on how material such as insulating material and asbestos are handled has been added to the EIS. However, the response does not clearly refer to the specific section(s) where this information can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS.
Reference #: 76	Complete: No	Rationale: The responses across IR responses or in consideration of the EIS and TSDs contain contradictory information.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The information in the IR response is not consistent with the information in the EIS. The response refers to the incorrect table in the EIS. Please update the IR response and/or EIS accordingly to provide correct references and to ensure consistency in the information provided.
Reference #: 77	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 78	Complete: No	Rationale: The responses across IR responses or in consideration of the EIS and TSDs contain contradictory information.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The information provided in the IR response is not consistent with the information in the EIS. There is no

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
			information in section 6.2.1.7 (Prediction Confidence and Uncertainty) that describes the results of the IMPACT modelling. Please update the IR response and/or EIS accordingly to ensure consistency in the information provided.
Reference #: 79	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 80	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 81	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 82	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. Additional text has been added to section 6.3.1 of the EIS. However, the response also indicates that the sensitivity analysis is ongoing and described in section 10 of the EIS. The response does not clearly refer to the specific subsection where the summary of the analysis can be found and verified by the reviewer nor does the response provide the key results of the analysis. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS and clearly summarize the key results of the analysis.
Reference #: 83	Complete: No	Rationale: The response does not address each sub-question of the IR.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response should provide a direct response to the question within the IR and that the response should be self-contained. The response can point the reviewer to technical supporting documents however; the response itself should summarize the key elements and demonstrate how the information fully addresses the IR. The response indicates that a Geosynthesis Report has been prepared to address the reviewer's comment. However, the response does not address how this information was used to assess safety assessment scenarios that are proposed in the DSAR. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the DSAR and clearly summarize what changes have been made to address the IR.
Reference #: 84	Complete: No	Rationale: The response does not address each sub-question of the IR.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response indicates that a Geosynthesis Report has been prepared to address the reviewer's comment. However, the response does not clearly indicate how the report addresses the IR. The response also indicates that hydrogeological information from the WR-1 Hydrogeological Study Report is summarized in the Geosynthesis Report but it does not refer to the specific sub-sections in the Geosynthesis Report where the information can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the Geosynthesis Report and clearly summarize what changes have been made to address the IR.
Reference #: 85	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: Some of the references that underpin the response have not been provided (e.g., Robertson-Cherry, 1985; Cherry et al, 1970). The figure number for the figure provided in the response is incorrect. Please provide all referenced documents in IR responses that underpin the responses, as part of the complete EIS submission and update the response to provide correct reference to the figure.
Reference #: 86	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 87	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: A reference that underpins the response (Guthrie, J.E. and Scott, G.A.J. 1988.) was not included in the submission. Please provide all referenced documents in IR responses, that underpin the responses, as part of the complete EIS submission.
Reference #: 88	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not clearly refer to the specific sub-section in the revised Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Modelling Report where the additional text can be found and verified by the reviewer nor does the response indicate how the additional information addresses the comment. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Modelling Report and clearly summarize what changes have been made to address the IR.
Reference #: 89	Complete: No	Rationale:	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
		The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response is not completely aligned with the information in the EIS; the quoted text is not present in section 6.4.2. Please update the IR response and/or EIS accordingly to ensure consistency in the information provided.
Reference #: 90	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 91	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 92	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. Table 6.4.2-4 does not contain the revisions outlined in the response; the response does not refer to the correct table where the information can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS.
Reference #: 93	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response indicates that "active" institutional control has been clarified in the text of the EIS. The response does not refer to the specific sections in the EIS where the additional text can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS.
Reference #: 94	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 95	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 96	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 97	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response indicates that the assessment was adjusted to reflect the comment. However, it is not clear what specific sections of the EIS (and/or ERA) were adjusted nor how these adjustments address the comment. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS/ERA and clearly summarize what changes have been made to address the IR.
Reference #: 98	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response indicates that the details are provided in the Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Modelling Report. It does not indicate the specific sub-sections where this information can be found and verified by the reviewed. Please refer the specific and relevant sections of the Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Modelling Report and clearly summarize what changes have been made to address the IR.
