

## Enclosure 1: Provincial Advice Record – Crawford Nickel Project Impact Statement

Please submit the completed form by **January 24, 2025**, via the Registry.<sup>1</sup>

### Ministry or Organization Contact Information

<b>Submission Date</b>	
<b>Ministry/Organization</b>	
<b>Lead Contact, Title, Work Unit</b>	
<b>Email, Phone</b>	
<b>Alternate Contact, Title, Work Unit</b>	
<b>Email, Phone</b>	

---

Please see questions and guidance in Tables 1, 2 and 3 attached.

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Name of Ministry / Organization  
Responder**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Title of Responder**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

---

<sup>1</sup> All comments should be submitted via the *Submit a Comment* feature available on the Project's Canadian Impact Assessment Registry page (Reference 83857). Letters and forms can be uploaded using this feature. If you have any difficulties submitting this way, please contact IAAC at [Crawford@iaac-aeic.gc.ca](mailto:Crawford@iaac-aeic.gc.ca) for assistance.

**Table 1. Views to Inform the Impact Assessment**

Table 1 can be used to provide views for IAAC’s consideration in the analysis of the Project’s federal effects<sup>2,3,4</sup> and preparation of the Impact Assessment Report, considering your ministry’s local knowledge and regulatory expertise. Reviewers should consider project context and are encouraged to provide solution-oriented advice even where potential gaps in information are observed.

Comment ID	Reference to Impact Statement	Views to Inform the Impact Assessment
<i>Please identify comments by ministry and number. e.g.: MNR-01</i>	<i>Identify the specific section of the Impact Statement to which your comment applies.</i>	<i>Provide views and information for IAAC’s consideration in the analysis of adverse federal effects, such as</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>whether the information is technically appropriate to support the conclusions presented, and the proposed mitigation measures are suitable to manage effects, considering regional context;</i></li> <li><i>sources of uncertainty in the proponent’s analysis that may substantially weaken conclusions, if any;</i></li> <li><i>suggestions for provincial operational guidance or standards, including other mitigation and monitoring measures, that are well understood to be effective in the region;</i></li> <li><i>relevant provincial legislative frameworks such as licensing, permitting, policies or programs that may provide another means to address adverse effects (describe the environmental outcomes that are typically achieved by the frameworks, how they are achieved, and whether mitigation and monitoring may be required and enforced); and</i></li> <li><i>if your ministry has identified any permit or approval that it may not be able to issue to allow the Project to proceed as currently planned, and next steps for resolution of any issues.</i></li> </ul>

*Please insert additional rows as necessary.*

**Table 2. Missing Information in Relation to the Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines**

Table 2 should be used to identify missing or unclear information from the Impact Statement that is **both** 1) required by the Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines **and** 2) required to formulate ministry views to inform the impact assessment.

Deficiency ID	Reference to Impact Statement	Reference to Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines	Description of Deficiency (Context and Rationale)	Advice for Resolving Deficiency
<i>Please identify deficiencies by ministry and number. e.g.: MNR-02</i>	<i>Identify the specific section of the Impact Statement where information is deficient.</i>	<i>Identify the specific section of the Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines where a requirement has not been satisfied.</i>	<i>Provide a brief description of the deficiency, including a rationale for why the information does not meet the requirements of the Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines and how the missing could inform the impact assessment.</i>	<i>Provide a clear and precise description of the missing information that would resolve the issue. Optionally provide other commitments the proponent can make to respond, such as:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>offsetting or mitigation to compensate for uncertainty in baseline;</i></li> <li><i>follow-up to verify the accuracy of predictions and effectiveness of mitigation;</i></li> <li><i>applicable guides, standards and thresholds the proponent intends to meet; and</i></li> <li><i>measures the proponent intends to take to comply with other legislative frameworks that provide a means to address effects.</i></li> </ul>

*Please insert additional rows as necessary.*

**Table 3. Advice to the Proponent to Support Regulatory Efficiency**

Table 3 can be used to inform future or concurrent provincial regulatory processes for the Project, to support regulatory efficiency.

Advice ID	Reference to Impact Statement	Provincial Permit or Licence	Advice to the Proponent to Support Permitting Efficiency
<i>Please identify advice by ministry and number. e.g.: MNR-03</i>	<i>Identify the section of the Impact Statement to which your comment applies.</i>	<i>List the potential approval or relevant legislative framework</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Describe information needed to determine if the provincial approval is required.</i></li> <li><i>Identify if the Impact Statement could be sufficient to complete the permitting process. If not, briefly describe what is needed next.</i></li> <li><i>Advise how the Proponent can coordinate regulatory requirements with the remainder of the impact assessment process to streamline approvals.</i></li> <li><i>Describe environmental outcomes of the regulatory framework and how they are achieved such as regulations or enforceable conditions.</i></li> <li><i>Identify any permit or approval that your ministry may not be able to issue to allow the Project to proceed as currently planned, and next steps to resolve issues.</i></li> </ul>

*Please insert additional rows as necessary.*

<sup>2</sup> “Federal effects” for this purpose means adverse effects within federal jurisdiction and adverse effects that are direct or incidental to the exercise of a federal power, duty or function (as defined in section 2 of the *Impact Assessment Act*).

<sup>3</sup> IAAC also invites views on effects related to public interest factors (defined in section 63 of the *Impact Assessment Act*) that may inform decision-making, such as positive effects on local economic conditions that contribute to sustainability.

<sup>4</sup> IAAC also invites views on potential effects to species at risk, and how they are typically managed in the region, to inform IAAC’s obligations under section 79 of the *Species at Risk Act*.