Reference #: 99	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 100	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 101	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 102	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
Reference #: 103	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response indicates that the assessment was updated to include existing radionuclide concentrations in sediment but the response does not refer to a specific sub-section in the EIS where these changes can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS.
Reference #: 104	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not refer to the specific subsections of the ERA where this information can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the ERA.
Reference #: 105	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 106	Complete: No	Rationale: The response is incomplete or unclear in relation to potential effects of the environment on the proposed project.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response indicates that additional information regarding the management of site runoff during closure has been incorporated in the EIS. The response does not refer to the specific sub-sections where this information can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS.
Reference #: 107	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 108	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 109	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 110	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 111	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 112	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 113	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 114	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response indicates that clay was used in the ERA but the response does not provide a sufficient summary of how clay was included in the assessment. Further, the response states that a reference to the Hydrogeological Study Report has been included in the EIS but does not refer the reviewer to a specific sub-section where the reference can be found and verified by the reviewer. Additionally, the response does not indicate how the addition of this reference addresses the comment. Please summarize clearly how clay was included in the assessment. In addition, please refer to the specific and relevant section(s) of the Hydrogeological Study Report and include in the response the relevancy of referencing this document in addressing the comment.
Reference #: 115	Complete: No	Rationale:	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
		The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not refer the reviewer to the relevant sections within the EIS where the information regarding additional pathways considered in the assessment can be found (in particular, the closure and post-closure periods) and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS and clearly summarize how the changes address the IR.
Reference #: 116	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 117	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 118	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 119	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The references [(Dwyer et al, 2005), (Banks et al, 2010) and (Wiens et al, 2008)] that underpin the response have not been provided. Please include the references that underpin the response as part of the complete EIS submission.
Reference #: 120	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the responses should provide sufficient information to guide a technical review. For IRs that require a significant amount of technical information, this may mean that the response is summarized in the response table and that additional information is referenced and presented in an addendum document so as not to overwhelm the response table. The response does not contain sufficient technical information to respond to the IR. The response should refer to specific section(s) in the ERA where existing conditions of sediment and water were addressed. In addition, the response should clearly reference the specific section(s) and reporting years for the Annual Safety Report (ASR) and Environmental Monitoring Reports (EMR). Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the ERA and supporting documentation and clearly summarize in the response the relevancy of referencing these documents in addressing the comment.
Reference #: 121	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the responses should provide sufficient information to guide a technical review. For IRs that require a significant amount of technical information, this may mean that the response is summarized in the response table and that additional information is referenced and presented in an addendum document so as not to overwhelm the response table. The response does not contain sufficient technical information to respond to the IR. The response should refer to specific sections and reporting years for the ASR and EMR. In addition, the response does not refer to where in the EIS or ERA their approach was used nor does the response provide information regarding how the model was recently updated and where a summary of those changes can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the ERA and supporting documentation and clearly summarize in the response the relevancy of referencing these documents in addressing the comment.
Reference #: 122	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 123	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self- contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. It does not clearly refer to the specific section where the revision to the boundary of the LSA can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS.
Reference #: 124	Complete: No	Rationale: The response is incomplete or unclear with respect to environmental effects or impacts to Indigenous peoples.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow guidance provided to CNL that responses should provide sufficient information to guide a technical review, on three points: 1 - The response does not refer to the Traditional Knowledge and Environmental Impact Assessment study provided by Wabaseemoong Independent Nations, nor the Traditional Knowledge and Land Use Study from Brokenhead Ojibway Nation, Black River First Nation and Hollow Water First Nation. Please indicate how these studies informed the EIS and/or supporting documentation or provide a rationale for excluding them from consideration. 2 - The response references the Manitoba Métis Federation Traditional Knowledge, Land Use and Occupancy Study and the Sagkeeng Anicinabe Land Use and Occupancy Study; however, it does not provide details on how and where information provided in each study was incorporated in or informed the EIS (and/or supporting documentation) in the context of this IR. Please clarify specifically where this information may be found and how it informed the EIS and/or supporting documentation. As per the IR, CNSC staff expect the revised EIS submission to consider this information.

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
			<p>3 - The response states that traditional knowledge gathered informed the “validation” of valued components (VCs). Please clarify how traditional knowledge informed the identification of VCs and how this was communicated with and confirmed with each Indigenous group whose traditional knowledge contributed to the validation.</p> <p>Please summarize clearly how the question within the IR has been addressed and in particular, any changes/revisions to specific and relevant EIS sections that were made to incorporate traditional knowledge gathered.</p>
Reference #: 125	Complete: No	Rationale: The response is incomplete or unclear with respect to environmental effects or impacts to Indigenous peoples.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR.</p> <p>1 - The response does not refer to the Traditional Knowledge and Environmental Impact Assessment study provided by Wabaseemoong Independent Nations, nor the Traditional Knowledge Land Use Study from Brokenhead Ojibway Nation, Black River First Nation and Hollow Water First Nation. Please indicate how these studies informed the EIS and/or supporting documentation.</p> <p>2 - The response references the MMF TKLUOS and the Sagkeeng LUOS; however, it does not provide details on how and where information provided in the studies was incorporated in or informed the EIS (and/or supporting documentation) in the context of this IR. Please clarify specifically where this information may be found and how it informed the EIS and/or supporting documentation. As per the IR, CNSC staff expect the revised EIS submission to consider this information.</p> <p>3 - The response indicates that ongoing engagement has helped “identify potential or perceived impacts on traditional land use activities”. Please clarify where this information may be found and how it informed the EIS submission.</p> <p>Please summarize clearly how the question within the IR has been addressed and in particular, any changes/revisions to specific and relevant EIS sections that were made to incorporate traditional knowledge gathered.</p>
Reference #: 126	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The IR response refers to a Site End State document that has not been provided and which is indicated to be completed in the future. CNSC staff’s expectation is that referenced documents in IR responses be provided as part of the complete EIS submission. Additionally, the response indicates that some of the information is documented in various engagement responses in the EIS; however, the response does not refer to the specific sections within the EIS where the information may be found and validated by the reviewer. Please provide the references that underpin the response as part of the complete EIS submission. In addition, please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS with respect to the information on the process and roles and responsibilities in determining the future use of the site is documented.</p>
Reference #: 127	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:</p>
Reference #: 128	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The CSR, which underpins the response, has a different reference than what is provided in the EIS. Please update the response and/or the EIS to ensure consistency in the reference provided.</p>
Reference #: 129	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:</p>
Reference #: 130	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:</p>
Reference #: 131	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The reference provided in the response (WLDP-26411-WP-004), which underpins the response, cannot be verified since it does not align with the naming convention of the reference in the submission. Please include the reference that underpins the response as part of the complete EIS submission and use an appropriate naming convention.</p>
Reference #: 132	Complete: No	Rationale:	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:</p>

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
		The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response indicates that a detailed hazards inventory has been summarized and is included in the EIS but the response does not refer to the specific sub-sections within the EIS where the information can be found and verified by the reviewer. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS.
Reference #: 133	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The CSR, which underpins the response, has a different reference than what is provided in the EIS. Please update the response and/or the EIS to ensure consistency in the reference provided.
Reference #: 134	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 135	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The reference provided in the response (WLDP-26411-WP-004), which underpins the response, cannot be verified since it does not align with the naming convention of the reference in the submission. Please include the reference that underpins the response as part of the complete EIS submission and use an appropriate naming convention.
Reference #: 136	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. It is unclear what text has been added to section 7.3.6 and how this addresses the IR. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS and summarize how the changes address the IR.
Reference #: 137	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The reference [(NFPS, 2018)] quoted in the response is not provided in the submission. Please include the reference that underpins the response as part of the complete EIS submission.
Reference #: 138	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 139	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. There is no section 7.3.8.2 of the EIS. Section 7 ends with 7.3.7. Further, some of the text provided in the response for section 6.7.1.6.2.2 is not reflected in the revised EIS. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS and update the IR response and/or EIS accordingly to ensure consistency in the information provided.
Reference #: 140	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. There is no section 7.3.8.2 of the EIS. Section 7 ends with 7.3.7. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS.
Reference #: 141	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 142	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The IR response does not indicate what changes have been made to table 7.4-1 and how this addresses the comment. Please summarize clearly how the changes address the IR.
Reference #: 143	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 144	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
Reference #: 145	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. Please summarize clearly in the response how the comment has been addressed, specifically with information on the methodology and assumptions.
Reference #: 146	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 147	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not refer the reviewer to the specific section of the Geosynthesis Report that has been revised to address the IR. Further, no reference was provided for the memo referenced in the response. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the Geosynthesis Report and summarize how the changes address the IR. Also, please include the references that underpin the response as part of the complete EIS submission.
Reference #: 148	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: No reference was provided for the memo that underpins the response to the comment. Please include the reference that underpins the response as part of the complete EIS submission.
Reference #: 149	Complete: No	Rationale: The responses across IR responses or in consideration of the EIS and TSDs contain contradictory information.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The information in the IR response does not correspond to the information in section 11 of the EIS. Please update the IR response and/or EIS accordingly to ensure consistency in the information provided.
Reference #: 150	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 151	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 152	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 153	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 154	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The reference used to underpin the response (Objectives and Guidelines for Various Air Pollutants: Ambient Air Quality Criteria, Manitoba Conservation, 2005 July) was not provided. Please include the references that underpin the response as part of the complete EIS submission.
Reference #: 155	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 156	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 157	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response makes reference to Annex C which provides supplemental information to support the IR. It is not clear where Annex C is located to verify the information. Please update the IR response to indicate where the referenced Annex C can be found and summarize how all sub-questions of the IR have been addressed.
Reference #: 158	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
Reference #: 159	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 160	Complete: No	Rationale: The responses across IR responses or in consideration of the EIS and TSDs contain contradictory information.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response indicates that text has been added to section 3.1.1 however, it is not clear where the additional information was included. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS where the additional information was included.
Reference #: 161	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 162	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the responses should provide sufficient information to guide a technical review. For IRs that require a significant amount of technical information, this may mean that the response is summarized in the response table and that additional information is references and presented in an addendum document so as not to overwhelm the response table. The response does not contain sufficient technical information to respond to the IR. Further, the response does not refer to specific sub-sections of the EIS or ERA where these assumptions were made. Please provide sufficient technical details to guide a technical review. Also, please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS or ERA and summarize how the changes addresses the IR.
Reference #: 163	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 164	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 165	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 166	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 167	Complete: No	Rationale: The responses across IR responses or in consideration of the EIS and TSDs contain contradictory information.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: Couponing is still used within the document and has not been defined. The response is not consistent with the information in the ERA. Please update the IR response and/or ERA accordingly to ensure consistency in the information provided.
Reference #: 168	Complete: No	Rationale: The response does not address each sub-question of the IR.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response should provide a direct response to the question within the IR. In the case of an IR with several sub-sections, the response should address every sub-question within an IR clearly. The response does not completely respond to the question regarding why radon concentrations have been considered for the post-closure period and aquatic dispersion only. Please provide sufficient information to guide a technical review and summarize how the changes address the IR. Additionally, the response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response states that additional information has been added to the ERA to include the results for U-238; however, the response does not refer to specific sections where this information can be found. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the ERA and summarize how the changes address the IR.
Reference #: 169	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 170	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 171	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
Reference #: 172	Complete: No	Rationale: The responses across IR responses or in consideration of the EIS and TSDs contain contradictory information.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response in the IR is not consistent with the information in the EIS. The EIS does not include the Manitoba Métis Citizens. Therefore, there is a lack of clarity regarding which Indigenous communities were included in the Aboriginal Food Intake Study. Please update the IR response and/or EIS accordingly to ensure consistency in the information provided.
Reference #: 173	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 174	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 175	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 176	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 177	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 178	Complete: No	Rationale: The responses across IR responses or in consideration of the EIS and TSDs contain contradictory information.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response in the IR is not consistent with the information in the EIS. The EIS does not include the Manitoba Métis Citizens. Therefore, there is a lack of clarity regarding which Indigenous communities were included in the Aboriginal Food Intake Study. Please update the IR response and/or EIS accordingly to ensure consistency in the information provided.
Reference #: 179	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 180	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The reviewer is directed to specific sections of the EIS but the key elements are not summarized in the IR response nor is it clear how the information in these sections address the comment. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS and summarize clearly in the response how the comment has been addressed.
Reference #: 181	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 182	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 183	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response indicates that changes to the EIS, DSAR, ERA, Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Modelling Report and Radiological Characterization Summary and Radionuclide Inventory Estimate Report were updated as required. However, the reviewer is not directed to specific sections in these documents where these changes can be found and verified nor is there any discussion regarding how the additional information in the documents addresses the IR. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of these documents and summarize clearly in the response how the additional information in these documents address the comment.

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
Reference #: 184	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response should provide a direct response to the question within the IR. In the case of an IR with several sub-sections, the response should address every sub-question within an IR clearly. The response does not directly respond to the comment; the response is the same as the response to IR 183. Please summarize clearly in the response how the comment has been addressed.</p> <p>Further, the response indicates that changes to the EIS, DSAR, ERA, Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Modelling Report and Radiological Characterization Summary and Radionuclide Inventory Estimate Report were updated as required. However, the reviewer is not directed to specific sections where these changes can be found and verified. Nor is there any discussion regarding how the additional information in the document specifically addresses the reviewer's comments including justification for why some of the enhancements discussed in section 7.2 of the TSD may not have been pursued. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS and summarize clearly in the response how the additional information in these documents address the comment.</p>
Reference #: 185	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response should provide a direct response to the question within the IR. In the case of an IR with several sub-sections, the response should address every sub-question within an IR clearly. The response does not directly respond to the comment; the response is the same as the response to IRs 183 and 184. Please summarize clearly in the response how the comment has been addressed.</p> <p>Further, the response indicates that changes to the EIS, DSAR, ERA, Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Modelling Report and Radiological Characterization Summary and Radionuclide Inventory Estimate Report were updated as required. However, the reviewer is not directed to specific sections where these changes can be found and verified. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS and summarize clearly in the response how the additional information in these documents address the comment.</p>
Reference #: 186	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The reviewer is directed to specific sections of the EIS but the key elements are not summarized in the IR response. Please summarize clearly in the response how the comment has been addressed.</p> <p>The IR response indicates an intent to revise sections of the EIS in the future with additional information on the CNL's Emergency Preparedness Program. The CNSC expects all revisions to be completed in order to begin the technical review of the EIS. The revised response should clearly indicate which sub-sections of the EIS have been revised. Additionally, it is not clear what document (900-509200-STD-005) is being referenced in the response. A clear document title should be provided to allow the reviewer to verify the reference. Please provide sufficient information in the revised EIS and/or supporting documents to guide a technical review. Also, refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS and clearly summarize how the changes address the IR. Please provide a clear document title for references that are referenced in the IR response.</p>
Reference #: 187	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response indicates that text has been added to the DSAR to clarify the role of the reactor core and the bioshield but does not refer the reviewer to the exact sub-sections where the information can be found and verified. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the DSAR and clearly summarize how the changes addresses the IR.</p>
Reference #: 188	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:</p>
Reference #: 189	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:</p>
Reference #: 190	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. There is no section 4.4.7 and 2.4.3 and 2.4.5 in the revised DSAR. Therefore, it is unclear where the reviewer can find and verify the revised information. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the DSAR and clearly summarize how the changes address the IR.</p>
Reference #: 191	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	<p>Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not indicate which sections of the DSAR have been revised – even some examples – to better</p>

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
			align with the Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Modelling Report for the reviewer to verify the information. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the DSAR and clearly summarize how the changes address the IR.
Reference #: 192	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The revised DSAR does not contain section 2.4.5 (Normal Evolution Scenario) and therefore it is unclear which section of the DSAR was revised to contain the information in the response. Further, the response does not indicate which sections of the Geosynthesis Report contain the additional details on site characterization and the current geology. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the DSAR and Geosynthesis Report and clearly summarize how the changes address the IR.
Reference #: 193	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 194	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response should provide a summary of the key elements (i.e., how the table has been revised) to demonstrate how the information fully addresses the IR. Please clearly summarize how the changes in the EIS address the IR.
Reference #: 195	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not clearly refer the reviewer to where this information can be found and verified, as there is no Appendix 6.1.2-1 of the DSAR. Please update the IR response to provide the correct reference for where this information can be found.
Reference #: 196	Complete: No	Rationale: The response does not address each sub-question of the IR.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response should provide a direct response to the question within the IR. The comment is not with respect to air quality but the generation of gas within the structure during the lifetime of the project and whether or not it has been taken into account within the Normal Evolution Scenario. Please respond to the IR and, if appropriate, refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS and clearly summarize how the changes address the IR.
Reference #: 197	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 198	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response indicates that the DSAR has been revised to improve clarity but it does not indicate how information on "Accidents and Malfunctions" and "Maintenance, Monitoring and Design Implications" have been appropriately captured in the revised DSAR. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the DSAR and clearly summarize how the changes address the IR.
Reference #: 199	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. CNL has provided a revised concordance table to indicate how each section of the DSAR aligns with G-320 and IAEA SSR-5. However, the response does not indicate how the level of conservatism for key model parameters of barrier performance were included and justified commensurate with the level of uncertainty within the safety strategy. Nor does the response indicate how the safety case meets CNSC expectations as outlined in G-320. Please respond to the IR and, if appropriate, refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS and/or supporting documents and clearly summarize how the changes address the IR.
Reference #: 200	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that all responses should provide sufficient information to guide a technical review. There is no section 2.3.3 or section 4.3.4.10.1.7 in the DSAR. These sections underpin the response. Therefore, it is not clear to the reviewer which sections of the DSAR have been revised and where the information can be found and verified. Please provide sufficient information to guide a technical review. Also, please refer to specific and relevant sections of the DSAR and clearly summarize how the changes address the IR.
Reference #: 201	Complete: No	Rationale:	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:

Reference #	Complete	Rationale	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response
		The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Two references that underpin the response have not been provided (Thorne and Hawkins 2004; Cherry et al, 1970). Please include the references that underpin the response as part of the complete EIS submission.
Reference #: 202	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: None of the references which underpin the response have been provided in the submission. Please include the references that underpin the response as part of the complete EIS submission.
Reference #: 203	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response is too vague and does not allow the reviewer to verify the information provided in the response. The response does not specify which sections of the Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Model Report summarize the sensitivity analysis of corrosion rates that CNL completed in response to the IR. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Model Report and summarize clearly in the response how the comment has been addressed.
Reference #: 204	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not clearly refer the reviewer to the specific sub-section in the revised Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Model Report where the additional text can be found. The response does not clearly indicate how the inclusion of the additional text fully addresses the IR. Further, no reference was provided for the literature review that was mentioned to support the response. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Model Report and clearly summarize how the additional information addresses the IR. Also, please include the references that underpin the response as part of the complete EIS submission.
Reference #: 205	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not clearly refer the reviewer to the specific sub-section in the revised Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Model Report where the additional text can be found. Nor does the response clearly indicate how the additional scenario (i.e., scenario 14) addresses the question regarding the claim in the revised Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Model Report that the grout will perform as stated. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS and clearly summarize how additional information addresses the IR. In addition, please respond to all sub-questions of the IR.
Reference #: 206	Complete: No	Rationale: The supplementary material or changes to EIS documents or TSDs provided as part of the response is/are insufficient to adequately meet the request.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. The response does not clearly refer the reviewer to the specific sub-section in the revised Groundwater Flow and Solute Transport Model Report where the additional text can be found. Additionally, the response does not indicate how the additional scenario (i.e., scenario 15) addresses the question regarding the current state of the building foundation and its effect on the hydraulic conductivity. Please refer to the specific and relevant sections of the EIS and clearly summarize how additional information addresses the IR. In addition, please respond to all sub-questions of the IR.
Reference #: 207	Complete: Yes	Rationale: Choose an item.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response:
Reference #: 208	Complete: No	Rationale: The information provided is insufficient to allow a technical review.	Context and Information Required for a Complete Response: The response does not follow the guidance provided to CNL that the response be self-contained, which means that while the response may refer to additional information contained elsewhere in the EIS or technical supporting documents, the response itself should summarize the key elements of this information and demonstrate how the information fully address the IR. This response does not directly and fully address the request for clarification, nor is there sufficient information provided to conduct a technical review. Please provide sufficient information to guide a technical review. Also, please summarize clearly in the response how the comment has been addressed.